



AD

Withdrawn by panel 2/5/73

TECHNICAL REPORT ECOM-1346 F

# TROPICAL SERVICE LIFE OF ELECTRONIC PARTS AND MATERIALS

FINAL REPORT


By

B. H. DENNISON - W. B. MORROW

1 JUNE 1965 TO 31 MAY 1966

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT  
Distribution of this document is unlimited

# ECOM



UNITED STATES ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND • FORT MONMOUTH, N.J.  
CONTRACT DA 28-043-AMC-01346(E)  
(CONTINUATION OF CONTRACT DA 36-039-AMC-02241(E))


MELPAR, INC.  
Falls Church, Virginia

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1

19960424 074

03/65

# DISCLAIMER NOTICE



**THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.**

## **NOTICES**

### **Disclaimers**

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government indorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

### **Disposition**

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

TROPICAL SERVICE LIFE OF  
ELECTRONIC PARTS AND MATERIALS

FINAL REPORT

by

B. H. Dennison - W. B. Morrow

Contract Nr. DA 28-043-AMC-01346 (E)  
Department of the Army  
Task Nr. 1P6-22001-A-057  
Continuation of  
Contract Nr. DA 36-039-AMC-02241 (E)  
Department of the Army  
Task Nr. 1C0-24401-A-112-05

1 June 1965 to 31 May 1966

U.S. Army Electronics Command  
Fort Monmouth, N.J. 07703

October 1966

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Distribution of this Document is Unlimited.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Purpose	
Abstract	
1. PUBLICATIONS, LECTURES, REPORTS, AND CONFERENCES	1
2. FACTUAL DATA	3
2.1 Contract Guidelines	3
2.2 Accelerated Stress Testing	8
2.3 Field Environment	9
2.4 Recovery Evaluation	15
2.5 Resistor, Fixed, Composition, RC	17
2.6 Resistor, Fixed, Film, RN	22
2.7 Resistor, Fixed, Wire-Wound, RW	27
2.8 Capacitor, Fixed, Tantalum, CS	32
2.9 Capacitor, Fixed, Ceramic, CK	37
2.10 Capacitor, Fixed, Mylar, CT	42
2.11 Capacitor, Fixed, Mica, CM	46
2.12 Inductor, Fixed, WE	50
2.13 Microminiature Module Capacitor, MC	56
2.14 Microminiature Module Resistor, MR	57
2.15 Wire, Cable and Connectors Summary	58
2.16 Resistor, Composition, Hermetically Sealed, RO	67
2.17 Resistor, Fixed, Tin-Oxide Film, RL	69
2.18 Resistor, Fixed, Metal Film, MF	71
2.19 Resistor, Variable, Cermet, RJ	73
2.20 Capacitor, Fixed, Tantalum, Solid-Electrolyte, TA	75
2.21 Capacitor, Fixed, Tantalum, Liquid-Electrolyte, CL	78
2.22 Capacitor, Fixed, Ceramic, KC	82
2.23 Capacitor, Fixed, Ceramic, VK	84
2.24 Capacitor, Variable, Ceramic, VC	86
2.25 Inductor, Variable, VI	90
3. LABORATORY TEST, MIL-STD-202C, METHOD 106B	93
3.1 General	93
3.2 Data Analysis	94
4. DUMMY COMPONENT TEST BOARDS	95
5. CONCLUSIONS	98
6. PROGRAM FOR THE NEXT INTERVAL	100
7. IDENTIFICATION OF KEY PERSONNEL	102
8. REFERENCES	103

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Plots of Maximum and Minimum Daily Temperature (°F), Maximum and Minimum Relative Humidity (%), Total Daily Rainfall (Inches)	10
2	Jungle Environmental Data	11
3	Average Maximum and Minimum Temperature; Average Maximum, Minimum, and Bihourly Mean Relative Humidity; and Average Rainfall at Tropical Exposure Site From June 1955, to September 1958	12
4	Atmospheric Pressure (Millibars) vs Time (Hours), Galeta Island, TTC Laboratory	14
5	SERC Resistors, Fixed Composition, Corrosion Products at Body/Terminal Lead Interface	18
6	Life Test Group RC	19
7	Drying Cycle, Composition Resistors (Group RC)	21
8	Life Test Group RN	23
9	Drying Cycle, Carbon-Film Resistors (Group RN)	25
10	SRW Resistor, Fixed Wirewound, Evidence of Corrosion Products	28
11	Life Test Group RW	29
12	Drying Cycle, Wirewound Resistors (Group RW)	31
13	SCS Capacitor, Fixed, Tantalum, Electrolytic. Swelling of Plastic Sleeve Due to Salt and Moisture	33
14	Life Test Group CS	34
15	Drying Cycle, Tantalum Electrolytic Capacitors (Group CS)	36
16	SECK Capacitor, Fixed Ceramic, Corrosion of Terminals and Leads	38
17	Life Test Group CK	39

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
18	Drying Cycle, Ceramic Capacitors (Group CK)	41
19	Life Test Group CT	43
20	Drying Cycle, Mylar Capacitors (Group CT)	45
21	Life Test Group CM	47
22	Drying Cycle, Mica Capacitors (Group CM)	49
23	Life Test Group WE (% $\Delta$ L)	51
24	Life Test Group WE (% $\Delta$ Q)	52
25	Drying Cycle Capacitors Inductors, WE, Inductive	53
26	Drying Cycle Inductors, Q	54
27	Tropical Exposure, Silver-Plated Connector	59
28	Tropical Exposure, Silver-Plated Connector	60
29	Gnawed Tropical and Assault Cable (Development Contract)	65
30	Accelerated Life Test Group RO, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B	68
31	Accelerated Life Test Group RL, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B	70
32	Accelerated Life Test Group MF, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B	72
33	Accelerated Life Test Group RJ, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B	74
34	Accelerated Life Test Group TA, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B	76
35	JCL Capacitor, Fixed, Tantalum, Black Specks on End Seals	79
36	Accelerated Life Test Group CL, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B	80

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
37	Accelerated Life Test Group KC, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B	83
38	Accelerated Life Test Group VK, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B	85
39	JVC Capacitor, Variable, Ceramic, Dirty Appearance of Ceramic Discs	87
40	Accelerated Life Test Group VC, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B	89
41	Accelerated Life Test Group VI, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B	92
42	Wiring and Test Diagram for Dummy Test Boards	96

# LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Summary of Meetings	2
2	Field Wire Data Summary	61
3	Coaxial Cable Attenuation Data (DB) 100 Ft	62
4	MIL-STD-202C Method 106B Stress Test, Exelectrolytic Capacitors, TA, Incremental Change Data, Capacitance	77
5	MIL-STD-202C Method 106B Stress Test, Electrolytic Capactor, CL, Incremental Change Data, Capacitance	81
6	Dummy Component Test Board Insulation Resistance	97

## Purpose

The purpose of this effort is to evaluate the degree and extent of degradation of selected electronic components in tropical environments over an extended period of time. The components are the type commonly employed in the fabrication of equipment designed for tactical use by the armed services. The component complement consists of units with industry and service acceptance of over a dozen years as well as recent additions resulting from the pressure and trend toward microminiaturization.

This program will establish: (1) the failure rate of the selected electronic components when subjected to tropical environments over an extended period of time; (2) the mode of failure and/or degradation where applicable; (3) a laboratory stress test that has proven results that correlate with the field results to provide acceleration factors for the future evaluation of similar type components.

The results of the field tests will provide direct indications of the tropical environmental resistance of the selected components. From the field test data, extractable information will be available to aid future effort in the improvement of various classes of components to withstand tropical environments. The laboratory tests will provide the basis for a specific laboratory simulation method of proven correlation for use in the evaluation of other component types without extensive field testing.

## Abstract

During the contract year a degree of correlation between the field and laboratory stress environments, as reflected through changes in component characteristics was accomplished. Since the correlation studies are as yet incomplete, detailed information will be provided in future reports. The specified MIL-STD-202C Method 106B 20-cycle temperature and humidity stress environment was found to yield correlation with certain jungle-exposed components. From the 6-18-64 Phase I groups, these were composition resistors, carbon-film resistors, wire-wound resistors, Mylar capacitors, and mica capacitors. From the 9-21-65 Phase II component groups, correlation was observed for the cermet variable resistor on a failure only basis. The variable ceramic capacitor has exhibited failure in the field environment, but not in the laboratory environment. None of the remaining exhibited a failure mechanism and field data are considered premature at present for a correlation analysis based upon degradation.

One very significant indication of both field and laboratory stressing is that correlation will be based primarily upon degradation rather than failure. The specified laboratory test does not in any way provide the stress and corrosion effects of the airborne salt and dust present at the tropical seashore exposure site. It is the conduction of this surface that has yielded data which do not faithfully represent the performance of certain high impedance components.

During this year, a revision in technique was instituted to remove by washing the salt deposited on the Teflon component boards. This has resulted in the seashore data having less divergence than before and actually approaching the jungle data. This washing process does not inhibit or eliminate corrosion of the component terminal leads or the effect of shunting over the component surface by the deposited conductive salt film. It is corrosion of leads which has caused the majority of catastrophic failures: e.g., the microminiature modules and ceramic capacitors. Corrosion was observed to render wrapped solder connections unstable, requiring resoldering and replacement of lead wires.

The Phase I components after 22 months of exposure were removed from their specified environments and allowed to dry out or recover in the test site laboratory with sample readings taken at progressive intervals. The high impedance components, (e.g. CK and RN) were observed to exhibit value changes within 1 hour due to evaporation of surface moisture. The low impedance components (e.g., RC and CM) exhibited value changes over the drying period due to the reduction of absorbed moisture. The drying process was accelerated by the use of dessicant material and a hot room. After 2 weeks, the components were measured and returned to their specified stress environments.

The Phase II components, on exposure for 8 months, were observed to perform well except for the energized variable ceramic capacitors (VC) which are beginning to develop symptoms of silver migration. The series resistance was measured as low as 21,000 ohms for one unit. These components will now



be scanned for units having series resistance of less than 1.0 megohm as a monitor of the progress of this degradation. The RJ variable resistor was recorded with a reduced erratic resistance value owing to moisture absorption.

The Phase II components exposed to the specified 20-cycle MIL-STD-202C stress test did not give any indication of the type of degradation observed in the field for the energized VC components. Erratic degradation of the variable resistors (RJ) corresponding to field data was observed. The remaining components exhibited no failure and only minor degradation in the laboratory test.

The personnel at the Tropic Test Center were instructed on the use of the Q Meter as may be required for future evaluation of small value inductance and capacitance components.

For this and future reports, the data format and processing have been revised to provide more complete evaluation and correlation.

# 1. PUBLICATIONS, LECTURES, REPORTS, AND CONFERENCES

During this contract, several conferences and symposia were attended by Melpar personnel and representatives of various Government agencies for planning and information purposes in order that the objectives of the program might be successfully accomplished. Table 1 is a chronological summary of these meetings.

TABLE 1

## SUMMARY OF MEETINGS

<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Melpar Representatives</u>	<u>Government Agency</u>	<u>Government Representative</u>
June 1965	Melpar, Inc., Falls Church, Va.	Alfred A. Fini W. B. Morrow, Jr.	USAECOM	E. Linden
18 Sept. to 1 October, 1965	Panama, Canal Zone	Alfred A. Fini W. B. Morrow, Jr. C. G. Moxley	USAECOM U.S. Army Tropic Test Center	C. P. La Col. P.R. Juan M. (
26-30 Nov. 1965	New Orleans, Louisiana	Alfred A. Fini		C. P. La
1-3 Sept. 1965	Atlantic City, N.J.	W. B. Morrow, Jr.	USAECOM	E. Godwin
16 Dec. 1965	Ft Monmouth, New Jersey	Alfred A. Fini	USAECOM	C. P. La E. Linden
3 Jan. 1966	Ft Monmouth, New Jersey	L. Eliason W. B. Morrow, Jr. R. S. Stowe	USAECOM	C. P. La E. Linden
20 Jan. 1966	Ft Monmouth, New Jersey	L. Eliason B. H. Dennison	USAECOM	C. P. La E. Linden
17 Jan. 1966	Melpar, Inc., Falls Church, Va.	B. H. Dennison W. B. Morrow, Jr. J. L. Pentecost	USAECOM	J. Sperg
15 March 1966	Ft Monmouth New Jersey	B. H. Dennison W. B. Morrow, Jr.	USAECOM	C. P. La E. Linden
4 May to 18 May 1966	Panama, Canal Zone	B. H. Dennison C. G. Moxley	USAECOM U.S. Tropic Test Center	E. Linden F. T. Br Col. P.R.

TABLE 1

## SUMMARY OF MEETINGS

<u>Melpar Representatives</u>	<u>Government Agency</u>	<u>Government Representatives</u>	<u>Purpose</u>
., h, Alfred A. Fini W. B. Morrow, Jr.	USAECOM	E. Linden	Technical discussion
Alfred A. Fini W. B. Morrow, Jr. C. G. Moxley	USAECOM U.S. Army Tropic Test Center	C. P. Lascaro Col. P.R. Flor Cruz Juan M. Calderon	Equipment installa- tion, field inspec- tion, personnel briefing
, Alfred A. Fini		C. P. Lascaro	Nat. Soc. of Corro- sion Eng. Symposium
W. B. Morrow, Jr.	USAECOM	E. Godwin	Symposium on Communi- cations Wire & Cable
, Alfred A. Fini	USAECOM	C. P. Lascaro E. Linden	Discussions on con- tract technical progress
, L. Eliason W. B. Morrow, Jr. R. S. Stowe	USAECOM	C. P. Lascaro E. Linden	Contract discussion
, L. Eliason B. H. Dennison	USAECOM	C. P. Lascaro E. Linden	Technical discussion
., h, B. H. Dennison W. B. Morrow, Jr. J. L. Pentecost	USAECOM	J. Spergel	Technical discussion
B. H. Dennison W. B. Morrow, Jr.	USAECOM	C. P. Lascaro E. Linden	Discussion on appro- val of quarterly report
B. H. Dennison C. G. Moxley	USAECOM U.S. Tropic Test Center	E. Linden F. T. Brannan Col. P.R. Flor Cruz	Evaluation of compo- nent degradation & failure

## 2. FACTUAL DATA

### 2.1 Contract Guidelines

The following three pages are the technical guidelines for this contract.

TROPICAL SERVICE LIFE OF ELECTRONIC PARTS AND MATERIALS

1. Scope

1.1 These guidelines cover investigations leading to the establishment of accurate data as a basis for predicting the reliable operating service life, in tropical environments, of electronic parts and materials used in current types of military electronic communications and surveillance devices.

2. Applicable Documents

2.1 Military Standard 202C, "Test Methods for Electronic and Electrical Component Parts," Method 106B, "Moisture Resistance."

3. General

3.1 Effort covered by these technical guidelines is considered to be Phase II of a program of investigation to determine the ability of current types of miniaturized electronic parts and materials to perform satisfactorily in natural tropical environments and to determine the usefulness of Method 106B of Military Standard 202C as an instrument for predicting the tropical service life of such parts and materials.

3.2 Phase I of the above-referenced program of investigation, initiated under Contract No. DA-36-039-AMC-02241 (E) included the following:

3.2.1 Sets of selected test items, suitably mounted and wired on exposure panels, delivered to tropical test sites. Testing initiated by personnel in accordance with contractor's instructions.

3.2.2 Other sets of selected test items, identical in kind and function to those referenced in 3.2.1, tested in the contractor's laboratory

in accordance with Method 106B of Military Standard 202C. These tests, initiated early in Phase I, will serve as pilot runs for any necessary minor modification of jigs, wiring, orientation, instrumentation, etc., of items delivered to tropical test sites.

3.2.3 At least one set of selected test items, identical in kind and function to those referenced in 3.2.1 and 3.2.2, tested and maintained as a control set.

#### 4. Requirements

These technical guidelines cover the effort to be included in Phase II as follows:

##### 4.1 Simulated Exposure Tests

Sets of selected parts and materials will be tested in the contractor's laboratory, in accordance with the provisions of Method 106B of Military Standard 202C and selective variations of it until a statistical correlation with tropical field failure occurs or until a two-year equivalent field exposure time is completed. Appropriate electrical performance tests will be made at predetermined intervals and visual observations of fungus growth, discolorations, corrosion, cracks, etc., will be made as a part of the data recording procedure.

##### 4.2 Natural Tropical Exposure Tests

Sets of selected parts and materials, properly mounted and wired, on suitably placed and oriented exposure racks which were delivered to the test site(s) during Phase I will be subjected to appropriate electrical tests at predetermined intervals. Measurements will be made by test personnel in accordance with instructions furnished by the contractor. Visual

observations of fungus growth, discoloration, corrosion, cracks, etc., will be made as a part of the data recording procedure. All field failures will be analyzed for identification of failure mechanisms and correlation with laboratory test failure types.

#### 4.3 Correlation of Test Data

Test data obtained at the natural Tropical Test Site(s) will be forwarded to the contractor's laboratory as expeditiously as possible in order that a statistical analysis of the results and comparison of the data with data obtained from laboratory exposure may be made and a technical evaluation made as to the tropical service life reliability of parts and materials and the efficiency of the laboratory test procedures in reproducing field use conditions.

#### 4.4 Selection of Additional Parts

A further selection of newer families of microminiaturized parts and associated materials shall be made and parts obtained and processed for exposure to field and laboratory test conditions.

4.5 Outdoor tropical exposure tests shall be conducted for a minimum period of 1.5 years for 60% confidence level. Acceleration factors and failure rates shall be established and are defined as follows:

$$\text{Acceleration Factor} = \frac{\text{Failure Rate in Accelerated Laboratory Test}}{\text{Failure Rate Under Field Conditions}}$$

$$\text{Failure Rate} = \text{Number of Failures per Unit Time}$$



The requirements of these stated technical guidelines have been complied with as follows:

#### Natural Tropical Exposure Tests

The first selection of electronic components was put on exposure at the jungle and sea shore sites with initial data recorded on 6/18/64. The elapsed exposure time with recorded data is  $22\frac{1}{2}$  months followed by a 2-week recovery cycle in a low relative humidity atmosphere. The minimum requirement of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  years of exposure has been met for these component groups.

The second selection of electronic components was put on exposure at the jungle and sea shore sites on 9/21/65. Seven months of data are currently available.

#### Simulated Exposure Tests

Sample lots of all the selected electronic components were exposed to the environment specified by Method 106B of Military Standard 202C for 20 cycles.

#### Correlation of Test Data

Correlation of test data has been attempted for the jungle and the simulated exposures only. This correlation has been attempted for the components put on exposure on 6/18/64 in the jungle environment. The sea shore exposure data have been found to reflect the effect of shunting due to a salt-water film on the terminal boards. At this time, correlation for the 9/21/65 exposed components is considered premature.

Acceleration factors can be estimated for those components which have failure data from both exposure tests.

## 2.2 Accelerated Stress Testing

In accordance with the requirements of the contractual guidelines, sets of selected electronic components from both the Phase I and Phase II component groups have been subjected to the specified 20-cycle laboratory test during the period of this contract. The laboratory environmental test chamber, test equipment, installation of selected components, and the test program were fully described in paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2 of the Second Quarterly Report, Reference 10.



The test results and correlation curves are given in paragraphs 4.2.1 through 4.2.8 of reference 10 for the 18 June 1964 (Phase I) components. Since defined failures were recorded for but two out of the eight components, the definition for the acceleration factor must be modified to reflect degradation rate or degree of degradation rather than the specified ratio of failure rates if such a factor is to be stated with factual basis. Correspondingly, the results of the accelerated stress tests for the samples selected from the 21 September 1965 (Phase II) components report (paragraphs 2.16 through 2.25 of this report) resulted in only one catastrophic failure and no extensive degradation. For one component, the type RL207 tin oxide resistors, the degradation is less than the limits allowed for instrument error. Based upon the results obtained, the test does not appear to stress the Phase II components sufficiently to be considered as an acceleration test. The one component that exhibited a failure mechanism in the laboratory test has exhibited the same failure in the field, and conversely, one component which has exhibited a failure mechanism in the field did not develop the same mechanism during the laboratory test.

The specified laboratory test has merit based upon usage over many years of testing of components. Based upon the results obtained from the exposure of the components selected for evaluation in this program observed correlation is between 35 and 50 percent. A truly universal test may never be possible, but future efforts of this program will be to attempt to evaluate other tests which might be used to supplement MIL-STD-202C, Method 106B in order to provide the effectiveness of a universal test. The first test now planned will be a fixed-temperature, high-humidity exposure with low percentage salt fog. This is a variation on a standard test which will introduce the additional stress of corrosion and associated contamination.

### 2.3 Field Environment

The environment present at the field test sites on the Caribbean side of the Canal Zone is relatively uniform in nature and does not exhibit drastic changes caused by "fronts" with their associated temperature, relative humidity, and barometric pressure changes. The winds aloft are such that radio sondes going to altitudes of over 100,000 feet return to within 5 miles of the launch point.


To further illustrate, refer to figures 1, 2, and 3 which are daily and weekly composites of 3 years of weather data taken at the jungle site by the Naval Research Laboratory. A best estimate for an average daily temperature range is 75<sup>o</sup> to 90<sup>o</sup>F and the average daily relative humidity range is 75 to 90 percent. The rainfall data are presented. The components are protected from direct rainfall by a shelter and cabinet. It is noted that airborne salt increases during periods of low rainfall. The



MARCH

APRIL

MAY




95% RH

75°

MARCH

APRIL

MAY




(2)

MAY

JUNE

JULY





SCALE:

1 2 4 6

16 18 20

TIME (DAYS)

1 DIV = 1 DAY



MAY

JUNE

JULY


ELAPSED TIME

(3)


JLY

AUGUST

SEPTEMBER



DAYS)  
= 1 DAY



RAINFALL INCHES



ULY

AUGUST

SEPTEMBER

4






Figure 1. Plots of Maximum and Minimum R



DECEMBER



Plots of Maximum and Minimum Daily Temperature (°F), Maximum and Minimum Relative Humidity (%), Total Daily Rainfall (Inches)

E5658







Figure 2. Jungle Environ

①



Environmental Data

2




Figure 3. Average Maximum and Minimum Temperature; Average Maximum, Minimum, and Bi-hourly Mean Relative Humidity; and Average Rainfall at Tropical Exposure Site From June 1955, to September 1958

temperature stress here is only a few degrees above normal room ambient with increased humidity. As to other environmental components which could change or vary to generate stress, barometric pressure is very steady as illustrated by the graph in figure 4 taken near the site at an elevation of 1 meter. The total variation is less than 10 millibars (less than 1.0 mm of Hg), which cannot be considered very severe alone or in combination with the temperature and humidity.

Other contaminants possibly present could be ozone, increased oxygen, products of decomposition, and micro-organisms, but these or others have not been identified. Time is always a factor and more or less directly applies.

Water is always present in the tropical atmosphere and if a component reacts to the presence of moisture either on its surface or absorbed into its bulk to known degrees, the component performance in the tropics should be predictable. The example of stress testing composition resistors in a 95 to 100 percent relative humidity atmosphere at elevated temperature yielded degradation faster and to a greater degree than did the cycle test.<sup>10</sup> The cycle test can cause moisture uptake to be different owing to differential expansion of multimaterial components. This may be a partial explanation for the failure of the excited RN carbon-film resistors, which has not been fully identified to the same degree in the field tests.

It must be noted from the drying-out cycle that only moisture was removed and recovery was observed in the majority of components. Since moisture is a rate factor for corrosion and fungus growth, it can be concluded that moisture is the major source of degradation for these components




Figure 4. Atmospheric Pressure (Millibars) vs Time (Hours), Galeta Island, TTC Laboratory

## 2.4 Recovery Evaluation

The planned program for the visit to the Canal Zone exposure site included the monitoring of component characteristic changes during a recovery or drying-out period. The 22 month components were removed from the humid tropical environment to the air-conditioned laboratory environment. The shore located components had had data recorded that same day and therefore it was possible to observe increased impedance for certain high impedance components during the first hour due to moisture evaporation resulting in reduced surface conductance. The value of the RN resistors increased approximately 0.1 percent. The dissipation factor for the CK capacitors decreased from 0.038 to 0.005. The capacitance value of the CT capacitors decreased slightly owing to temperature reduction. The reaction of the jungle-located components was similar, but since the previous data had been taken 5 days before the start of this drying cycle, the magnitude of the first increment of change could be in error.

Sample groups of five were selected from each lot and monitored on successive periods of increasing duration. After 4 days, the components were stored in a container with a dessicant material to increase the rate of drying. This was continued until the components had been out of the tropical environments for a total of 2 weeks. The results of the drying are given in the discussion of each component. The last data printout in the summaries, appendix B, and the percent change data plots correspond to the data recorded at the end of the 2 week drying process for all components in each group and lot.

This evaluation was not considered for the 21 September 1965 (Phase II) components of only 7 months of exposure because the drying cycle would be an interruption of the specified minimum 18 months of tropical exposure.



## 2.5 Resistor, Fixed, Composition, RC

The fixed-composition resistors on tropical exposure for 23 months were inspected for visible evidence of degradation and the summary of comments are:

JRC - Slight discoloration of tinned leads.

JERC - Slight discoloration of tinned leads.

SRC - Corrosion products (green) around terminal lead where it enters resistor body - corrosion products at solder joint; all tinning appears to be missing from the component lead.

SERC - Same as SRC above. See figure 5.

### Data Analysis

The composition resistors have, during the 23-month tropical exposure period, increased in value in all lots and groups. This is presented graphically in figure 6. The group summary data are given in table B-1 of appendix B. Failures are:

One confirmed catastrophic	SERC-15
----------------------------	---------

One unconfirmed degradation	SRC-18
-----------------------------	--------

Component SERC-15 did not recover during the 2-week drying cycle, while SRC-18 returned to a value very close to the group mean. These resistors do absorb moisture into the resistor element, which results in the increased values recorded for all tests. From the data there has been some moisture uptake in the control lot over the time period of this program.

The matter of moisture absorption was confirmed by the 2-week drying of the components in the Tropic Test Center Laboratory. The average value of each lot decreased by approximately 350 ohms during the period (the






Figure 5. SERRC Resistors, Fixed Composition, Corrosion Products at Body/Terminal Lead Interface

E5739







Figure 6. Life Test Group RC

data summary for the SERC's lacks precision owing to the inclusion of the SERC-15 component). The recovery of each 1/5th sample is given in figure 7 and table C-1 of appendix C.




Figure 7. Drying Cycle, Composition Resistors (Group RC)

## 2.6 Resistor, Fixed, Film, RN

The visual inspection of the MIL-type RN carbon-film resistors after 23 months of tropical exposure is summarized as follows:

JRN - Slight discoloration of component leads.

JERN - Slight discoloration of component leads.

SRN - Corrosion at the solder joints.



SERN - Corrosion at the solder joints and some corrosion at the lead body interface.

### Data Analysis

The data for the RN film resistors for the 23-month tropic exposure reflect the basic problem of maintaining stability in moderately high impedance circuitry. The effects of salt deposition on the Teflon terminal boards and the component surface have been reported in previous reports. During the third quarter of this contract, the field staff was instructed to decontaminate the component boards prior to each data measurement to eliminate this shunt conductance, which directly affects the measured resistance values. This does not eliminate possible shunting over the component surface.

The data for the past 6 months reveal no failures for the jungle-located components and four confirmed D degradation failures in the SRN group, SRN-4, -14, -17, -20; two confirmed C degradation failures in the SERN group, SERN-14, -20; and two unconfirmed D degradation failures with SERN group, SERN-7, -17. Figure 8 is the graphic summary of the average percent change data for all groups for the 23-month period. The summaries of field and control data are given in table B-2 of appendix B.

E5728



1






Figure 8. Life Test Group RN

The drying-out period of 2 weeks yielded indications of surface moisture evaporation as reflected in resistance increase within the first hour. The plot in figure 9 shows the percent change of the samples of five components per lot for the 2-week period. The major effect occurs within the first day. The data summaries for these selected components are given in table C-2 of appendix C.

Inspection of the data for the past 6 months indicates the possibility of an increase in resistance of the carbon film, but this increase is neutralized by the conductance of the moisture film present on the surface. This is best derived from the JERN data; e.g., the mean value was 99.98 kilohms on 8 December 1965, increasing to 100.18 kilohms on 23 March 1966 (the spring dry season), and slightly decreasing for the next two data points prior to the drying cycle during which the mean value increased to 100.42 kilohms. The elimination of surface shunting due to moisture allows the measured value to increase. The value of percent change of each resistor increased to an average of greater than 1 percent. Drying out did not restore these components to their original value. One explanation of what occurred was given for the performance of the AERN Laboratory Test Group, Second Quarterly Report, Reference 10. The AERN resistors developed a mechanism that resulted in ever-increasing resistance. They did not recover when removed from the test chamber. In discussions with representatives of USACOM, it is understood that this phenomenon has been observed in industry, but reports have not been published. Therefore, a reference cannot be given.




Figure 9. Drying Cycle, Carbon-Film Resistors (Group RN)

The JRN group also increased during the 2-week drying cycle, but the final percent change is less; i.e., only 0.64 versus 1.03 for the excited resistors. This is a strong indication that the previously mentioned degradation from the electrolysis may be a failure mechanism for these components.

The performance of the SRN or SERN groups is similar except for the failures previously mentioned.

## 2.7 Resistor, Fixed, Wire-Wound, RW

The visual inspection of the wire-wound resistors, after 23 months of tropical exposure, is summarized as follows:

JRW - Slight discoloration of leads.

JERW - Slight discoloration of leads.

SRW - Corrosion of end caps under vitreous coating, faded appearance and minor corrosion at lead-body interface.

SERW - 50 percent have brown (rust) on the non-common end of the resistors (the common end was very clean), corrosion at the solder joint and also copper corrosion.

Figure 10 is a photograph of the shore-located RW resistors. The discoloration spots are considered evidence of corrosion involving the end caps and the resistance wire.

### Data Analysis

The wire-wound resistors, RW, have exhibited stability for the 23 months of tropical exposure. As was reported in the second quarterly report,<sup>10</sup> there appear to be corrosion reactions which, with sufficient time, could result in failure. The only indicated failure is an unconfirmed C degradation for component SERW-2. The data for this were recorded at the conclusion of the 3-week dry-out cycle. Since this component has not previously evidenced degradation, this failure must be defined as unconfirmed. Figure 11 is the graphic presentation of the data for all lots of RW resistors. The processed data summaries for the field and control component groups are given in table B-3 of appendix B.






Figure 10. SRW Resistor, Fixed Wirewound, Evidence of Corrosion Products

E5741

ELAPSED



①




Figure 11. Life Test Group RW



Other components in the tropical exposure lots of RW resistors have evidenced degradation during the past 6 months and this degradation was not modified by the 2-week drying-out cycle. The performance of the components selected for observation during the drying period is shown graphically in figure 12 and summarized in table C-3 of appendix C.




Figure 12. Drying Cycle, Wirewound Resistors (Group RW)

## 2.8 Capacitor, Fixed, Tantalum, CS

The visible effects from 23 months of tropic exposure on the MIL-type CS-13A capacitors are summarized here:

JCS - Plastic jacket secure, with no evidence of swelling; solder at seal is very bright and body lead is discolored.

JECS - Same comments as JCS above.

SCS - Plastic sleeves are peeling back, combined with a general swelling of the plastic; there is general oxidizing or corrosion of leads and corrosion of solder joints.

SECS - Same comments as SCS above.

The general appearance, lead corrosion, and swelling of the insulating sleeve for the shore-located units is illustrated in figure 13.

### Data Analysis

The CS tantalum capacitors have withstood the 23 months of tropical exposure without any drastic changes in capacitance value. The increase in the dissipation factor beyond limits owing to shunt leakage across the terminal seal caused a few failures. Two units, SECS-16 and -25, appear to have failed just prior to the May 1966 drying period and recovery was not achieved by drying the units. These two units are noted as "unable to obtain valid data," which could be an open circuit owing to corrosion or a short circuit internal to the capacitor.

The performance of the CS tantalum electrolytic capacitors as revealed in average value change gives the impression of erratic performance during the late rainy season with recovery during the dry season. Figure 14 is the graphic presentation and table B-4 of appendix B is the summary of






Figure 13. SCS Capacitor, Fixed, Tantalum, Electrolytic. Swelling of Plastic Sleeve Due to Salt and Moisture

E5694

ELAPSED TIME



ME (Days)






Figure 14. Life Test Group CS

the data for the field and control groups. The 2-week drying cycle resulted in reduction in the average value for all lots. The drying cycle also interrupted the initial effects of the rainy season. The rate of change of capacitance during the drying cycle was observed to be abrupt for the first increment followed by a gradual change, (figure 15). The dissipation factor decreased more uniformly over a range of 0.2 per cent during the 12-day cycle. The summaries of data taken during the drying cycle are given in table C-4 of appendix C.




Figure 15. Drying Cycle, Tantalum Electrolytic Capacitors (Group CS)



## 2.9 Capacitor, Fixed, Ceramic, CK

The MIL-type CK12 ceramic capacitors during 23 months of tropical exposure have evidenced various degrees of degradation. A summary of their physical appearance is given here:

JCK - Slight corrosion on common leads and faint corrosion on individual leads

JECK - No corrosion at lead-body interface with faint discoloration of the lead wire

SCK - Slight lead corrosion

SECK - Two broken capacitors; corrosion present at body-lead interface on the individual lead end. (See figure 16.)

### Data Analysis

The CK ceramic capacitors have exhibited great degradation and failure at different periods during the 23 months of tropical exposure. Recovery has been accomplished by washing the terminal boards periodically and the components on a single occasion. The jungle data indicate rather severe degradation during the October-December rainy season followed by recovery during the December-March dry season. The shore data for the same period are similar, but with the degradation spread over a longer period and with less tendency to recover. Figure 17 is the graphical presentation of the percent change for each lot of capacitors for the program to date. Summary data for the field and control groups are given in table B-5 of appendix B.

The recovery of these components when allowed to dry out for 2 weeks is evidenced primarily in the reduction of the dissipation factor. Also,






Figure 16. SECK Capacitor, Fixed Ceramic, Corrosion of Terminals and Leads

E5676



APSED TIME (Days)






Figure 17. Life Test Group CK

after the drying out, there were only three noted failures, two of which were from corroded leads. The data taken for five samples from each group are presented in summary form in table C-5 of appendix C and are plotted in figure 18. The recovery is fairly rapid during the first 2 days.




Figure 18. Drying Cycle, Ceramic Capacitors (Group CK)

## 2.10 Capacitor, Fixed, Mylar, CT

The Mylar capacitors were visually inspected for evidence of degradation. The effects of 23 months of tropical exposure are summarized here:

JCT - No visible evidence of degradation.

JECT - No visible evidence of degradation.

SCT - General lead corrosion.

SECT - There were several (3 or 4) units with green surface tracks (CuCl) from the noncommon leads across the plastic surface (a possible migration phenomenon).

### Data Analysis

Tropical exposure of 23 months for the CT, Mylar capacitors has produced failures as reflected by the recorded data.

12 unconfirmed D degradation failures: JECT -21, SCT-2, -11, -12, -14, -17, -25, and SECT -5, -19, -20, -24, -25.


Five unconfirmed C degradation failures: SCT -11, -12, -18, -20, SECT -7.

The unconfirmed failures were noted at one or two data points only. All recovered and were within limits prior to and/or after the 2-week drying period.


The average value change for the Mylar capacitor lots is plotted in figure 19. The data summaries are given in table B-6 of appendix B for the field and control groups. The control lot percentage change correlated with the relative humidity of the environment; i.e., the percent change increases during the summer months and approaches zero during the winter months. The relative humidity is not controlled by the Melpar laboratory

E5714

ELAPSED TIME



Days)



1






Figure 19. Life Test Group CT

air-conditioning system. Therefore, when dry, cold air is heated, the resulting relative humidity is very low; e.g., 20 percent. During the summer, the relative humidity is high because the hot, humid air is cooled to only a few degrees below the desired room ambient before circulation, resulting in relative humidities of 60 to 70 percent. The data for the control reflect this change in relative humidity. The capacitance value of tropic lots have all increased with time and appear to have become stable, reflecting the year-round high relative humidity of the environment. This condition was changed by the 2-week drying process. The decreased relative humidity resulted in an average capacitance decrease of over one-half percent.

The effect of the drying process is reflected in average capacitance percentage degree in figure 20 and summarized in table C-6 of appendix C.

The measured dissipation factor for the tropical lots appears to increase in the periods generally defined as the rainy season with a secondary effect from the airborne salt spray. These components responded to the board and component washing except that the data block just prior to the 2-week dryout had unconfirmed failures totaling seven, and one component without valid data for the two shore-located lots. These data were taken after the prescribed board washing. All eight of these components recovered during the drying period.




Figure 20. Drying Cycle, Mylar Capacitors (Group CT)

### 2.11 Capacitor, Fixed, Mica, CM

The CM-06 capacitors were inspected for visual indications of degradation caused by 23 months of tropical exposure. The summaries are:

JCM - No visible evidence of degradation.

JECM - No visible evidence of degradation.

SCM - Evidence of solder (tin or lead) migration, no corrosion at lead-body interface, general corrosion of leads.

SECM - Same as SCM above.


#### Data Analysis

The results of 23 months of tropical exposure for the type CM-06 capacitors as reflected in the average percentage change in capacitance value for each group are graphically presented in figure 21 and summarized in table B-7 of appendix B. The data were processed with the change in capacitance limit set at 1.5 percent for D degradation and 3.0 percent for C degradation. These limits are rather restrictive, but mica capacitors are normally considered in the same precision category as the RN film resistors. Correspondingly the dissipation factor limits were set at 2.15 percent for D and 4.3 percent for C degradation.


A review of the data for the 100 mica capacitors on exposure in the tropics provides an indication of the relative levels for the four groups. The following is a summary of number of components in each group which, during the 23 months of exposure, have been reported in either of the two levels of degradation.

E5677

ELAPSED TIME (Day)



Σ (Days)



①




Figure 21. Life Test Group CM

<u>Test group</u>	<u>"D" degradation (Number of components reported)</u>	<u>"C" degradation (Number of components reported)</u>
JCM	8	2
JECM	7	9
SCM	16	16
SECM	24	23

The majority of these reported degradations occurred during the fall wet season with recovery during the winter-spring dry season. It is noted that the average values for all four groups were within the "D" limit during the months of March, April, and May during the dry season and after the terminal board decontamination procedure had been instituted.

The recovery during the 2-week drying cycle was not drastic (see figure 22) as evidenced by change in capacitance. The dissipation factor was recorded as decreasing by small increments such as 0.2 percent. See table C-7 in appendix C.




Figure 22. Drying Cycle, Mica Capacitors (Group CM)



## 2.12 Inductor, Fixed, WE

The fixed ferrite-core inductors were inspected for visible evidence of degradation after 23 months of tropical exposure. The results are summarized here:

- JWE - Faint lead discoloration.
- JEWE - Faint lead discoloration.
- SEW - Lead corrosion (rough texture) with indications of migration of solder across both ends of units.
- SEWE - Lead corrosion (rough texture) with indications of migration of solder across both ends of units.

### Data Analysis

The WE inductors have withstood the stress of 23 months of tropical exposure without the development of a degradation pattern or trend which could be used to identify a possible failure mechanism. No catastrophic failures have been recorded.



Figures 23 and 24 are the graphic presentations of the changes in capacitance  $Q$  and  $\Delta$  for all component lots for the 23 months of exposure.

The effect of the drying cycle on each group is similar. The recovery of inductance and  $Q$  are shown in figures 25 and 26, respectively.

The data summaries for all field and control component groups are given in tables B-8 and B-9 of appendix B.

The data summaries for the selected components of the drying cycle are given in tables C-8 and C-9 of appendix C.

E5761



1






Figure 23. Life Test Group WE (%  $\Delta L$ )

E5740



Fig




Figure 24. Life Test Group WE (%  $\Delta Q$ )





Figure 25. Drying Cycle Inductors, WE, Inductive

E6493



①

5-26-66




Figure 26. Drying Cycle Inductors, Q



In order to facilitate data taking, the WE components have been measured at 1000 Hz on a universal bridge. This method does not appear to be sensitive enough to respond to changes in Q at such higher frequencies as 1.5 to 2.0 MHz. A comparison could be made between the laboratory control lot and the tropic field lots before the tropic lots are finally removed from exposure to provide an indication of degradation at radio frequencies.

### 2.13 Microminiature Module Capacitor, MC

Data found for the microminiature module capacitors, MC, are omitted because the test specimens were inherently defective before the study was started, and furthermore, they do not qualify for military applications.

#### 2.14 Microminiature Module Resistor, MR

Data found for the microminiature module resistors, MR, are omitted because the test specimens were inherently defective before this study was started, and furthermore, they do not qualify for military applications.

## 2.15 Wire, Cable and Connectors

### Summary

The exposure of the selected wire, cable, and connector specimens during the past year has yielded information indicative of their ability to withstand tropical environments. The silver-plated coaxial radio-frequency connectors continued to corrode in the shore atmosphere, whereas the U-219()/U aluminum 26-pair connector treated with MIL-14072 Finish No. E-561 (Alumilite 225 or equal) hard coat exhibited no functional deterioration and the special jungle single pair telephone field wire was attacked by animals in a manner essentially the same as in September 1964 and caused electrical discontinuities.

The data summaries for the exposed wires and cables are given in table 2. The data taken for attenuation at radio frequencies is given in table 3.

### Silver-Plated Coaxial Connectors

The connectors located at the jungle site exhibited only slight tarnish which corresponds to that observed when these components are in a non-sulphur atmosphere. The connectors located at the shore site have, during the past year, continued to corrode. The extent of this action has been sufficient to require pliers to remove the protective caps and plugs from the BNC connectors. The inside of these connectors exhibited silver tarnish and slight corrosion products on or adjacent to the gold-plated contacts. The extent of the external corrosion can be seen in the two photographs of these connectors in figures 27 and 28.




Figure 27. Tropical Exposure, Silver-Plated Connector




Figure 28. Tropical Exposure, Silver-Plated Connector

TABLE 2. FIELD WIRE DATA SUMMARY

## FIELD WIRE DATA SUMMARY

SAMPLE/DATE	7-8-65	8-2-65	11-2-65	1-12-66	4-11-66
	R <sub>s</sub> Ω IR-MΩ T/RH%	R <sub>s</sub> Ω IR-MΩ T/RH%	R <sub>s</sub> Ω IR-MΩ T/RH%	R <sub>s</sub> Ω IR-MΩ T/RH%	R <sub>s</sub> Ω IR-MΩ T/RH%
JWD-1 WIRE-WIRE WIRE-GROUND	120 >10 >1	120 >10 >1	120 >10 >1	120 >10 >1	125 >10 >1
JWD-1 MOD. WIRE-WIRE WIRE-GROUND	160 >10 10	150 >10 >10	150 >10 10	160 >10 >1	170 >10 >1
JWF-16 WHITE-WHITE BLACK-BLACK WHITE-BLACK WIRE-GROUND	140 >10 140 >10 >10	140 >10 140 >10 10	140 >10 140 >10 >10	120 >10 120 >10 >1	120 >10 120 >10 >1
JWD-1	>10	NO DATA TAKEN	>10	>10	>10
JWD-1 MOD.	>10	NO DATA TAKEN	>10	>10	100
JWF-16 BLACK-BLACK WHITE-WHITE BLACK-WHITE	>10 >10 >10	NO DATA TAKEN	>10 >10 >10	100 100 >10	>100 100 >10
SWD-1 WIRE-WIRE WIRE-GROUND	220 >10 >1	NO DATA TAKEN	220 <10 >1	220 10 >1	220 10 >1
SWD-1 MOD.	220 >1 <1	NO DATA TAKEN	220 >1 <1	220 >1 <1	220 >1 <1
SWF-16 WHITE-WHITE BLACK-BLACK WHITE-BLACK WIRE-GROUND	240 >10 240 >1 1	NO DATA TAKEN	250 >10 250 >1 <1	260 >10 260 >10 <1	280 10 270 >10 >1
SWD-1	10	NO DATA TAKEN	<10	>1	1
SWD-1 MOD.	>1	NO DATA TAKEN	<1	>10	1
SWF-16 BLACK-BLACK WHITE-WHITE BLACK-WHITE	>1 1 1	NO DATA TAKEN	10 <10 >1	1 <10 1	1 >1 <1

KEY: R<sub>s</sub>Ω = WIRE CONTINUITY CHECK, RESISTANCE (OHMS).

IR-MΩ = INSULATION RESISTANCE—MEG OHMS.

T/RH% = TEMPERATURE ° F/RELATIVE HUMIDITY %.

NOTE: DATA TAKEN FOR THE JUNGLE PAYED-OUT WIRE ON 8-2-65 AND FOR THE SHORE PAYED-OUT WIRE ON 11-2-65 WERE EXTRA READINGS NOT INCLUDED IN THE 3-MONTH READING CYCLE. THESE READINGS WERE TAKEN IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO THE CUTTING OF 50-FOOT TEST SAMPLES TO BE SHIPPED BACK TO HELIPAR, AND SUBSEQUENT FORWARDING TO FORT MONMOUTH.

TABLE 3

## COAXIAL CABLE ATTENUATION DATA (DB) 100 FT

Sample No.	1 GHz	1.5 GHz	2 GHz	3 GHz	4 GHz
JRG-58-1	21.6	31.6	49.0	47.3	56.6
JRG-58-2	21.6	29.3	35.0	44.3	53.3
SRG-58-1	23.3	30.0	36.6	50.0	63.3
SRG-58-2	29.3	33.3	43.0	63.3	66.6
JRG-330-1	17.0	21.6	29.6	35.3	41.6
JRG-330-2	17.5	26.3	29.3	37.3	48.3
SRG-330-1	27.0	29.6	36.6	43.3	56.6
SRG-330-2	21.6	23.3	36.0	36.6	58.3
Test Date 9-29-65: Laboratory Environment 77°F/50% RH 30-ft lengths					
JRG-58-1			44.6	44.6	53.9
JRG-58-2			35.0	43.2	51.9
SRG-58-1			36.6	47.2	60.0
SRG-58-2		NO	55.2	61.2	66.6
JRG-330-1		DATA	29.6	33.9	40.0
JRG-330-2		TAKEN	27.3	36.6	46.2
SRG-330-1			37.9	41.9	55.2
SRG-330-2			32.6	36.6	55.9
Test Date 1-5-66			86°F/79% RH		
JRG-58-1			43.9	45.9	54.6
JRG-58-2			33.3	43.2	52.6
SRG-58-1			36.6	46.6	61.2
SRG-58-2		NO	57.2	60.6	65.9
JRG-330-1		DATA	29.3	33.3	40.0
JRG-330-2		TAKEN	27.9	36.6	46.6
SRG-330-1			36.6	43.3	56.6
SRG-330-2			34.6	36.6	56.6
Test Date 4-11-66			91°F/50% RH		
TW6-J-1			11.4	16.2	20.1
TW6-J-2			11.4	15.9	21.0
JRG-213-1			12.0	15.9	20.7
JRG-213-2			12.0	16.5	20.4
20395-J-1			12.0	16.2	22.2
20395-J-2		NO	12.6	16.5	22.2
Times-J-1		DATA	14.7	19.2	27.0
Times-J-2		TAKEN	14.4	19.8	26.4
77314-J-1			12.0	18.0	27.0
77314-J-2			12.3	18.0	24.0
20423-J-1			10.8	15.6	19.8
20423-J-2			11.1	15.9	19.2
JRG-9-1			12.6	17.1	19.5
JRG-9-2			12.9	16.8	19.8
Test Date 1-6-66			86°F/75% RH		



TABLE 3 (Continued)

Sample No.	1 GHz	1.5 GHz	2 GHz	3 GHz	4 GHz
TW6-S-1			10.8	14.4	18.6
TW5-S-2			11.1	13.8	18.3
SRG-213-1			11.7	16.2	20.4
SRG-213-2			13.2	17.1	20.4
20395-S-1			11.4	16.5	21.0
20395-S-2		NO	11.7	16.5	22.5
Times-S-1		DATA	15.0	20.4	26.1
Times-S-2		TAKEN	15.6	21.0	27.0
77314-S-1			11.7	16.5	25.5
77314-S-2			11.7	16.5	24.0
20423-S-1			11.1	15.0	19.5
20423-S-2			10.8	14.4	19.5
SRG-9-1			12.6	16.5	19.5
SRG-9-2			12.6	16.5	19.5
Test Date 1-6-66			87°F/72% RH		
TW6-J-1			11.7	14.7	18.3
TW6-J-2			11.1	14.7	21.0
JRG-213-1			10.8	15.3	18.9
JRG-213-2			11.7	15.6	18.6
20395-J-1			12.6	15.6	21.9
20395-J-2		NO	12.6	16.5	22.5
Times-J-1		DATA	15.0	20.7	27.0
Times-J-2		TAKEN	15.0	20.4	26.4
77314-J-1			11.4	16.2	24.0
77314-J-2			12.0	18.0	24.6
JRG-9-1			12.9	16.8	19.8
JRG-9-2			13.2	16.8	19.8
20423-J-1			10.8	14.4	18.0
20423-J-2			10.8	15.0	18.6
Test Date 4-6-66			88°F/52% RH		
TW6-S-1			10.8	14.4	18.9
TW6-S-2			11.1	14.4	18.6
SRG-213-1			11.7	16.2	19.4
SRG-213-2			15.0	18.6	22.8
20395-S-1			11.7	15.9	21.0
20395-S-2		NO	11.7	16.5	22.5
Times-S-1		DATA	14.1	18.6	24.6
Times-S-2		TAKEN	15.0	20.4	26.4
77314-S-1			11.4	16.5	25.5
77314-S-2			11.4	16.2	23.4
20423-S-1			11.4	15.0	19.5
20423-S-2			11.4	15.6	19.8
SRG-9-1			12.6	16.5	19.5
SRG-9-2			12.9	16.5	19.5
Test Date 4-6-66			86°F/60% RH		

It is of interest to note that the coating with silicone oil during the inspection trip of September 1965 did not arrest the corrosion process on the outer and threaded surfaces of the coaxial connectors.

Twenty-Six Pair "Hermaphrodite" Connector

This connector was inspected and found to be in excellent service condition both at the shore and jungle exposure sites. The only observations are rusted lockwashers at the shore location and evidence of small insects or spiders at the jungle location.

Multiconductor Field Telephone Cable WM-130, per MIL-E-55036

The cut end of this cable was found to be rusted.

Telephone Pair, Tropical and Assault Cable (Development Contract)

This cable was put out after the September 1965 inspection with over one mile exposed in the jungle area. Prior to May 1966, a break in continuity had been recorded and a search was initiated to locate the fault. The search was completed during the May 1966 inspection visit. The cable was found to have been attacked by some animal. The majority of the gnawed sections consisted of cuts of the insulation only. The fault was never determined due to the lack of a clean cut break of one of the conductors.

Approximately 1000 feet of the wire were removed from the jungle and in this process several mechanical and electrical separations developed. Since this portion had the greatest concentration of gnawed sections, it was suspected as containing the fault. This was not correct. The fault continued to exist in the remaining 4000 feet of wire. Figure 29 illustrates one of the gnawed spots.



Figure 29. Gnawed Tropical and Assault Cable (Development Control)

Telephone Wires, WD-1, WD-1 Modified, and WF-16

These were observed to lack any visible degradation throughout the jungle run. Particularly, they were without any marks denoting animal attack. However, during a visit in 1965, a sample of WD-1 modified wire was found which had been attacked by animals. At the shore site, the insulation of WD-1 modified wire had blemish spots.

## 2.16 Resistor, Composition, Hermetically Sealed, RO (Phase II)

Visual inspection of the RC08 resistors after 7 months at tropical exposure is summarized as follows:

JRO - Clean

JERO - Clean to slight discoloration of leads

SRO - Lead corrosion general and near terminal seal


SERO - Lead corrosion general with heavier deposits near terminal seal

### Accelerated Stress Test Results

The sealed and insulated composition RC08 resistors exhibited only positive value changes during the MIL-STD-202C, Method 106B test. The maximum increase was +1.02 percent for one of the energized units with the averages of the maximums being +0.60 percent for the unenergized and +0.70 percent for the energized lots. The processed data summary is given in table D-1 of appendix D. The average percent change is plotted in figure 30.

This test did not produce any discernible mechanism of failure, and only slight degradation.

E5687



①




Figure 30. Accelerated Life Test Group RO, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B

## 2.17 Resistor, Fixed, Tin-Oxide Film, RL (Phase II)

Visual inspection of the MIL-type RLO7 tin-oxide film resistors after 7 months of tropical exposure revealed the following:

JRL - No degradation

JERL - No degradation

SRL - General and uniform lead corrosion


SERL - General lead corrosion more intense than for the SRL's

### Accelerated Stress Test Results

The drift and change in value of the tin-oxide film resistors during the MIL-STD-202C Method 106B stress test was within one order of magnitude less than the specified procurement tolerances. The maximum deviation for a single unit was -0.33 percent and the average of the maximum deviations would be +0.15 percent for the energized lots. The data summaries for the complete test are given in table D-2 of appendix D. The average percent change for the two test groups is plotted in figure 31.



E5689



CYCLES MIL-STD 2

①




Figure 31. Accelerated Life Test Group RL, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B

## 2.18 Resistor, Fixed, Metal Film, MF (Phase II)

Visual inspection of the MIL-type RN60C metal film fixed resistors after 7 months of tropical exposure revealed the following:

JMF - Slight lead discoloration.

JEMF - Slight lead discoloration.


SMF - Lead corrosion; slight discoloration at terminal area at body.

SEMF - Heavy corrosion at negative terminal at resistor body; general lead corrosion.

### Accelerated Stress Test Results

The RN60C metal film resistors suffered value changes greater than  $\pm 1$  percent of the initial value. Fifty percent were measured outside the procurement specification limits of 9,900 to 10,100 ohms. The data summary is given in table D-3 of appendix D. The average percent change is plotted in figure 32. The data and plot indicate degradation which could be from moisture due to lack of sealing around the terminal leads. This possibility was pointed out in the third quarterly report, reference 11. In addition, reference 11 noted that the conformal coating over the resistor surface was uneven, which could allow moisture to reach the metal film.

E5688



CYCLES MIL-STD 202C

①




Figure 32. Accelerated Life Test Group MF, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B

2

## 2.19 Resistor, Variable, Cermet, RJ (Phase II)

The visual inspection of the MIL-type RJ12 variable resistor after 7 months of tropical exposure is summarized as follows:

JRJ - No visible evidence of degradation

JERJ - No visible evidence of degradation

SRJ - No visible evidence of degradation or corrosion


SERJ - No visible evidence of degradation or corrosion

The field data for this component reveal a small number of failures due to reduced value and erratic performance. This is similar to the data reported below from the accelerated stress test. Since no attempt was made to effect a recovery (i.e., drying out), it can only be assumed that moisture accumulation inside the component is the responsible mechanism.

### Accelerated Stress Test Results

The cermet variable resistors were observed to be very stable except for one unit which had a 76 percent reduction in value. This change is attributed to moisture accumulation within the unit. Also it must be noted that recovery did take place prior to the conclusion of the test. The other units had small maximum value changes ranging from -0.43 percent to +2.02 percent. The summary data are given in table D-4 of appendix D and the average percent change is plotted in figure 33.

E5691



①




Figure 33. Accelerated Life Test Group RJ, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B



## 2.20 Capacitor, Fixed, Tantalum, Solid-Electrolyte, TA (Phase II)

Visual inspection of the TAM-type electrolytic capacitors after 7 months of tropical exposure is summarized as follows:

JTA - Slight discoloration of leads

JETA - Slight discoloration of leads

STA - General lead corrosion


SETA - General lead corrosion more severe near capacitor body

### Accelerated Stress Test Results

The TAM sintered-powder tantalum electrolytic capacitors were observed to exhibit stability well within the tolerance limits specified for the component. The change for both lots had the same characteristics of increased capacitance and increased dissipation factor. See table 4.

The greater increases in capacitance and dissipation factors for the energized vs the unenergized lot can be attributed to long-term polarization. The summary data printout for this test is given in table D-5 of appendix D and the average percent change in capacitance is plotted in figure 34.

E5695



(1)




Figure 34. Accelerated Life Box Group TA, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B

TABLE 4. MIL-STD-202C METHOD 106B STRESS TEST,  
ELECTROLYTIC CAPACITORS, TA, INCREMENTAL  
CHANGE DATA, CAPACITANCE

Component Number	Initial values		Maximum <sup>+</sup>		Increase (%) $\Delta C^{++}$
	C*	D**	C	D	
ATA-1	110.5	0.106	11.27	0.120	+2.0
ATA-2	10.56	0.054	10.82	0.058	+2.1
ATA-3	11.10	0.096	11.40	0.111	+2.7
ATA-4	10.22	0.118	10.48	0.137	+2.4
ATA-5	10.80	0.112	11.06	0.124	+2.4
AETA-1	11.03	0.140	11.45	0.175	+3.8
AETA-2	10.93	0.082	11.49	0.100	+5.1
AETA-3	11.00	0.069	11.44	0.085	+4.0
AETA-4	10.98	0.084	11.37	0.100	+3.5
AETA-5	11.04	0.163	11.39	0.190	+3.2

\*C = Capacitance in microfarads.

\*\*D = Dissipation factor.

+Maximum = maximum value recorded at any data point during test.

++ $\Delta C$  = capacitance change in percent of initial value.

## 2.21 Capacitor, Fixed, Tantalum, Liquid-Electrolyte, CL (Phase II)

The visual inspection of the MIL-type CL24 capacitors after exposure for 7 months to tropical environment is summarized as follows:

JCL - Body tarnished; black specks on plastic end seals.

JECL - Body tarnished; black specks on plastic end seals.

SCL - Body tarnished; plastic end seals appear dirty with gray and black specks.

SECL - Body tarnished; plastic end seals appear dirty with gray and black specks.

Figure 35 is a photograph of the jungle-exposed CL capacitors. The discoloration of the end seals can be seen.

### Accelerated Stress Testing Results

The etched-foil tantalum liquid-electrolyte type CL capacitors withstood the MIL-STD 202C Method 106B 20-cycle exposure with only a very small increase in capacitance value and a slight change in dissipation factor. See table 5.

The greater change was in the energized units, due presumably to prolonged polarization.

The data summaries for this test for both lots are given in table D-6 of appendix D and the average percent change in capacitance is plotted in figure 36.





Figure 35. JCL Capacitor, Fixed, Tantalum, Black Specks on End Seals

E5698



CYCLES MIL STD 202C MET

①




Figure 36. Accelerated Life Test Group CL, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B



TABLE 5. MIL-STD-202C METHOD 106B STRESS TEST,  
ELECTROLYTIC CAPACITOR, CL, INCREMENTAL  
CHANGE DATA, CAPACITANCE

Component Number	Initial values		Maximum <sup>+</sup>		Increase (%) $\Delta C^{++}$
	C*	D**	C	D	
ACL-1	4.172	.100	4.195	.094	+0.55
ACL-2	5.444	.090	5.467	.082	+0.42
ACL-3	6.130	.130	6.158	.121	+0.46
ACL-4	3.673	.086	3.690	.081	+0.46
ACL-5	4.058	.135	4.071	.122	+0.32
AECL-1	5.070	.130	5.126	.126	+1.1
AECL-2	5.673	.113	5.742	.108	+1.2
AECL-3	4.947	.116	4.989	.118	+0.85
AECL-4	4.389	.153	4.445	.144	+1.3
AECL-5	3.974	.127	4.050	.114	+1.9

\*C = capacitance in microfarads.

\*\*D = dissipation factor.

+maximum = max value recorded at any data point during test.

++ $\Delta C$  = capacitance change in percent of initial value.

## 2.22 Capacitor, Fixed, Ceramic, KC (Phase II)

The multiplate ceramic capacitors were visually inspected after 7 months of tropical exposure. The observations are as summarized here:

JKC - No visible degradation or corrosion.

JEKC - No visible degradation or corrosion.


SKC - One broken lead, and a rupture at the joint of the lead and the fired pad, the exact cause of which is not known. Lead corrosion was present.

SEKC - Lead corrosion present.

### Accelerated Stress Testing Results

The effect of temperature and humidity cycling per MIL-STD-202C Method 106B on the multiplate ceramic capacitors lacked direction for the nonenergized lot and was unidirectional for the energized lot. The values for dissipation factor remained very stable within the spread of 0.007 to 0.012. The data for this test are summarized and presented in table D-7 of appendix D and the average percent change in capacitance is plotted in figure 37.

E5697



①




Figure 37. Accelerated Life Test Group KC, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B

2

## 2.23 Capacitor, Fixed, Ceramic, VK (Phase II)

The MIL-type CK05 ceramic capacitors exposed to tropical environment for 7 months were inspected. The comments are summarized here:

JVK - No visible degradation or corrosion

JEVK - No visible degradation or corrosion

SVK - Slight lead corrosion


SEVK - Slight lead corrosion

### Accelerated Stress Test Results

The single-plate CK05 capacitors were exposed to the specified 20-cycle temperature and humidity environment. The components have a nominal value of 220 picofarads, but when the capacitors were installed in the test chamber with cabled leads to allow measurements to be made outside the chamber without disturbing the component environment, the measured values increased by over 100 picofarads due to the distributed capacity of the cable. The data as taken and processed include this combined value. The accuracy of results cannot be determined, and therefore, the processed data are given only as an indication. The measurement of dissipation factor also lacks known accuracy because the cabling in combination with the other capacitors appeared to present phase-shifted signals which, when nulled out, represent a measurement error. In the future, attempts will be made to modify the test technique to reduce this source of error.

The processed data for this test are presented in table D-8 of appendix D and the average percent change in capacitance is plotted in figure 38.

E5696



(1)




Figure 38. Accelerated Life Test Group VK, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B

## 2.24 Capacitor, Variable, Ceramic, VC (Phase II)

Visual inspection of the commercial ceramic variable capacitors after 7 months of tropical exposure is summarized here:

- JVC - Silver tarnished; ceramic and plastic dirty.
- JEVC - Silver tarnished; ceramic and plastic dirty.
- SVC - Silver tarnished; considerable evidence of salt and moisture accumulation on ceramic and plastic surfaces.
- SEVC - Same as for SVC above.

Figure 39 is a photograph of the jungle-located units with the above-mentioned dirty spots in evidence.

During the installation of the lead-acid batteries the excitation voltage on the capacitor panel of the Phase II components was observed to be depressed below the minimum limits. Investigation determined that leakage current through the 8K isolation resistor was sufficient to reduce the component voltage to less than 10 volts. The VC capacitors were isolated as having developed low insulation resistance; i.e., high leakage current. The extent of degradation was determined by both direct current resistance and "Q" measurements. Correlation was obtained between the methods. Resistance as low as 20,000 ohms was measured. In order to continue the test, it was necessary to put in additional isolation for the VC capacitors only. This additional isolation resistance, 220,000 ohms, reduced the excitation voltage across the VC's to approximately 2 volts.

The cause for this condition is ascribed to the very well known phenomenon of silver migration. Since these components are not sealed from moisture, this result could be expected. The field personnel were instructed to monitor the leakage resistance of these components.







Figure 39. JVC Capacitor, Variable, Ceramic, Dirty Appearance of Ceramic Discs

### Acceleration Stress Test Results

The laboratory stress testing of the variable ceramic capacitor did not yield reliable data owing to the large amount of cable capacitance which was subject to variation due to moisture and physical position changes. No catastrophic failure developed such as observed after 7 months of tropical exposure owing to the limited time span of the test. The processed data for this test are given in table D-9 of appendix D and the average percent change in capacitance is plotted in figure 40.

E5699



①




Figure 40. Accelerated Life Test Group VC, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B

## 2.25 Inductor, Variable, VI (Phase II)

The inspection of these components after 7 months in the tropics revealed only discoloration of the mounting hardware and clouding of the coil impregnating varnish. During the instruction period for the use of the "Q" Meter, a set of data was taken for one lot of these components. The only comparison possible is with the control lot.

Canal Zone:	f - 2.67 MHz	Q - 48 to 61
Control:	f - 2.00 MHz	Q - 93 to 101

This is an indication of 2:1 increase in losses. (NOTE: The laboratory control units at 2.67 MHz have Q value equal to or greater than the values at 2.00 MHz.)

The value of capacitance required to resonate the inductors in the field appeared to have a greater spread in value than for the inductors in the control group.


Based upon this investigation, plans are going forward to measure these components by "Q" Meter techniques at a nominal frequency of 1.50 MHz. This is an optimum based upon a measured self-resonance of 10.5 MHz for the coil assembly. At 1.50 MHz, the Q and inductance error as read directly on the meter approaches zero. It is felt that this technique will provide more uniform and realistic data for these components.

The first conjecture as to the cause of increased loss for these components is that moisture has been absorbed into the plastic impregnant or that the impregnation was incomplete and moisture had been absorbed into the fabric of the wire insulation.

### Accelerated Stress Test Results

The variable inductors, VI, when subjected to the MIL-STD-202C, Method 106B temperature and humidity test for 20 cycles exhibited no degradation or failure mechanism other than a slight shift in value during the first few cycles. The comment made regarding 1000 Hz measurement of the WE inductors also applies to the variable inductors VI. The data taken with long leads into the test chamber caused errors which could not be controlled or determined. Therefore the data do not reflect the performance of this component or frequencies normal to its application.

The processed data for this test are given in tables D-10 and D-11 of appendix D. The average percent change in inductance is plotted in figure 41.



①




Figure 41. Accelerated Life Test Group VI, MIL-STD 202C Method 106B

③



### 3. LABORATORY TEST, MIL-STD-202C, METHOD 106B

#### 3.1 General

In accordance with the stated guidelines of this program, Melpar completed during this quarter the second laboratory test considered capable of stressing electronic components so as to produce results which correlate with field exposure on an accelerated time scale. The method, equipment, and technique were fully described in the second quarterly report.<sup>10</sup> The component group were those of the second phase of this program; i.e., RO, RL, MF, RJ, VI, VC, TA, CL, KC, and VK as described in the third quarterly report.<sup>11</sup>

The only problem area encountered was in the measurement of the low value components. These were the variable ceramic capacitor, VC; the fixed ceramic capacitor, VK; and the variable inductor, VI. The constraints of not removing the components from the test chamber and energization of one-half of the units during 90 percent or more of the time required a test lead from each component to the test instruments outside the temperature humidity chambers. The distributed capacitance of these leads was observed to change due to movement of the cable assembly and the energization of the terminal boards. An attempt was made to ascertain the distributed capacitance with a control lead, but it was abandoned when the data lacked correlation. One solution would be to use calibrated test leads with the clips being manipulated with sealed gloves as in a "dry box," but this is not possible at present.

The performance of the components was almost without any drastic change, degradation, or failure. The only failure recorded was for one RJ

variable resistor which apparently held sorbed condensate, causing the resistance value to decrease to less than 50 percent. At the end of 7 months of tropical exposure, the same failure has been recorded for more than one of these components. The energized variable ceramic capacitors in the tropics have developed silver migration as a definite failure mechanism which has not been observed in the 20-day laboratory test.

### 3.2 Data Analysis

The data recorded from the laboratory test were processed in the same manner as the field and control data. The previous laboratory test results were compared with the jungle data on a 20:1 scale. It is considered premature at this time to prepare comparison plots since only 7 months of field data are available.

Failure limits for these components have not been established, but are awaiting analysis of both this test and a reasonable amount of field data.

#### 4. DUMMY COMPONENT TEST BOARDS

Two dummy component test boards were exposed in February and immediately showed the effects of the high humidity environment. Figure 42 shows the wiring and testing diagram of the boards, and table 6 gives the data accumulated since February and, in addition, the original calibration data.




Figure 42. Wiring and Test Diagram for Dummy Test Boards

TABLE 6. DUMMY COMPONENT TEST BOARD INSULATION RES

Insulation Resistance, Kilo-Megohms at 50

Laboratory Calibration			2/14/66	2/14/66	3/7/66	3/7/66
Reading*	Shore	Jungle	Shore	Jungle	Shore	Jungle
1 - A	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10
7 - B	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10
11 - C	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10
13 - D	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10
15 - $\frac{D+E}{2}$	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10
18 - E	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10
20 - F	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10
22 - $\frac{F+G}{2}$	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10
25 - G	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10
5 (Row 1)	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10
5 (Row 2)	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10
15 (Row 1)	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10
15 (Row 2)	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10
22 (Row 1)	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10
22 (Row 2)	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10
<u>Energizing Boards</u>						
8 or 7 - B	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10
16 or 15 - $\frac{D+E}{2}$	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10
22 - $\frac{F+G}{2}$	100	100	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10

\*

Terminal-to-terminal insulation resistance  
per arrangement given in figure 42.

Kilo-Megohms at 50 Volts (dc)

[illegible]

> 10	> 1	> 1	< 10	> 1	1	> 1
> 10	> 1	> 1	> 1	< 10	< 1	1
> 10	> 1	> 1	> 1	> 1	0.1	0.1

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions result from the effort on this contract for the fourth quarter and the year.

1. The value change for the 23-month tropically exposed components has during this year slowed and or stabilized except for catastrophic failures. The components exposed at the shore site evidence greater degradation than the same components exposed at the jungle site. The components being excited with direct current potential evidence greater degradation than the same unexcited components.

2. The majority of catastrophic failures are charged to the effects of corrosion from the deposition of salts present at the shore site. Numerous leads have broken due to corrosion, and at present, all component leads exhibit this action to various degrees. Solder joints and the gold-plated terminals have not been immune to corrosion; i.e., replacement of buss wire and the resoldering of wrapped connections have been common and necessary during the past year.

3. The terminal board decontamination procedure has reduced the data excursions previously reported and ascribed to the shunting of the terminal board by a salt water film. The shunting has been sufficiently reduced so that the measured data reflect the degree of the degradation process. This decontamination process does not eliminate shunting over the component outer surface, and with time, the data from the higher impedance units will reflect this deposition.

4. Two weeks of forced drying yielded value improvement for almost all of the 23-month components. The incremental recovery for the film-type

components was rather rapid, whereas for the bulk-type components the 2-week period may not have been sufficiently long to obtain a state of equilibrium.

5. The MIL-STD-202C Method 106B Laboratory Stress Exposure test for samples from the Phase I component group yielded correlation with the jungle-exposed bulk type components such as composition resistors, mica capacitors, and Mylar capacitors. It is conjectured that similar correlation could have been obtained with shore-exposed components if the board decontamination procedure had been initiated early in the program. This is based upon current data values which reflect similar average values for the jungle and shore samples.

6. The MIL-STD-202C, Method 106B Laboratory Stress Exposure test for samples from the Phase II component groups predicted a possible area of failure. One variable resistor, type RJ, was observed to decrease in value to -75 percent of its initial value. A similar failure has been observed for this component after months of exposure in the field. The failure mechanism of silver migration did not develop in 20 days in the laboratory, but did in the field exposure for the variable capacitor type VC.

7. The climatic environment in the Canal Zone is considerably more stable than the Method 106B test cycle. This is considered as one of the main reasons for lack of correlation for certain components.



#### 6. PROGRAM FOR THE NEXT INTERVAL

The program for the first quarter of the continuation contract DA-28-043-AMC-02222 (E) will concentrate on the development of a correlatable laboratory test. The specified MIL-STD-202C Method 106B, which has given limited correlation, will be set aside for a fixed temperature and humidity test with salt spray added. This is based upon the conclusion that moisture is the primary stress or degradation element in the tropical exposure. The effect of moisture in combination with salt at a beach site yields results of moisture absorption into the bulk materials and moisture and salt deposition on the surfaces for corrosion of metals and conductive shunting.

The first phase will be the evaluation of the results reported from the previous study in this area by Battelle Memorial Institute. These results, in combination with the capabilities of the salt fog chamber available at Melpar will determine the initial stress environment. Based upon results to date, both field and laboratory, it is felt that temperature need not be cycled and that relative humidity should be as high as possible. When salt is added to the environment, the humidity should not be so high as to cause the salt and corrosion products to be washed away by condensate. The only components scheduled for failure analysis and investigation out of the Phase I lot are the microminiature modules. The leads to these modules have suffered considerably from the effects of corrosion and it was decided to terminate their continued exposure. At the Agency's request, the remaining individual components remain exposed to the tropical environment.

The method of measuring the inductance and "Q" of the small inductors, type VI, will be changed from 1000 Hz on an impedance bridge to 1.5 MHz on a "Q" meter. This will yield data having a 1:1 correlation with the components normal circuit application.

No inspection trips are planned.

## 7. IDENTIFICATION OF KEY PERSONNEL

The following key technical personnel, whose résumés are on file at the agency have spent the following time on the program during the contract period of 1 June 1966 through May 31, 1966, and the additional time required to prepare, edit, and publish this report.

	Hours
A. A. Fini	427
W. B. Morrow	855
B. H. Dennison	969

## 8. REFERENCES

1. Report No. 1 "Tropical Service Life of Electronic Parts and Materials," First Quarterly Progress Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-AMC-02241 (E), USAERDL, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
2. Report No. 2 "Tropical Service Life of Electronic Parts and Materials," Second Quarterly Progress Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-AMC-02241 (E), USAERDL, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
3. Report No. 3 "Tropical Service Life of Electronic Parts and Materials," Third Quarterly Progress Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-AMC-02241 (E), USAERDL, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
4. Report No. 4 "Tropical Service Life of Electronic Parts and Materials," Annual Interim Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-AMC-02241 (E), USAERDL, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey.
5. Report No. 5 "Tropical Service Life of Electronic Parts and Materials," Fourth Quarterly Progress Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-AMC-02241 (E), USAERDL, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
6. Report No. 6 "Tropical Service Life of Electronic Parts and Materials," Fifth Quarterly Progress Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-AMC-02241 (E), USAERDL, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
7. Report No. 7 "Tropical Service Life of Electronic Parts and Materials," Sixth Quarterly Progress Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-AMC-02241 (E), USAERDL, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
8. Report No. 8 "Tropical Service Life of Electronic Parts and Materials," Final Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-AMC-02241 (E), USAERDL, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
9. Report No. 9 "Tropical Service Life of Electronic Parts and Materials," Report No. 1, Contract DA 28-043-AMC-01346 (E), USAERDL, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
10. Report No. 10 "Tropical Service Life of Electronic Parts and Materials," Report No. 2, Contract DA 28-043-AMC-01346 (E), USAERDL, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
11. Report No. 11 "Tropical Service Life of Electronic Parts and Materials," Report No. 3, Contract DA 28-043-AMC-01346 (E) USAERDL, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
12. "Laboratory Test Procedures for Predicting the Tropical Service Life of Electronic Components and Materials," First Quarterly Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-SC-64518, Squier Signal Laboratory Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.

13. "Laboratory Test Procedures for Predicting the Tropical Service Life of Electronic Components and Materials," Second Quarterly Progress Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-SC-64518, Squier Signal Laboratory, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
14. "Laboratory Test Procedures for Predicting the Tropical Service Life of Electronic Components and Materials," Third Quarterly Progress Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-SC-64518, Squier Signal Laboratory, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
15. "Laboratory Test Procedures for Predicting the Tropical Service Life of Electronic Components and Materials," Fourth Quarterly Progress Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-SC-64518, Squier Signal Laboratory, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
16. "Laboratory Test Procedures for Predicting the Tropical Service Life of Electronic Components and Materials," Fifth Quarterly Progress Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-SC-64518, Squier Signal Laboratory, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
17. "Laboratory Test Procedures for Predicting the Tropical Service Life of Electronic Components and Materials," Sixth Quarterly Progress Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-SC-64518, Squier Signal Laboratory, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
18. "Laboratory Test Procedures for Predicting the Tropical Service Life of Electronic Components and Materials," Seventh Quarterly Progress Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-SC-64518, Squier Signal Laboratory, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
19. "Laboratory Test Procedures for Predicting the Tropical Service Life of Electronic Components and Materials," Eighth Quarterly Progress Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-SC-64518, Squier Signal Laboratory, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
20. "Laboratory Test Procedures for Predicting the Tropical Service Life of Electronic Components and Materials," Twelfth Quarterly Progress Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-SC-64518, Squier Signal Laboratory, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
21. "Laboratory Test Procedures for Predicting the Tropical Service Life of Electronic Components and Materials," Thirteenth Quarterly Progress Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-SC-64518, Squier Signal Laboratory, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
22. "Laboratory Test Procedures for Predicting the Tropical Service Life of Electronic Components and Materials," Fourteenth Quarterly Progress Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-SC-64518, Squier Signal Laboratory, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.

23. "Communications Cable for Tropical Jungle Use," First Quarterly Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-AMC-02168 (E), USAERDL, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
24. "Communications Cable for Tropical Jungle Use," Interim Summary Report, Contract No. DA-36-039-AMC-02168 (E), USAERDL, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
25. "Corrosion of Metals in Tropical Environments," Part 1, Test Methods Used and Results Obtained for Pure Metals and a Structural Steel, NRL Report 4929, June 19, 1957, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C.
26. "Development of Microelectronic Circuits for Linear Applications," Report No. NADC -EL-6246, U.S. Naval Air Development Center, Johnsville, Pennsylvania.
27. "Inductors," Report No. 288-822, Contract No. DA-36-039-SC-75968, Radio Corporation of America, Camden, New Jersey.
28. "The Coming Era of Microelectronics," Technical Report Contract No. DA-288-547, USAERDL, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
29. "Tropicproofing Electrical Equipment," by Miroslav Rychtera and Bernarda Bartakova, 1963, Leonard Hill (Books) Limited, London, Sntl-Publishers of Technical Literature, Prague.
30. Report No. 1 "Tropical Service Life of Electrical Parts and Materials," First Quarterly Progress Report, Contract No. DA-28-043-AMC-01346 (E), U.S. Army Electronics Laboratories, Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey.
31. "Investigation of Methods to Reduce Animal Damage to Wire and Cable," J.R. Tigner and W.A. Bowles Jr. Presented at the Fourteenth Annual Wire and Cable Symposium, Dec. 1 - 3, 1965, Atlantic City, New Jersey.

APPENDIX A

ABBREVIATIONS, DEFINITIONS, AND COMPONENT IDENTITY

## APPENDIX A

### ABBREVIATIONS, DEFINITIONS, AND COMPONENT IDENTITY

#### 1. Electronic Components, Sample Lot Identity

The first letter of both the three- and four-letter combinations designates the location or specific stress test.

These letters and their identifications are:

A - MIL-STD-202C Method 106B 20 day, Temperature Humidity Exposure

B - 20 day one percent salt fog at 60°C exposure

C - Control - Laboratory, Melpar, Inc.

J - Jungle - Lat. 9° 22' 47" N  
Long. 79° 51' 49" W

S - Shore - Lat. 9° 24' 09" N  
Long. 79° 51' 49" W

The second letter of the four-letter combinations - E - denotes "Energized" component lot. For example:

JERC - Jungle Energized Resistor, Composition

If the component lot is not energized, only three letters are used:

JRC - Jungle (unenergized) Resistor, Composition

The last two letters of both the three- and four-letter combinations identify the component type. The asterisks are used to identify the date the components were put on test (see footnote). The component identification letters are:

CK (\*) Capacitor, fixed, ceramic, type CK12AX101K, MIL-C-11015

CL (\*\*) Capacitor, fixed, tantalum pentoxide dielectric, liquid electrolyte, type CL24BJ4R5UP3, MIL-C-3965/2A

\*Denotes component put on exposure at jungle and seashore beginning June 1964.

\*\*Denotes components put on exposure at jungle and seashore beginning September 1965.



CM (*)	Capacitor, fixed, mica, type CM06222J03, MIL-C-5
CS (*)	Capacitor, fixed, tantalum, solid electrolyte, type CSL3AF010K MIL-C-26655
CT (*)	Capacitor, fixed, Mylar, type CTM104VAK, MIL-C-27287
KC (**)	Capacitor, fixed, ceramic, multiplate construction. Commercial type 262C-067103X9101B
MC (*)	Capacitor, fixed, ceramic, micromodule assembly
MF (**)	Resistor, fixed, metal film, type RN60C1002F, MIL-R-10509E
MR (*)	Resistor, fixed, micromodule assembly
RC (*)	Resistor, fixed, composition, type RC07GF103J, MIL-R-11
RJ (**)	Resistor, variable, cermet film, type RJ12, Commercial specification 3052L
RL (**)	Resistor, fixed, tin oxide film, type RL07AD103J, MIL-R-22684
RN (*)	Resistor, fixed, carbon film, type RN55D1003F, MIL-R-10509
RO (**)	Resistor, fixed, composition, insulated, type RC08GF472J
RW (*)	Resistor, fixed, wire wound, type RW69V901, MIL-R-26
TA (**)	Capacitor, fixed, tantalum pentoxide dielectric, solid electrottype, type TAM 106M025P5C (commercial)
VC (**)	Capacitor, variable, ceramic, type 557-000-24R (commercial)
VI (**)	Inductor, variable, type X-2060-5 (commercial)
VK (**)	Capacitor, fixed, ceramic, type CK05CW221K, MIL-C-11015
WE (*)	Inductor, fixed, ferrite, type WEE-39 (commercial)

2. Cables, Telephone, Power, and Radio Frequency

(June 1964)

WD-1, Twisted pair field wire, polyethylene insulation with Nylon jacket, stranded conductor, steel reinforced.

WD-1, Modified twisted pair field wire, hard polyethylene with no jacket, stranded conductor, steel reinforced.

WF-16, Field wire, four conductor, two twisted pairs, each pair parallel laid, conductors stranded cadmium-copper.

RG330U, r-f cable, miniature coaxial, foamed polyethylene dielectric with high density polyethylene jacket.

RG58C/U, r-f cable, miniature coaxial, solid polyethylene dielectric with type II-A jacket, per MIL-C-17.

(September 1965)

Tropical jungle cable, developed per contract DA 36-039-AMC-02168(E), 2-conductor cable, solid alloy PD 135 conductor with propylene copolymer insulation.

RCA 012 Power cable, silicone rubber insulation, arctic neoprene jacket.

RCA 013 Power cable, butyl rubber insulation, arctic neoprene jacket.

WM 130 Multiconductor field telephone cable, per MIL-C-55036, 26 pair cable.

US 10-RG 326, coaxial cable, perforated Teflon tape, insulated polyurethane jacket.

RG-8A/U, low density polyethylene dielectric core with nitrile rubber-vinyl chloride jacket, per MIL-C-17.

RG-213/U construction, except ester-type urethane jacket (Formula C).

RG-213/U construction, except ether-type urethane jacket, ID-387, PP-20395.

RG-213/U construction, except ethylene copolymer jacket: i.e., ethylene plus one polar comonomer (DXDF 1211, PP-20423).

RG-213/U construction, except ester-type urethane jacket. Same as above except different manufacturer (Urethane Estane 58064).

RG-213/U, construction, except ethylene copolymer jacket,  
Alathan 2000, BK 30, TW-6.

RG-9/U, low density polyethylene dielectric core with nitrile  
rubber-vinyl chloride jacket, per MIL-C-17.

Hookup wires, 6145-K90-5660, 6144-K90-5638, and 6145-635-2820,  
per MIL-W-76A MW-C-20(10)U.

3. Connectors and Caps

(June 1964)

UG260D/U - Connector for RG330/U  
UG88E/U - Connector for RG58C/U  
CU282/U - Caps for UG260D/U and UG88E/U

(September 1965)

UG-23 Coaxial connector  
UG-21 Coaxial connector  
MX-913 Coaxial connector cap  
6950 Coaxial connector cap  
Twenty-six pair "hermaphrodite" connector per SCL-6024

APPENDIX B

DATA SUMMARIES FOR PHASE I COMPONENTS

JUNE 1964 TO JUNE 1966

## EXPLANATION OF TERMS

% CHANGE is  $\frac{X_i - X_o}{X_o} \times 100$ ,

where  $X_o$  = initial value, resistance, capacitance or Q

$X_i$  = value measured at data taking (internal)

LIMIT is the agreed tolerance limit based upon the component specifications and coefficients.

AVE % CH is the arithmetic average of the % CHANGE value for the sample lot, excluding catastrophic failures.

MEAN VAL is the MEAN or  $\bar{X}$  value of the sample lot, excluding catastrophic failures.

STD DEV is  $\sqrt{\sum \frac{f_i(X_i)^2}{N} - (\bar{X})^2}$

Standard deviation  $\sigma$  is in units of measure the same as those for the component.

% VAR is the PERCENT VARIANCE  $\frac{\sigma}{\bar{X}} \times 100$

TEMP/RH % is temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{F}$ ) and relative humidity (%) observed at the time the measurements were recorded.

FAIL D corresponds to the number of components in the lot whose value has exceeded the LIMIT but not exceeded twice the LIMIT with respect to the number of valid-data components.

FAIL C corresponds to the number of components in the lot whose value has exceeded TWICE the LIMIT with respect to the number of valid-data components.

TABLE B-1. DATA SUMMARY, CARBON COMPOSITION RESISTORS (RC)

TYPE	RC	RESISTOR SUMMARY---% CHANGE												LIMIT	
		06/19/64	06/22/64	06/28/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/19/64	09/09/64	09/29/64	10/19/64	11/09/64	11/30/64	12/23/64	11.50	
AVE % CH	JRC	.52	1.21	1.87	3.95	4.84	5.45	5.64	5.71	5.56	5.71	5.75	5.19		
MEAN VAL		10.022	10.074	10.143	10.210	10.418	10.507	10.568	10.587	10.594	10.579	10.598	10.542		
STD DEV		.107	.152	.084	.107	.129	.148	.115	.101	.093	.157	.117	.098		
% VAR		1.07	1.51	.83	1.05	1.24	1.41	1.09	.95	.88	1.48	1.10	.93		
TEMP/RH%		80/88	85/70	83/72	80/88	77/00	79/92	88/79	83/83	79/86	82/88	73/00	86/64		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
JERC		06/19/64	06/22/64	06/28/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/19/64	09/09/64	09/29/64	10/19/64	11/09/64	11/30/64	12/23/64		
AVE % CH		.59	1.23	2.01	4.00	4.86	5.45	5.62	5.67	5.45	5.60	5.59	5.04		
MEAN VAL		10.020	10.079	10.143	10.222	10.421	10.507	10.566	10.583	10.587	10.566	10.581	10.524		
STD DEV		.098	.141	.152	.064	.076	.139	.128	.083	.154	.122	.135	.156		
% VAR		.98	1.40	1.50	.63	.73	1.32	1.21	.78	1.45	1.15	1.28	1.48		
TEMP/RH%		82/89	84/81	79/86	81/74	78/85	81/84	88/74	84/78	80/88	82/89	74/00	89/60		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
SRC		06/20/64	06/23/64	06/29/64	07/14/64	07/30/64	08/20/64	09/11/64	09/30/64	10/20/64	11/10/64	12/01/64	12/24/64		
AVE % CH		.70	.97	1.82	3.43	4.18	4.54	4.68	4.62	4.56	4.70	4.59	4.34		
MEAN VAL		9.987	10.057	10.084	10.330	10.405	10.440	10.455	10.449	10.442	10.456	10.446	10.420		
STD DEV		.119	.111	.075	.088	.089	.123	.116	.076	.135	.136	.101	.138		
% VAR		1.19	1.10	.74	.87	.91	1.18	1.11	.73	1.29	1.30	.97	1.32		
TEMP/RH%		78/89	87/62	85/74	85/72	84/72	84/72	91/72	85/75	76/90	82/92	78/90	79/84		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
SERC		06/20/64	06/23/64	06/29/64	07/14/64	07/30/64	08/20/64	09/11/64	09/30/64	10/20/64	11/10/64	12/01/64	12/24/64		
AVE % CH		.58	.92	1.63	3.32	4.00	4.35	4.46	4.42	4.42	4.51	4.39	4.03		
MEAN VAL		9.986	10.044	10.078	10.317	10.386	10.420	10.432	10.428	10.428	10.437	10.425	10.389		
STD DEV		.133	.125	.118	.137	.078	.143	.118	.062	.125	.104	.081	.134		
% VAR		1.33	1.24	1.17	1.33	.75	1.37	1.13	.59	1.20	1.00	.78	1.29		
TEMP/RH%		79/82	87/69	84/80	84/72	85/64	82/82	89/79	86/70	77/89	83/89	78/90	82/76		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
CRC		02/27/64	06/10/64	07/13/64	07/28/64	08/18/64	09/09/64	09/29/64	10/26/64	11/24/64	12/15/64	01/05/65	01/22/65		
AVE % CH		.13-	1.32	1.92	2.03	2.07	2.27	1.96	.88	.47	.31	.38	.04-		
MEAN VAL		9.917	9.903	10.048	10.118	10.122	10.142	10.111	10.004	9.963	9.947	9.955	9.912		
STD DEV		.086	.134	.078	.205	.093	.131	.120	.126	.103	.138	.084	.137		
% VAR		.87	1.35	.78	2.03	.92	1.29	1.19	1.26	1.03	1.39	.84	1.38		
TEMP/RH%		74/15	80/60	77/60	74/68	76/66	78/60	83/56	75/40	81/26	72/25	78/28	74/29		
FAIL D		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50		
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50		

TABLE B-1. DATA SUMMARY, CARBON COMPOSITION RESISTORS (RC) (Cont.)

TYPE JRC	RC	RESISTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE										LIMIT	
		01/11/65	02/02/65	02/23/65	03/18/65	04/08/65	04/27/65	06/09/65	07/01/65	07/22/65	08/12/65	08/31/65	11/50
AVE % CH	4.76	5.05	4.91	4.57	4.21	4.43	5.53	5.82	5.38	5.92	6.04	5.74	
	10.499	10.528	10.514	10.480	10.443	10.465	10.576	10.605	10.561	10.615	10.627	10.598	
	STD DEV	.100	.159	.146	.150	.153	.125	.134	.153	.143	.140	.092	
	% VAR	.95	1.51	1.39	1.43	1.46	1.18	1.26	1.45	1.35	1.32	.87	
TEMP/RH%	83/66	81/76	78/80	79/82	80/88	84/73	80/78	80/96	88/66	76/96	82/83	82/80	
	FAIL D	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
	FAIL C	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
	JERC	01/11/65	02/02/65	02/23/65	03/18/65	04/08/65	04/27/65	06/09/65	07/01/65	07/22/65	08/12/65	08/31/65	10/04/65
AVE % CH	4.67	4.92	4.83	4.53	4.19	4.39	5.47	5.75	5.33	5.82	5.93	5.61	
	10.487	10.513	10.504	10.474	10.439	10.460	10.568	10.596	10.554	10.603	10.614	10.582	
	STD DEV	.139	.145	.119	.087	.111	.140	.066	.091	.076	.145	.089	
	% VAR	1.33	1.38	1.13	.83	1.48	1.32	.62	.86	.72	1.37	.84	
TEMP/RH%	84/61	80/76	81/72	80/80	81/88	85/69	81/95	78/96	87/66	77/96	80/92	82/80	
	FAIL D	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
	FAIL C	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
	SRC	01/12/65	02/03/65	02/24/65	03/19/65	04/09/65	04/29/65	06/10/65	07/06/65	07/23/65	08/13/65	09/01/65	10/05/65
AVE % CH	4.07	4.12	4.40	4.32	4.16	4.05	4.61	.04-	4.43	4.63	3.81	4.06	
	10.394	10.399	10.426	10.419	10.403	10.391	10.448	9.982	10.430	10.449	10.367	10.393	
	STD DEV	.057	.104	.148	.083	.103	.141	.110	.090	.135	.161	.102	
	% VAR	.55	1.00	1.42	.80	.99	1.36	1.05	.86	1.29	1.55	.98	
TEMP/RH%	77/89	79/00	78/82	82/85	81/77	82/76	86/66	87/76	85/72	85/66	86/61	77/98	
	FAIL D	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
	FAIL C	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
	SERC	01/12/65	02/03/65	02/24/65	03/19/65	04/09/65	04/29/65	06/10/65	07/06/65	07/23/65	08/13/65	09/01/65	10/05/65
AVE % CH	3.86	4.02	4.34	4.07	3.93	3.76	4.66	4.03	4.24	4.10	4.59	4.44	
	10.371	10.388	10.419	10.393	10.379	10.362	10.451	10.388	10.409	10.396	10.444	10.429	
	STD DEV	.148	.127	.135	.097	.115	.167	.191	.147	.101	.135	.146	
	% VAR	1.43	1.22	1.30	.93	1.11	1.60	1.84	1.41	.97	1.29	1.40	
TEMP/RH%	78/74	80/90	80/74	83/80	83/70	85/67	86/74	89/71	84/74	82/76	85/60	81/90	
	FAIL D	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
	FAIL C	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
	CRC	02/19/65	03/18/65	04/10/65	06/18/65	07/15/65	08/16/65	09/08/65	09/17/65	10/07/65	10/27/65	11/11/65	12/07/65
AVE % CH	.10-	.25-	.03-	1.87	2.43	2.72	2.70	2.80	2.25	1.73	1.10	.15	
	9.907	9.892	9.913	10.102	10.158	10.187	10.185	10.195	10.140	10.088	10.025	9.932	
	STD DEV	.132	.123	.160	.102	.129	.082	.082	.121	.149	.157	.114	
	% VAR	1.33	1.24	1.61	1.01	1.27	.81	.80	1.19	1.48	1.57	1.15	
TEMP/RH%	72/20	79/29	78/34	72/59	73/62	70/65	73/64	72/72	76/29	75/32	82/34	99/22	
	FAIL D	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	
	FAIL C	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	

TABLE B-1. DATA SUMMARY, CARBON COMPOSITION RESISTORS (RC) (Cont.)

LIMIT 11.50

TYPE	RC	RESISTOR SUMMARY---% CHANGE											
		10/27/65	11/17/65	12/08/65	01/20/66	02/08/66	03/02/66	03/23/66	04/14/66	05/04/66	05/26/66		
AVE % CH		5.78	6.00	6.27	5.61	5.12	5.02	4.63	4.64	5.59	2.21		
MEAN VAL		10.601	10.623	10.650	10.584	10.535	10.525	10.486	10.487	10.582	10.243		
STD DEV		.134	.117	.133	.092	.103	.130	.096	.087	.101	.160		
% VAR		1.26	1.10	1.25	.87	.98	1.24	.92	.83	.95	1.56		
TEMP/RH%		76/98	76/94	85/70	82/78	88/56	86/64	82/76	89/56	84/66	72/47		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
JERC		10/27/65	11/17/65	12/08/65	01/20/66	02/08/66	03/02/66	03/23/66	04/14/66	05/04/66	05/26/66		
AVE % CH		5.72	5.77	6.11	5.42	4.99	4.86	4.57	4.57	5.49	2.22		
MEAN VAL		10.593	10.597	10.632	10.562	10.520	10.507	10.478	10.478	10.570	10.242		
STD DEV		.146	.157	.118	.159	.147	.143	.081	.115	.101	.096		
% VAR		1.38	1.48	1.11	1.51	1.40	1.36	.77	1.10	.96	.94		
TEMP/RH%		76/98	78/84	84/70	84/74	88/56	86/62	83/72	89/56	84/64	72/46		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
SRC		10/28/65	11/18/65	12/09/65	01/21/66	02/11/66	03/07/66	03/28/66	04/20/66	05/09/66	05/27/66		
AVE % CH		4.43	4.52	5.02	4.49	4.36	4.34	4.51	4.53	5.20	1.68		
MEAN VAL		10.429	10.439	10.488	10.436	10.423	10.421	10.438	10.440	10.506	10.155		
STD DEV		.137	.107	.153	.101	.122	.088	.119	.098	.199	.123		
% VAR		1.31	1.03	1.46	.97	1.17	.84	1.14	.94	1.89	1.21		
TEMP/RH%		80/86	74/92	86/64	85/72	88/56	84/64	85/66	88/70	86/72	66/50		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
SERC		10/28/65	11/18/65	12/09/65	01/21/66	02/11/66	03/07/66	03/28/66	04/20/66	05/09/66	05/27/66		
AVE % CH		4.63	4.88	5.17	5.87	4.37	4.92	5.22	5.29	5.85	1.55		
MEAN VAL		10.449	10.473	10.503	10.571	10.422	10.477	10.506	10.513	10.569	10.144		
STD DEV		.070	.183	.157	.735	.147	.318	.448	.468	.585	.119		
% VAR		.67	1.75	1.49	6.95	1.41	3.04	4.26	4.45	5.54	1.17		
TEMP/RH%		79/90	74/90	85/62	85/72	88/54	84/64	86/68	88/70	88/68	68/50		
FAIL D		0/25	1/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25		
CRC		12/28/65	01/19/66	02/04/66	02/24/66	03/25/66	05/11/66						
AVE % CH		.05	.12-	.06-	.18	.53	.97						
MEAN VAL		9.921	9.904	9.910	9.935	9.969	10.013						
STD DEV		.147	.168	.156	.107	.138	.085						
% VAR		1.48	1.70	1.57	1.08	1.38	.85						
TEMP/RH%		80/23	72/21	78/46	71/59	72/50	76/50						
FAIL D		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50						
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50						



TABLE B-2. DATA SUMMARY, FILM RESISTORS

TYPE	RN	RESISTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE										LIMIT
JRN		06/19/64	06/22/64	06/28/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/19/64	09/09/64	09/29/64	10/19/64	11/09/64	11/30/64 12/23/64
AVE % CH		.02-	.55-	.29-	.25	.20	.31	.20	.27	.27	.25	.18 .35
MEAN VAL	99.68	99.66	99.13	99.38	99.93	99.88	99.98	99.87	99.94	99.94	99.93	99.85 100.02
STD DEV	.85	.43	.35	1.07	.74	.76	.68	.93	1.02	1.06	.25	.75 .55
% VAR	.85	.43	.35	1.08	.74	.76	.68	.93	1.02	1.06	.25	.75 .55
TEMP/RH%		80/88	84/74	84/66	80/88	77/98	79/88	88/80	84/82	80/85	84/86	73/00 86/64
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25 0/25
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25 0/25
JERN		06/19/64	06/22/64	06/28/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/19/64	09/09/64	09/29/64	10/19/64	11/09/64	11/30/64 12/23/64
AVE % CH		.04	.10-	.10-	.19	.11	.21	.15	.17	.24	.28	.31 .29
MEAN VAL	99.40	99.44	99.40	99.30	99.59	99.51	99.60	99.55	99.57	99.64	99.68	99.71 99.69
STD DEV	.68	.72	.67	.69	.37	.52	1.03	.19	.46	.46	.19	.54 .44
% VAR	.68	.72	.67	.69	.37	.52	1.03	.19	.46	.46	.19	.54 .44
TEMP/RH%		82/77	84/77	80/85	81/70	79/95	82/83	89/80	85/76	80/85	81/90	74/00 90/58
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25 0/25
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25 0/25
SRN		06/20/64	06/23/64	06/29/64	07/14/64	07/30/64	08/20/64	09/11/64	09/30/64	10/20/64	11/10/64	12/01/64 12/24/64
AVE % CH		.05	.33-	.28-	.09-	.08	.14	.06	.06-	.87-	2.01-	3.95- 7.14-
MEAN VAL	99.63	99.69	99.31	99.36	99.55	99.72	99.78	99.69	99.58	98.77	97.63	95.70 92.53
STD DEV	1.11	.45	.57	.68	.42	.71	.14	.98	.40	1.17	1.18	1.51 1.68
% VAR	1.11	.45	.57	.68	.42	.71	.14	.98	.40	1.18	1.21	1.58 1.82
TEMP/RH%		78/90	87/66	84/82	84/72	84/70	84/70	90/76	85/72	76/90	82/86	78/90 79/89
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	8/25	17/25 0/25
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	2/25	8/25 25/25
SERN		06/20/64	06/23/64	06/29/64	07/14/64	07/30/64	08/20/64	09/11/64	09/30/64	10/20/64	11/10/64	12/01/64 12/24/64
AVE % CH		.03-	.28-	.21-	.07-	.01-	.07	.01	.01	.21-	.73-	1.36- 1.67-
MEAN VAL	99.78	99.75	99.50	99.57	99.71	99.77	99.85	99.79	99.78	99.58	99.05	98.43 98.12
STD DEV	.50	.39	.41	.81	.43	.84	.43	.91	1.10	.73	1.26	1.22 1.74
% VAR	.50	.39	.41	.81	.43	.84	.43	.91	1.10	.73	1.27	1.24 1.77
TEMP/RH%		79/86	87/72	84/73	84/72	85/67	82/80	89/79	86/75	77/90	83/90	79/90 82/77
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	9/25 9/25
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25 1/25
CRN		03/02/64	04/09/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/18/64	09/09/64	09/29/64	10/26/64	11/24/64	12/15/64	01/05/65 01/22/65
AVE % CH		.05	.32-	.43-	.02-	.10-	.04-	.13-	.19-	.14-	.03-	.06-
MEAN VAL	99.33	99.38	99.01	98.90	99.31	99.23	99.30	99.20	99.14	99.19	99.34	99.30 99.27
STD DEV	.85	.90	.45	.93	.94	.41	.89	.10	.82	.38	.89	.88 .80
% VAR	.86	.91	.45	.94	.95	.41	.90	.10	.83	.38	.90	.89 .81
TEMP/RH%		74/15	80/59	77/60	74/70	76/66	78/60	83/56	75/40	81/26	72/25	78/28 74/29
FAIL D		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50 0/50
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50 0/50

TABLE B-2. DATA SUMMARY, FILM RESISTORS (Cont.)

TYPE JRN	RN	RESISTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE												LIMIT	
		01/11/65	02/02/65	02/23/65	03/18/65	04/08/65	04/27/65	06/09/65	07/01/65	07/22/65	08/12/65	08/31/65	10/04/65	2.00	
AVE % CH		.40	.27	.41	.42	.42	.37	.58	.21	.22	.13	.23	.44		
MEAN VAL		100.08	99.95	100.08	100.09	100.09	100.05	100.26	99.88	99.89	99.81	99.91	100.11		
STD DEV		.85	.31	1.02	.69	1.02	.41	1.61	1.00	1.06	.45	.71	.64		
% VAR		.85	.31	1.02	.69	1.02	.41	1.61	1.00	1.06	.45	.71	.64		
TEMP/RH%		84/66	81/76	78/79	79/83	80/88	84/73	82/78	82/95	87/66	80/86	82/84	82/78		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
JERN		01/11/65	02/02/65	02/23/65	03/18/65	04/08/65	04/27/65	06/09/65	07/01/65	07/22/65	08/12/65	08/31/65	10/04/65		
AVE % CH		.36	.37	.39	.47	.47	.45	.47	.46	.33	.54	.60	.65		
MEAN VAL		99.75	99.76	99.79	99.87	99.86	99.85	99.87	99.86	99.73	99.93	100.00	100.05		
STD DEV		.97	.84	.85	.50	.90	.39	.73	.33	.15	.70	.14	.36		
% VAR		.97	.84	.85	.50	.90	.39	.73	.33	.15	.70	.14	.36		
TEMP/RH%		84/60	81/75	82/70	80/80	81/86	85/69	82/96	78/96	87/67	77/96	80/91	82/78		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
SRN		01/12/65	02/03/65	02/24/65	03/19/65	04/09/65	04/29/65	06/10/65	07/06/65	07/23/65	08/13/65	09/01/65	10/05/65		
AVE % CH		7.40-	10.93-		.23-	.56-	1.49-	1.85-	1.23-	5.14-	2.26-	4.05-	.04		
MEAN VAL		92.26	88.74	99.63	99.41	99.08	98.15	97.80	98.41	94.52	97.39	95.68	99.68		
STD DEV		2.00	3.54	.96	.35	.67	.91	1.64	.77	2.27	.99	2.14	.79		
% VAR		2.17	3.99	.96	.35	.68	.93	1.68	.78	2.40	1.02	2.24	.79		
TEMP/RH%		75/84	79/00	78/80	82/85	81/75	84/70	86/68	87/76	85/72	85/66	86/61	78/96		
FAIL D		1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	5/25	7/25	3/25	6/25	14/25	11/25	0/25		
FAIL C		24/25	25/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	3/25	0/25	18/25	0/25	11/25	0/25		
SERN		01/12/65	02/03/65	02/24/65	03/19/65	04/09/65	04/29/65	06/10/65	07/06/65	07/23/65	08/13/65	09/01/65	10/05/65		
AVE % CH		2.00-	3.84-	.72-	.81-	.67-	.26-	.04-	.21	.11-	.21	.16	.31		
MEAN VAL		97.79	95.95	99.06	98.97	99.11	99.52	99.74	99.99	99.67	99.99	99.94	100.09		
STD DEV		2.18	4.01	1.61	1.69	.98	1.05	.34	.48	1.20	.48	.74	.94		
% VAR		2.23	4.18	1.63	1.71	.99	1.06	.34	.48	1.20	.48	.74	.94		
TEMP/RH%		78/75	80/89	80/74	83/80	83/70	85/67	87/73	88/72	84/74	82/76	85/60	81/88		
FAIL D		7/25	5/25	3/25	2/25	1/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		5/25	10/25	1/25	2/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
CRN		02/20/65	03/18/65	04/10/65	06/18/65	07/15/65	08/16/65	09/08/65	09/17/65	10/07/65	10/27/65	11/11/65	12/07/65		
AVE % CH		.01-	.11-	.09-	.03-	.17-	.06-	.10-	.11-	.09-	.11-	.17-	.14-		
MEAN VAL		99.32	99.23	99.25	99.30	99.17	99.27	99.23	99.22	99.24	99.22	99.17	99.19		
STD DEV		.90	.52	.68	.57	.68	.10	.85	.87	.75	.83	.71	.80		
% VAR		.91	.52	.69	.57	.69	.10	.86	.88	.76	.84	.72	.81		
TEMP/RH%		72/20	79/29	78/34	72/59	73/62	70/65	73/64	72/71	76/29	75/32	82/34	78/22		
FAIL D		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50		
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50		

TABLE B-2. DATA SUMMARY, FILM RESISTORS (Cont.)

TYPE JRN	RN	RESISTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE												LIMIT	
		10/27/65	11/17/65	12/08/65	01/20/66	02/08/66	03/02/66	03/23/66	04/14/66	05/04/66	05/26/66				2.00
Ave % CH		.45	.42	.38	.42	.40	.43	.56	.46	.45	.64				
MEAN VAL		100.12	100.09	100.05	100.09	100.08	100.10	100.23	100.13	100.13	100.31				
STD DEV		.75	.58	1.04	.79	.44	.87	.96	.59	.17	.82				
% VAR		.75	.58	1.04	.79	.44	.87	.96	.59	.17	.82				
TEMP/RH%		76/98	76/94	85/70	82/78	88/56	86/62	82/78	89/56	84/66	72/47				
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25				
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25				
JERN		10/27/65	11/17/65	12/08/65	01/20/66	02/08/66	03/02/66	03/23/66	04/14/66	05/04/66	05/26/66				
Ave % CH		.65	.60	.59	.68	.69	.74	.79	.76	.76	1.03				
MEAN VAL		100.05	99.99	99.98	100.07	100.08	100.13	100.18	100.15	100.15	100.42				
STD DEV		.66	.89	1.11	1.04	.92	.66	.36	.18	1.00	.60				
% VAR		.66	.89	1.11	1.04	.92	.66	.36	.18	1.00	.60				
TEMP/RH%		76/98	78/84	84/70	85/73	88/56	86/62	83/70	89/56	84/66	72/46				
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25				
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25				
SRN		10/28/65	11/18/65	12/09/65	01/21/66	02/11/66	03/07/66	03/28/66	04/20/66	05/09/66	05/27/66				
Ave % CH		.01	.10	.06	.19-	.16	.10	.30	.46	.46	.81				
MEAN VAL		99.65	99.74	99.69	99.45	99.79	99.74	99.93	100.09	100.09	100.45				
STD DEV		.29	.47	1.10	.70	.89	.40	.69	1.02	1.33	.53				
% VAR		.29	.47	1.10	.70	.89	.40	.69	1.02	1.33	.53				
TEMP/RH%		79/96	74/92	6 /66	85/72		85/62	86/66	88/70	89/68	66/50				
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25				
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25				
SERN		10/28/65	11/18/65	12/09/65	01/21/66	02/11/66	03/07/66	03/28/66	04/20/66	05/09/66	05/27/66				
Ave % CH		.34	.76	.45	.45	.47	.60	1.06	.61	.79	.92				
MEAN VAL		100.12	100.54	100.24	100.24	100.27	100.39	100.85	100.43	100.61	100.74				
STD DEV		.68	1.71	.71	1.04	.51	1.14	2.06	.57	.80	.51				
% VAR		.68	1.70	.71	1.04	.51	1.14	2.04	.57	.80	.51				
TEMP/RH%		79/90	74/92	85/62	85/72	88/54	84/64	86/66	88/72	88/66	68/50				
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/24	0/24	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25				
FAIL C		0/25	1/25	0/24	0/24	1/25	1/25	2/25	2/25	2/25	2/25				
CRN		12/28/65	01/19/66	02/04/66	02/24/66	03/25/66	05/11/66								
Ave % CH		.18-	.06	.15	.09	.23	.12-								
MEAN VAL		99.16	99.40	99.49	99.42	99.57	99.22								
STD DEV		.88	.99	.62	.90	.58	.86								
% VAR		.89	1.00	.62	.91	.58	.87								
TEMP/RH%		80/23	73/21	78/46	72/58	71/52	76/50								
FAIL D		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50								
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50								

TABLE B-3. DATA SUMMARY, WIREWOUND RESISTORS (RW)

TYPE	RW	RESISTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE										LIMIT	
		06/19/64	06/22/64	06/28/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/19/64	09/09/64	09/29/64	10/19/64	11/09/64	11/30/64	12/23/64
AVE % CH		.02-	.02-	.18-	.05-	.02	.01	.02	.01	.02-	.03-	.13-	.06
MEAN VAL	913.7	913.4	913.4	912.0	913.2	913.8	913.8	913.9	913.8	913.5	913.4	912.5	914.2
STD DEV	4.8	10.1	10.1	11.5	2.8	8.5	7.1	7.7	6.7	8.3	9.9	3.3	2.7
% VAR	.53	1.11	1.11	1.26	.31	.93	.78	.84	.73	.91	1.08	.36	.30
TEMP/RH%		80/84	84/72	85/80	80/84	78/98	80/86	87/82	84/86	80/84	84/84	74/00	86/62
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
JERW		06/19/64	06/22/64	06/28/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/19/64	09/09/64	09/29/64	10/19/64	11/09/64	11/30/64	12/23/64
AVE % CH		.02-	.06-	.28-	.04-	.03	.03	.04	.02	.02-	.02-	.07-	.06
MEAN VAL	903.9	903.7	903.3	901.3	903.5	904.2	904.2	904.2	904.1	903.8	903.7	903.2	904.4
STD DEV	8.7	11.3	10.2	12.1	9.6	9.5	8.3	14.4	8.7	14.0	11.3	14.1	11.2
% VAR	.96	1.25	1.13	1.34	1.06	1.05	.92	1.59	.96	1.55	1.25	1.56	1.24
TEMP/RH%		82/79	83/80	80/89	80/72	80/88	82/79	89/76	85/76	80/80	81/90	74/00	90/59
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
SRW		06/20/64	06/23/64	06/29/64	07/14/64	07/30/64	08/20/64	09/11/64	09/30/64	10/20/64	11/10/64	12/01/64	12/24/64
AVE % CH		.09-	.09-	.36-	.08-	.03	.03	.02	.02	.02-	.05-	.06-	.03
MEAN VAL	902.2	902.2	901.4	899.0	901.5	902.5	902.5	902.4	902.4	902.0	901.8	901.7	902.6
STD DEV	13.0	13.3	11.8	9.1	14.5	9.5	9.1	14.1	14.3	14.4	11.5	11.2	6.3
% VAR	1.44	1.47	1.31	1.01	1.61	1.05	1.01	1.56	1.58	1.60	1.28	1.24	.70
TEMP/RH%		78/93	87/68	84/70	85/72	84/72	84/74	90/72	85/72	76/86	82/90	78/88	81/76
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
SERW		06/20/64	06/23/64	06/29/64	07/14/64	07/30/64	08/20/64	09/11/64	09/30/64	10/20/64	11/10/64	12/01/64	12/24/64
AVE % CH		.07-	.26-	.74-	.37	.01	.01	.02	.01	.02-	.04-	.07-	.01-
MEAN VAL	902.6	902.0	900.3	895.9	905.9	902.8	902.8	902.9	902.7	902.5	902.2	902.0	902.5
STD DEV	18.4	13.8	16.3	17.4	25.6	14.4	12.8	13.3	16.9	13.9	17.9	14.9	16.0
% VAR	2.04	1.53	1.81	1.94	2.83	1.60	1.42	1.47	1.87	1.54	1.98	1.65	1.77
TEMP/RH%		79/84	87/71	83/83	84/75	85/66	82/82	90/74	87/71	78/86	83/90	79/86	84/70
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
GRW		02/25/64	06/10/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/18/64	09/09/64	09/29/64	10/27/64	11/24/64	12/15/64	01/05/65	01/22/65
AVE % CH		.26-	.26-	.08-	.09-	.13-	.12-	.06-	.11-	.08-	.08-	.09-	.10-
MEAN VAL	905.3	905.3	903.0	904.5	904.5	904.1	904.2	904.7	904.3	904.5	904.6	904.5	904.4
STD DEV	10.5	12.0	15.7	14.1	13.1	9.5	11.3	15.2	10.7	14.9	12.4	7.9	11.1
% VAR	1.16	1.33	1.74	1.56	1.45	1.05	1.25	1.68	1.18	1.65	1.37	.87	1.23
TEMP/RH%		74/15	81/60	77/60	74/69	76/65	78/60	83/56	75/41	81/26	73/26	78/28	75/30
FAIL D		0/50	1/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50

TABLE B-3. DATA SUMMARY, WIREWOUND RESISTORS (RW) (Cont.)

TYPE	RW	RESISTOR SUMMARY---% CHANGE												LIMIT
JRW		01/11/65	02/02/65	02/23/65	03/18/65	04/08/65	04/27/65	06/09/65	07/01/65	07/22/65	08/12/65	08/31/65	10/04/65	5.25
AVE % CH		.05	.06	.03	.03	.04	.04	.01	.01	.01		.01		
MEAN VAL		914.1	914.2	914.0	914.0	914.0	914.1	913.7	913.7	913.7	913.7	913.7	913.6	
STD DEV		11.1	5.2	6.0	6.5	11.5	6.1	8.9	11.8	4.7	4.7	8.5	11.4	
% VAR		1.21	.57	.66	.71	1.26	.67	.97	1.29	.51	.51	.93	1.25	
TEMP/RH%		84/64	81/79	79/78	79/83	80/87	85/70	83/70	83/92	87/66	80/86	81/90	82/80	
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
JERW		01/11/65	02/02/65	02/23/65	03/18/65	04/08/65	04/27/65	06/09/65	07/01/65	07/22/65	08/12/65	08/31/65	10/04/65	
AVE % CH		.05	.05	.06	.06	.07	.07	.04	.04	.01	.01	.01		
MEAN VAL		904.3	904.3	904.4	904.4	904.5	904.5	904.2	904.2	904.0	904.0	904.0	903.8	
STD DEV		15.1	14.6	12.8	13.6	10.3	10.6	14.8	12.5	8.3	11.9	9.6	14.0	
% VAR		1.67	1.61	1.42	1.50	1.14	1.17	1.64	1.38	.92	1.32	1.06	1.55	
TEMP/RH%		84/60	81/74	82/71	81/76	81/86	86/67	82/93	78/96	87/66	76/96	80/92	82/80	
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
SRW		01/12/65	02/03/65	02/24/65	03/19/65	04/09/65	04/29/65	06/10/65	07/06/65	07/23/65	08/13/65	09/01/65	10/05/65	
AVE % CH		.02	.04	.04	.05	.05	.06	.23	.04	.05	.04	.05	.04	
MEAN VAL		902.4	902.6	902.6	902.7	902.7	902.8	904.3	902.6	902.6	902.6	902.7	902.6	
STD DEV		12.8	6.9	12.5	7.3	12.1	11.2	13.8	9.2	14.4	13.1	9.8	12.4	
% VAR		1.42	.76	1.38	.81	1.34	1.24	1.53	1.02	1.60	1.45	1.09	1.37	
TEMP/RH%		77/74	79/94	79/78	82/82	82/74	84/70	86/68	89/72	84/80	85/70	85/62	78/96	
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
SERW		01/12/65	02/03/65	02/24/65	03/19/65	04/09/65	04/29/65	06/10/65	07/06/65	07/23/65	08/13/65	09/01/65	10/05/65	
AVE % CH		.01-	.02-	.03	.03	.04	.04	.09	.07	.07	.08	.09	.07	
MEAN VAL		902.5	902.4	903.0	903.0	903.0	903.0	903.4	903.3	903.3	903.4	903.5	903.3	
STD DEV		16.7	16.2	13.9	13.9	16.5	17.7	17.8	16.2	13.7	13.2	14.0	16.2	
% VAR		1.85	1.80	1.54	1.54	1.83	1.96	1.97	1.79	1.52	1.46	1.55	1.79	
TEMP/RH%		78/76	80/84	81/70	84/78	83/68	85/66	87/68	88/72	86/72	84/66	85/60	81/86	
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
CRW		02/20/65	03/08/65	04/10/65	06/18/65	07/15/65	08/16/65	09/08/65	09/17/65	10/07/65	10/27/65	11/16/65	12/08/65	
AVE % CH		.09-	.09-	.10-	.11-	.17-	.15-	.20-	.17-	.13-	.12-	.11-	.14-	
MEAN VAL		904.4	904.5	904.3	904.3	903.7	903.9	903.5	903.8	904.1	904.2	904.3	904.0	
STD DEV		14.1	12.2	14.6	8.3	14.7	13.4	10.8	11.1	12.2	9.3	12.5	14.3	
% VAR		1.56	1.35	1.61	.92	1.63	1.48	1.20	1.23	1.35	1.03	1.38	1.58	
TEMP/RH%		72/20	79/29	78/34	69/56	73/62	70/65	73/63	72/71	75/34	75/32	82/34	78/21	
FAIL D		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	

TABLE B-3. DATA SUMMARY, WIREWOUND RESISTORS (RW) (Cont.)

LIMIT 5.25

TYPE JRW	RW	RESISTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE										LIMIT	
AVE % CH		10/27/65	11/17/65	12/08/65	01/20/66	02/08/66	03/02/66	03/23/66	04/14/66	05/04/66	05/26/66		
MEAN VAL		913.5	913.3	913.5	913.4	913.4	913.8	913.4	913.3	913.3	913.2		
STD DEV		11.1	10.4	9.7	9.1	8.6	9.7	6.0	11.4	2.8	.6		
% VAR		1.22	1.14	1.06	1.00	.94	1.06	.66	1.25	.31	.07		
TEMP/RH%		76/98	76/90	84/70	81/80	88/56	86/62	82/74	89/56	84/66	72/47		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
JERW		10/27/65	11/17/65	12/08/65	01/20/66	02/08/66	03/02/66	03/23/66	04/14/66	05/04/66	05/26/66		
AVE % CH		.04-	.03-	.02-	.02-	.02-	.04	.01-	.03-	.04-	.05-		
MEAN VAL		903.5	903.5	903.7	903.7	903.7	904.2	903.8	903.7	903.5	903.5		
STD DEV		13.0	14.4	7.4	7.9	12.8	14.3	13.0	15.1	13.6	10.9		
% VAR		1.44	1.59	.82	.87	1.42	1.58	1.44	1.67	1.5	1.21		
TEMP/RH%		76/98	80/82	84/70	85/73	88/56	87/60	83/66	89/56	84/66	72/46		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
SRW		10/28/65	11/18/65	12/09/65	01/21/66	02/11/66	03/07/66	03/28/66	04/20/66	05/09/66	05/27/66		
AVE % CH		.13-	.11-	.02-	.02-	.02-	.01-	.16	.13				
MEAN VAL		901.1	901.2	902.0	902.1	902.1	902.1	902.2	903.6	903.4	902.2		
STD DEV		11.6	12.2	12.8	9.2	8.4	12.5	14.1	16.5	12.0	11.5		
% VAR		1.29	1.35	1.42	1.02	.93	1.39	1.56	1.83	1.33	1.27		
TEMP/RH%		79/96	74/92	86/66	85/72	88/58	84/64	86/65	88/70	89/68	66/50		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
SERW		10/27/65	11/18/65	12/09/65	01/21/66	02/11/66	03/07/66	03/28/66	04/20/66	05/09/66	05/27/66		
AVE % CH		.01	.04	.11	.18	.16	.16	.22	.18	.13	.62		
MEAN VAL		902.7	903.0	903.6	904.3	904.1	904.1	904.6	904.2	904.3	908.1		
STD DEV		18.2	17.7	17.8	14.6	13.2	16.5	16.9	17.9	15.3	20.0		
% VAR		2.32	1.96	1.97	1.61	1.46	1.83	1.87	1.98	1.69	2.20		
TEMP/RH%		79/92	74/92	84/63	85/72	87/60	84/64	87/62	88/72	88/68	68/50		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
CRW		12/28/65	01/19/66	02/04/66	02/24/66	03/25/66	05/11/66						
AVE % CH		.12-	.07-	.09-	.10-	.18-	.10-						
MEAN VAL		904.2	904.7	904.4	904.4	894.5	904.4						
STD DEV		11.8	10.2	15.0	12.8	70.4	9.8						
% VAR		1.31	1.13	1.66	1.42	7.87	1.08						
TEMP/RH%		80/22	73/21	78/46	71/59	69/52	76/50						
FAIL D		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50						
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	1/50	0/50						

TABLE B-4. DATA SUMMARY, SOLID TANTALUM ELECTROLYTIC CAPACITORS (CS)

TYPE	CS	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE														LIMIT	
		JCS		06/20/64	06/23/64	06/29/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/19/64	09/10/64	09/29/64	10/20/64	11/09/64	11/30/64	12/23/64	12.00	
AVE % CH			.46-	.22-	.38-	.06-	.22	1.03	.83	.91	.38-	.21	.62-	.56			
MEAN VAL	.988		.983	.985	.984	.987	.990	.998	.996	.997	.984	.990	.982	.993			
STD DEV	.012		.033	.041	.020	.028	.022	.022	.025	.011	.028	.020	.011	.035			
% VAR	1.21		3.36	4.16	2.03	2.84	2.22	2.20	2.51	1.10	2.85	2.02	1.12	3.52			
TEMP/RH%			76/98	80/84	84/88	80/88	81/70	87/64	84/79	90/69	74/94	85/84	82/86	87/65			
FAIL D			0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
FAIL C			0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
JECS			06/20/64	06/22/64	06/29/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/19/64	09/10/64	09/29/64	10/19/64	11/09/64	11/30/64	12/23/64			
AVE % CH			.38-	.14-	1.20-	.01	.13	1.18	.72	.82	.69-	.32	.59-	.58			
MEAN VAL	1.013		1.009	1.012	1.001	1.013	1.015	1.025	1.021	1.022	1.006	1.016	1.007	1.019			
STD DEV	.034		.041	.017	.018	.039	.005	.034	.012	.008	.037	.040	.037	.032			
% VAR	3.36		4.06	1.68	1.80	3.85	.49	3.32	1.18	.78	3.68	3.94	3.67	3.14			
TEMP/RH%			79/73	85/72	86/74	82/75	82/71	89/62	85/80	91/62	72/00	87/76	79/88	89/60			
FAIL D			0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
FAIL C			0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
SCS			06/22/64	06/25/64	07/01/64	07/14/64	07/30/64	08/20/64	09/11/64	09/30/64	10/20/64	11/10/64	12/01/64	12/28/64			
AVE % CH			.13-	.13-	.05-	.59	1.40	1.35	1.74	.95	.20	.38	.63-	.85			
MEAN VAL	.993		.993	.992	.992	.999	1.007	1.006	1.010	1.002	.995	.997	.987	1.001			
STD DEV	.019		.017	.018	.029	.007	.013	.036	.031	.034	.021	.007	.017	.037			
% VAR	1.91		1.71	1.81	2.92	.70	1.29	3.58	3.07	3.39	2.11	.70	1.72	3.70			
TEMP/RH%			79/96	80/98	82/82	84/72	90/62	85/64	93/74	90/72	78/84	86/80	82/82	84/63			
FAIL D			0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
FAIL C			0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
SECS			06/22/64	06/25/64	07/01/64	07/14/64	07/30/64	08/20/64	09/11/64	09/30/64	10/20/64	11/10/64	12/01/64	12/28/64			
AVE % CH			.02-	.90-	2.45-	.40-	1.03	.17	1.23	.59	.66-	.06-	.68-	.18			
MEAN VAL	1.016		1.016	1.007	.992	1.012	1.027	1.018	1.029	1.023	1.010	1.016	1.010	1.018			
STD DEV	.041		.035	.028	.016	.035	.027	.034	.025	.017	.017	.020	.004	.037			
% VAR	4.04		3.44	2.78	1.61	3.46	2.63	3.34	2.43	1.66	1.68	1.97	.40	3.63			
TEMP/RH%			84/74	84/79	73/00	81/85	89/60	82/79	94/70	88/70	72/00	84/84	81/83	82/66			
FAIL D			0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
FAIL C			0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
CCS			03/06/64	06/11/64	07/13/64	07/28/64	08/21/64	09/11/64	10/03/64	10/29/64	11/27/64	12/17/64	01/07/65	01/26/65			
AVE % CH			.29-	.38-	.33-	.66-	.64-	.70-	.63-	.71-	.41-	.96-	.85-	.93-			
MEAN VAL	1.022		1.019	1.018	1.018	1.015	1.015	1.015	1.016	1.015	1.018	1.012	1.013	1.012			
STD DEV	.026		.031	.029	.043	.037	.041	.018	.008	.009	.015	.033	.036	.039			
% VAR	2.54		3.04	2.85	4.22	3.65	4.04	1.77	.79	.89	1.47	3.26	3.55	3.85			
TEMP/RH%			79/15	81/53	77/60	82/66	82/63	78/65	82/57	78/47	79/42	73/41	74/34	74/38			
FAIL D			0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50			
FAIL C			0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50			

TABLE B-4. DATA SUMMARY, SOLID TANTALUM ELECTROLYTIC CAPACITORS (CS) (Cont.)

TYPE JCS	CS	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE												LIMIT 12.00 08/31/65
		01/11/65	02/02/65	02/23/65	03/18/65	04/08/65	04/27/65	06/09/65	07/02/65	07/22/65	08/12/65	08/31/65	10/04/65	
AVE % CH		.58	.53	.45	.38	.77	.89	.15	.33	.22-	.23	.23		
MEAN VAL		.993	.993	.992	.991	.995	.996	.989	.988	.991	.985	.990	.990	
STD DEV		.041	.026	.032	.041	.038	.042	.033	.013	.026	.042	.027	.026	
% VAR		4.13	2.62	3.23	4.14	3.82	4.22	3.34	1.32	2.62	4.26	2.73	2.63	
TEMP/RH%		85/66	87/59	86/60	85/68	87/69	89/58	81/95	80/96	82/80	76/96	83/76	84/78	
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
JECS		01/11/65	02/02/65	02/23/65	03/18/65	04/08/65	04/27/65	06/09/65	07/01/65	07/22/65	08/12/65	08/31/65	10/04/65	
AVE % CH		.42	.18	.28	.39	.50	.55	.23	.12	.52	.51-	.44-	.07-	
MEAN VAL		1.018	1.015	1.016	1.017	1.018	1.019	1.016	1.015	1.019	1.008	1.009	1.011	
STD DEV		.015	.031	.028	.033	.036	.018	.006	.018	.016	.030	.018	.034	
% VAR		1.47	3.05	2.76	3.24	3.54	1.77	.59	1.77	1.57	2.98	1.78	3.36	
TEMP/RH%		85/65	85/63	86/62	87/63	86/71	89/57	78/96	85/92	86/68	77/96	80/94	85/72	
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/24	
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/24	
SCS		01/12/65	02/03/65	02/24/65	03/19/65	04/09/65	04/30/65	06/10/65	07/06/65	07/23/65	08/13/65	09/01/65	10/05/65	
AVE % CH		.18	.47	.71	.73	1.07	1.08	.51	.42	.41-	.39	1.02	.56	
MEAN VAL		.995	.997	1.000	1.000	1.003	1.004	.998	.997	.989	.997	1.003	.998	
STD DEV		.006	.002	.019	.028	.040	.013	.021	.025	.015	.007	.026	.036	
% VAR		.60	.20	1.90	2.80	3.99	1.29	2.10	2.51	1.52	.70	2.59	3.61	
TEMP/RH%		80/82	85/69	85/62	87/74	89/57	88/62	83/84	83/86	71/96	82/76	86/62	83/82	
FAIL D		0/25	6/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	
FAIL C		0/25	6/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
SECS		01/12/65	02/03/65	02/24/65	03/19/65	04/09/65	04/29/65	06/10/65	07/06/65	07/23/65	08/13/65	09/01/65	10/05/65	
AVE % CH		.61-	.38	.31	.60	.43	.31	.22	.07	.14	.14-	.07	.22	
MEAN VAL		1.010	1.020	1.020	1.023	1.021	1.020	1.019	1.017	1.018	1.015	1.017	1.019	
STD DEV		.036	.039	.007	.005	.022	.008	.007	.034	.021	.029	.035	.010	
% VAR		3.56	3.82	.69	.49	2.15	.78	.69	3.34	2.06	2.86	3.44	.98	
TEMP/RH%		76/00	86/64	85/58	87/70	88/60	83/68	84/69	82/86	84/74	80/90	84/70	86/78	
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
CCS		02/20/65	03/19/65	04/10/65	06/22/65	07/19/65	08/16/65	09/17/65	10/07/65	10/28/65	11/11/65	12/27/65	01/18/66	
AVE % CH		.86-	.40-	.63-	1.08-	1.16-	1.37-	.23-	1.06-	.76-	.29-	.71-	1.25-	
MEAN VAL		1.013	1.018	1.015	1.011	1.010	1.008	1.020	1.011	1.014	1.019	1.015	1.009	
STD DEV		.032	.020	.043	.024	.030	.026	.093	.032	.032	.028	.004	.032	
% VAR		3.16	1.96	4.24	2.37	2.97	2.58	9.12	3.17	3.16	2.75	.39	3.17	
TEMP/RH%		74/20	78/26	78/34	73/70	73/62	71/62	72/70	74/57	76/32	82/34	78/21	72/21	
FAIL D		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	1/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	



TABLE B-4. DATA SUMMARY, SOLID TANTALUM ELECTROLYTIC CAPACITORS (CS) (Cont.)

TYPE	CS	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE										LIMIT
JCS		10/27/65	11/17/65	12/08/65	01/20/66	02/08/66	03/02/66	03/23/66	04/14/66	05/04/66	05/26/66	DF LIM
AVE % CH		.10-	.02-	.08	.02	.05	.59	.20	.57	.70	.50-	12.00
MEAN VAL		.987	.987	.988	.988	.988	.993	.990	.993	.995	.983	
STD DEV		.005	.040	.039	.023	.035	.042	.016	.039	.004	.015	
% VAR		.51	4.05	3.95	2.33	3.54	4.23	1.62	3.93	.40	1.53	
TEMP/RH%		81/66	78/90	80/85	79/86	83/71	85/70	79/88	86/63	82/68	72/46	
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
JCS		10/27/65	11/17/65	12/08/65	01/20/66	02/08/66	03/02/66	03/23/66	04/14/66	05/04/66	05/26/66	
AVE % CH		.37-	.21-	.12	.10-	.05-	.07-	.03-	.53	.65	.95-	
MEAN VAL		1.008	1.010	1.013	1.011	1.012	1.011	1.012	1.017	1.019	1.002	
STD DEV		.033	.014	.032	.025	.020	.035	.012	.037	.014	.036	
% VAR		3.27	1.39	3.16	2.47	1.98	3.46	1.19	3.64	1.37	3.59	
TEMP/RH%		82/64	80/90	84/73	82/80	86/62	82/76	80/84	87/62	82/68	72/46	
FAIL D		0/24	0/24	0/24	0/24	0/24	0/24	0/24	0/24	0/24	0/24	
FAIL C		0/24	0/24	0/24	0/24	0/24	0/24	0/24	0/24	0/24	0/24	
JCS		10/28/65	11/18/65	12/09/65	01/21/66	02/11/66	03/07/66	03/28/66	04/20/66	05/09/66	05/27/66	
AVE % CH		.14-	.15-	.02-	.22	.27	.25	.51	1.07	1.08	.63-	
MEAN VAL		.991	.991	.993	.995	.995	.995	.998	1.003	1.004	.987	
STD DEV		.036	.035	.013	.020	.037	.033	.019	.041	.017	.015	
% VAR		3.63	3.53	1.31	2.01	3.72	3.32	1.90	4.09	1.69	1.52	
TEMP/RH%		82/84	74/92	82/70	81/80	85/63	82/67	82/79	86/76	86/72	66/52	
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	2/25	0/25	0/25	
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	
JCS		10/28/65	11/18/65	12/09/65	01/21/66	02/11/66	03/07/66	03/28/66	04/20/66	05/09/66	05/27/66	
AVE % CH		.01	.15-	.07-	.14-	.15-	.15-	.10	.68	.25	1.41-	
MEAN VAL		1.017	1.015	1.016	1.015	1.015	1.015	1.017	1.023	1.018	1.001	
STD DEV		.018	.019	.037	.028	.019	.019	.040	.039	.022	.030	
% VAR		1.77	1.87	3.64	2.76	1.87	1.87	3.93	3.81	2.16	3.00	
TEMP/RH%		82/80	76/84	84/70	84/76	82/73	79/73	84/72	88/68	82/90	66/50	
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/24	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/23	
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/24	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/23	
JCS		02/04/66	02/24/66	03/28/66	05/18/66							
AVE % CH		1.69-	1.77-	1.48-	.78-							
MEAN VAL		1.005	1.004	1.007	1.014							
STD DEV		.007	.021	.018	.027							
% VAR		.70	2.09	1.79	2.66							
TEMP/RH%		79/47	70/59	72/44	77/64							
FAIL D		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50							
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50							

TABLE B-5. DATA SUMMARY, CERAMIC CAPACITORS (CK)

TYPE JCK	CK	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE												LIMIT	
		06/20/64	06/23/64	06/29/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/19/64	09/10/64	09/29/64	10/19/64	11/09/64	11/30/64	12/23/64	15.00	
AVE % CH		.44	.05-	.63	8.61	5.06	6.21	1.62-	3.08	.82	.21-	.24-	.48-		
MEAN VAL	102.3	102.8	102.2	102.9	111.0	107.4	108.6	101.5	105.4	103.1	102.0	102.0	101.8		
STD DEV	3.9	5.6	5.7	5.2	18.0	14.8	14.0	41.7	10.8	6.6	6.0	7.2	4.6		
% VAR	3.81	5.45	5.58	5.05	16.22	13.78	12.89	41.08	10.25	6.40	5.88	7.06	4.52		
TEMP/RH%		74/99	77/00	81/89	79/91	82/68	84/72	86/84	92/68	72/00	86/80	82/82	88/66		
FAIL D		2/25	0/25	0/25	5/25	2/25	2/25	2/25	4/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	2/25	2/25	4/25	5/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	0/25		
JECK		06/20/64	06/23/64	06/29/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/19/64	09/10/64	09/29/64	10/19/64	11/09/64	11/30/64	12/23/64		
AVE % CH		1.11	.71	.91	8.47	10.73	14.21	12.64	11.92	6.44	5.74	7.73	4.53		
MEAN VAL	98.6	99.6	99.3	99.4	106.8	108.9	112.3	110.8	110.0	104.7	104.1	106.0	103.0		
STD DEV	3.6	5.8	4.3	5.8	10.6	12.2	21.1	16.5	21.2	9.9	8.3	10.1	6.9		
% VAR	3.65	5.82	4.33	5.84	9.93	11.20	18.79	14.89	19.27	9.46	7.97	9.53	6.70		
TEMP/RH%		78/85	84/72	85/72	81/87	82/70	90/62	85/80	88/74	82/80	85/80	79/90	89/60		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	4/25	3/25	0/25	0/25	3/25	3/25	3/25	4/25	4/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	2/25	6/25	6/25	4/25	3/25	3/25	4/25	0/25		
SCK		06/22/64	06/25/64	07/01/64	07/14/64	07/30/64	08/20/64	09/11/64	09/30/64	10/20/64	11/10/64	12/01/64	12/28/64		
AVE % CH		.77	.86	.42	1.95	3.36	4.53	3.88	1.99	14.47	15.50	30.36	41.18		
MEAN VAL	100.9	101.6	101.7	101.3	102.8	104.2	105.4	104.8	102.8	115.5	116.5	131.5	142.5		
STD DEV	3.8	4.3	3.8	3.5	7.3	7.5	7.0	5.3	8.4	11.4	8.8	11.4	17.8		
% VAR	3.77	4.23	3.74	3.46	7.10	7.20	6.64	5.06	8.17	9.87	7.55	8.67	12.49		
TEMP/RH%		76/98	78/00	77/00	83/72	90/62	85/70	89/81	90/72	80/84	86/80	83/78	82/64		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	6/25	9/25	4/25	1/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	2/25	2/25	21/25	24/25	25/25	25/25		
SECK		06/22/64	06/25/64	07/01/64	07/14/64	07/30/64	08/20/64	09/11/64	09/30/64	10/20/64	11/10/64	12/01/64	12/28/64		
AVE % CH		1.79	1.28	2.55	4.61	6.01	6.94	8.56	6.18	6.20	7.84	15.61	1.59		
MEAN VAL	99.7	101.5	101.0	102.2	104.2	105.6	106.6	108.2	105.8	105.8	107.5	115.3	101.3		
STD DEV	6.0	5.1	4.3	5.8	7.7	8.3	7.4	8.8	7.5	7.0	8.5	12.4	19.4		
% VAR	6.02	5.02	4.26	5.68	7.39	7.86	6.94	8.13	7.09	6.62	7.91	10.75	19.15		
TEMP/RH%		83/72	82/76	84/80	79/89	89/63	82/82	92/74	88/68	73/00	83/85	84/80	82/67		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	2/25	2/25	0/25	1/25	1/25	4/25	10/25	11/25	4/25	3/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	2/25	1/25	2/25	1/25	7/25	10/25	19/25	2/25		
CCK		03/04/64	06/11/64	07/09/64	07/29/64	08/21/64	09/11/64	10/03/64	10/29/64	11/27/64	12/16/64	01/07/65	01/25/65		
AVE % CH		1.54-	3.01-	.67-	.98	1.01	2.90	2.95	2.54	1.55	1.63	1.90	.66		
MEAN VAL	99.9	98.4	96.9	99.3	100.9	100.9	102.8	102.9	102.5	101.5	101.5	101.8	100.6		
STD DEV	3.6	2.6	3.8	4.4	3.2	3.7	4.1	2.5	3.7	2.8	3.9	2.7	3.6		
% VAR	3.60	2.64	3.92	4.43	3.17	3.67	3.99	2.43	3.61	2.76	3.84	2.65	3.58		
TEMP/RH%		76/15	80/54	76/30	78/68	78/65	78/63	86/56	80/48	79/42	74/24	76/33	74/38		
FAIL D		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50		
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50		

TABLE B-5. DATA SUMMARY, CERAMIC CAPACITORS (CK) (Cont.)

TYPE JCK	CK	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE												LIMIT
		01/11/65	02/02/65	02/23/65	03/18/65	04/08/65	04/27/65	06/09/65	07/02/65	07/22/65	08/12/65	08/31/65	10/04/65	
AVE % CH		.76-	.68-	2.55-	2.27-	1.86-	2.21-	2.91	12.39	1.12	3.99	2.06	2.17-	
	MEAN VAL	101.5	101.5	99.6	99.9	100.4	100.0	105.2	114.9	103.4	106.4	104.4	100.0	
	STD DEV	4.6	5.8	5.8	5.2	4.0	5.3	6.0	8.0	6.1	8.4	9.5	6.7	
	% VAR	4.53	5.71	5.82	5.21	3.98	5.30	5.70	6.96	5.90	7.89	9.10	6.70	
TEMP/RH% FAIL D FAIL C		84/68	86/59	86/60	84/70	86/70	90/55	80/97	80/96	80/88	75/96	81/84	84/82	
	FAIL D	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	6/25	5/25	2/25	6/25	10/25	0/25	
	FAIL C	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	3/25	19/25	1/25	15/25	2/25	0/25	
JCK		01/11/65	02/02/65	02/23/65	03/18/65	04/08/65	04/27/65	06/09/65	07/01/65	07/22/65	08/12/65	08/31/65	10/04/65	
	AVE % CH	4.26	4.57	4.08	3.18	2.60	2.59	8.49	10.89	10.89	20.11	84.22	8.30	
	MEAN VAL	102.7	103.0	102.5	101.7	101.1	101.1	106.8	109.2	109.1	118.6	181.7	107.0	
	STD DEV	6.4	7.4	7.0	6.3	5.9	6.8	10.4	22.5	12.5	16.3	55.3	17.0	
TEMP/RH% FAIL D FAIL C		84/65	84/64	85/65	86/64	85/75	90/55	76/97	86/90	88/62	77/97	78/96	85/74	
	FAIL D	3/25	4/25	2/25	2/25	2/25	3/25	7/25	8/25	6/25	1/24	1/25	7/25	
	FAIL C	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	7/25	12/25	7/25	22/24	24/25	2/25	
SCK		01/12/65	02/03/65	02/24/65	03/19/65	04/09/65	04/30/65	06/10/65	07/06/65	07/23/65	09/01/65	10/05/65	10/28/65	
	AVE % CH	158.90	113.58	5.17	.73	.16-	7.73	22.61	107.41	63.88	49.84	5.57-	2.91-	
	MEAN VAL	261.0	216.0	106.0	102.1	100.7	108.5	123.5	208.9	165.4	149.2	95.1	97.9	
	STD DEV	55.9	46.2	16.4	42.5	6.4	9.4	15.5	84.5	48.9	43.6	16.6	4.2	
TEMP/RH% FAIL D FAIL C		21.42	21.39	15.47	41.63	6.36	8.66	12.55	40.45	29.56	29.22	17.46	4.29	
	TEMP/RH%	78/90	85/62	84/60	85/80	89/56	89/62	81/92	82/87	71/97	86/62	83/84	80/78	
	FAIL D	0/25	0/25	6/25	8/25	3/25	7/25	2/25	0/25	0/25	1/05	0/25	0/25	
	FAIL C	25/25	25/25	13/25	13/25	1/25	16/25	23/25	25/25	25/25	4/05	1/25	0/25	
SECK		01/12/65	02/03/65	02/24/65	03/19/65	04/09/65	04/29/65	06/10/65	07/06/65	07/23/65	10/05/65	10/28/65	11/18/65	
	AVE % CH	39.84	16.40	16.92	28.94	16.53	15.61	22.56	63.32	70.52	.09-	.35	.52-	
	MEAN VAL	139.4	116.0	116.3	128.2	116.1	115.1	121.8	163.4	168.9	99.6	100.0	99.1	
	STD DEV	26.9	29.1	27.6	33.7	12.3	15.5	15.3	61.8	74.6	6.0	5.1	6.5	
TEMP/RH% FAIL D FAIL C		19.30	25.09	23.73	26.29	10.59	13.47	12.56	37.82	44.17	6.02	5.10	6.56	
	TEMP/RH%	75/00	86/64	84/66	88/70	86/63	82/76	79/97	79/96	80/90	86/70	80/78	76/86	
	FAIL D	0/25	4/25	4/25	7/25	4/25	3/25	5/25	2/20	20/25	1/25	4/25	4/25	
	FAIL C	25/25	21/25	17/25	16/25	16/25	16/25	19/25	18/20	20/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
CCK		02/20/65	03/19/65	04/10/65	06/22/65	07/16/65	08/16/65	09/17/65	10/07/65	10/27/65	11/11/65	12/07/65	12/27/65	
	AVE % CH	.05-	1.02	.74	.92-	.36-	.72	.28	.11	3.09-	.13	3.55	3.14-	
	MEAN VAL	99.9	100.9	100.6	99.0	99.6	100.6	100.2	100.0	96.8	100.0	103.4	96.8	
	STD DEV	2.7	4.7	5.3	3.8	2.5	4.4	3.9	4.6	13.8	4.8	13.7	13.4	
TEMP/RH% FAIL D FAIL C		2.70	4.66	5.27	3.84	2.51	4.37	3.89	4.60	14.26	4.80	13.25	13.84	
	TEMP/RH%	74/20	78/30	78/34	73/69	73/58	71/63	72/70	74/53	71/38	82/34	78/22	77/22	
	FAIL D	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	
	FAIL C	1/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	1/50	1/50	

TABLE B-5. DATA SUMMARY, CERAMIC CAPACITORS (CK) (Cont.)

TYPE JCK	CK	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE										LIMIT DF LIM	15.00
		10/27/65	11/17/65	12/08/65	01/20/66	02/08/66	03/02/66	03/23/66	04/14/66	05/04/66	05/26/66		
JCK													
AVE % CH		1.07-	1.62-	5.45	3.66-	3.49-	3.47-	3.46-	4.44-	1.50-	2.00-		
MEAN VAL		101.1	100.6	107.8	98.5	98.7	98.7	98.7	97.7	100.7	100.2		
STD DEV		9.0	8.5	13.3	4.4	4.2	4.6	4.8	4.7	6.0	4.7		
% VAR		8.90	8.45	12.34	4.47	4.26	4.66	4.86	4.81	5.96	4.69		
TEMP/RH%		80/68	78/92	79/90	79/86	82/76	85/70	78/90	84/68	80/68	72/46		
FAIL D		2/25	4/25	4/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		1/25	1/25	5/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25		
JCK													
AVE % CH		13.83	13.05	24.77	7.06	5.49	4.96	4.32	3.62	12.08	2.05		
MEAN VAL		112.6	111.9	123.3	105.8	104.3	103.7	102.8	102.1	110.5	100.6		
STD DEV		20.9	20.1	25.0	13.3	10.8	10.5	9.3	9.1	16.7	6.2		
% VAR		18.56	17.96	20.28	12.57	10.35	10.13	9.05	8.91	15.11	6.16		
TEMP/RH%		82/68	79/90	84/70	81/80	84/66	82/78	79/88	87/62	82/68	72/46		
FAIL D		6/25	6/25	1/24	6/24	4/25	2/25	3/25	3/25	8/25	0/25		
FAIL C		7/25	7/25	11/24	2/24	2/25	2/25	1/25	1/25	5/25	0/25		
SCK													
AVE % CH		3.27-	5.56-	10.77	3.54-	6.25-	5.84-	4.68-	4.44-	3.61-			
MEAN VAL		97.5	95.2	111.8	97.2	94.5	94.9	96.1	96.3	97.1			
STD DEV		3.8	18.3	21.5	8.2	18.6	18.8	14.2	14.0	18.6			
% VAR		3.90	19.22	19.23	8.44	19.68	19.81	14.78	14.54	19.16			
TEMP/RH%		74/92	82/70	79/86	84/66	81/72	80/84	84/77	85/76	66/52			
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	8/25	1/25	0/25	2/25	0/25	1/25	0/25			
FAIL C		0/25	1/25	9/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25			
SECK													
AVE % CH		.95	.63	.21	.45-	.02	.20-	.85-	2.51				
MEAN VAL		100.6	100.3	99.6	99.0	99.4	99.6	99.0	102.3				
STD DEV		5.9	5.6	5.6	4.9	6.3	5.2	4.8	5.3				
% VAR		5.86	5.58	5.62	4.95	6.34	5.22	4.85	5.18				
TEMP/RH%		84/70	82/78	80/78	78/78	80/78	87/72	80/98	66/50				
FAIL D		3/25	2/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25				
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	2/25	2/25	2/25				
CCK													
AVE % CH		4.04-	3.29-	.59	1.73	.15-							
MEAN VAL		95.9	96.6	100.5	101.6	99.8							
STD DEV		3.4	4.4	3.8	4.5	3.4							
% VAR		3.55	4.55	3.78	4.43	3.41							
TEMP/RH%		72/21	78/47	72/58	70/46	77/96							
FAIL D		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50							
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50							

TABLE B-6. DATA SUMMARY, MYLAR CAPACITORS (CT)

TYPE JCT	CT	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE												LIMIT	
		06/20/64	06/25/64	06/29/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/19/64	09/10/64	09/29/64	10/20/64	11/09/64	11/30/64	12/23/64	6.00	
WAVE	% CH		.06	.03	.64	1.05	1.56	1.84	2.07	2.10	2.31	2.40	2.68		
MEAN VAL		98.86	98.92	98.89	99.49	99.90	100.40	100.68	100.91	100.93	101.15	101.23	101.50		
STD DEV		1.75	1.89	1.82	1.60	1.47	1.74	1.55	1.53	1.86	1.60	1.65	2.00		
% VAR		1.77	1.91	1.84	1.61	1.47	1.73	1.54	1.52	1.84	1.58	1.63	1.97		
TEMP/RH%		77/97	82/79	84/88	80/86	82/68	88/62	85/79	90/68	75/98	84/84	80/85	86/66		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
JECT		06/20/64	06/23/64	06/29/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/19/64	09/10/64	09/29/64	10/19/64	11/09/64	11/30/64	12/23/64		
WAVE	% CH		.01	.04	.08-	.30	.66	1.09	1.34	1.62	1.93	2.00	2.18		
MEAN VAL		98.13	98.15	98.18	98.06	98.43	98.78	99.21	99.45	99.73	100.03	100.10	100.28		
STD DEV		2.54	2.31	2.23	2.27	2.52	2.51	2.51	2.54	2.35	2.40	2.57	2.70		
% VAR		2.59	2.35	2.27	2.31	2.56	2.54	2.53	2.55	2.36	2.40	2.57	2.69		
TEMP/RH%		80/72	86/68	86/72	83/78	82/72	90/60	89/74	91/65	72/00	88/76	80/86	88/63		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
SCT		06/22/64	06/25/64	07/01/64	07/14/64	07/30/64	08/20/64	09/11/64	09/30/64	10/20/64	11/10/64	12/01/64	12/28/64		
WAVE	% CH		.18-	.04-	.02	.50	.90	1.24	1.59	1.70	1.79	2.05	2.46		
MEAN VAL		98.32	98.14	98.28	98.34	98.82	99.21	99.54	99.89	99.99	100.08	100.34	100.74		
STD DEV		2.39	2.40	2.47	2.49	2.18	2.44	2.43	2.38	2.51	2.42	2.23	2.67		
% VAR		2.43	2.45	2.51	2.53	2.21	2.46	2.44	2.38	2.51	2.42	2.22	2.65		
TEMP/RH%		79/98	80/94	83/78	86/65	90/58	84/68	95/70	88/74	78/88	86/84	80/86	82/67		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
SECT		06/22/64	06/25/64	07/01/64	07/14/64	07/30/64	08/20/64	09/11/64	09/30/64	10/20/64	11/10/64	12/01/64	12/28/64		
WAVE	% CH		.03	.02-	.01	.46	.92	1.32	1.54	1.74	2.00	2.04	2.24		
MEAN VAL		98.67	98.70	98.65	98.67	99.13	99.57	99.97	100.19	100.38	100.42	100.65	100.88		
STD DEV		1.89	1.64	1.70	2.12	1.79	2.19	1.93	2.03	2.16	1.96	1.85	2.11		
% VAR		1.92	1.66	1.72	2.15	1.81	2.20	1.93	2.03	2.15	1.95	1.84	2.09		
TEMP/RH%		84/76	84/77	74/00	82/78	90/62	82/80	92/78	89/69	74/00	85/84	80/84	83/66		
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
CCT		03/25/64	06/11/64	07/13/64	07/30/64	08/21/64	09/10/64	10/03/64	10/29/64	11/30/64	12/17/64	01/07/65	01/26/65		
WAVE	% CH		.02	.67	.99	1.14	1.23	1.31	1.24	.96	.79	.48	.43		
MEAN VAL		97.99	98.01	98.65	98.96	99.11	99.20	99.28	99.21	98.94	98.76	98.47	98.26		
STD DEV		1.85	1.81	1.46	1.72	1.72	1.86	1.77	1.63	1.49	1.48	1.37	1.63		
% VAR		1.89	1.85	1.48	1.74	1.74	1.88	1.78	1.64	1.51	1.50	1.39	1.66		
TEMP/RH%		77/43	81/48	77/60	82/64	82/62	78/65	80/58	78/46	80/30	73/42	74/34	74/38		
FAIL D		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50		
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50		

TABLE B-6. DATA SUMMARY, MYLAR CAPACITORS (CT) (Cont.)

TYPE JCT	CT	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE										LIMIT	6.00
		01/11/65	02/02/65	02/23/65	03/18/65	04/08/65	04/27/65	06/09/65	07/02/65	07/22/65	08/12/65	08/31/65	
AVE % CH		2.58	2.60	2.62	2.58	2.58	2.58	2.58	2.62	2.71	2.93	2.74	3.03
													3.13
	MEAN VAL	101.41	101.43	101.45	101.41	101.41	101.41	101.41	101.45	101.54	101.75	101.57	101.85
	STD DEV	1.71	2.01	1.81	1.83	1.67	1.67	1.67	2.00	1.73	1.95	1.58	1.91
TEMP/RH% FAIL D FAIL C		1.69	1.98	1.78	1.80	1.65	1.65	1.65	1.97	1.70	1.92	1.56	1.88
													1.85
		85/74	86/59	83/64	85/69	87/68	89/55	81/94	80/96	84/76	76/97	84/76	84/74
		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
JCT		01/11/65	02/02/65	02/23/65	03/18/65	04/08/65	04/27/65	06/09/65	07/02/65	07/22/65	08/12/65	08/31/65	10/04/65
	AVE % CH	2.33	2.39	2.44	2.48	2.41	2.49	2.48	2.46	2.83	2.80	3.03	2.98
MEAN VAL		100.43	100.48	100.53	100.57	100.50	100.58	100.57	100.55	100.91	100.89	101.11	101.06
	STD DEV	2.40	2.61	2.64	2.31	2.74	2.62	2.50	2.64	2.48	2.29	2.63	2.49
	% VAR	2.39	2.60	2.63	2.30	2.73	2.60	2.49	2.63	2.46	2.27	2.60	2.46
TEMP/RH% FAIL D FAIL C		86/65	84/66	85/60	87/63	87/69	88/60	78/96	81/96	86/68	77/96	80/84	85/72
		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25
		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
SCT		01/12/65	02/03/65	02/24/65	03/19/65	04/09/65	04/30/65	06/10/65	07/06/65	07/23/65	08/13/65	09/01/65	10/05/65
	AVE % CH	2.28	2.41	2.46	2.50	2.55	2.51	2.63	2.60	2.61	2.61	2.67	2.82
MEAN VAL		100.56	100.69	100.74	100.78	100.83	100.79	100.90	100.88	100.89	100.89	100.94	101.10
	STD DEV	2.59	2.39	2.40	2.57	2.21	2.36	2.59	2.37	2.42	2.31	2.53	2.21
	% VAR	2.58	2.37	2.38	2.55	2.19	2.34	2.57	2.35	2.40	2.29	2.51	2.19
TEMP/RH% FAIL D FAIL C		80/78	83/74	83/70	86/78	89/56	88/60	83/91	83/86	72/96	82/84	85/62	85/72
		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
		1/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
SECT		01/12/65	02/03/65	02/24/65	03/19/65	04/09/65	04/29/65	06/10/65	07/06/65	07/23/65	08/13/65	09/01/65	10/05/65
	AVE % CH	2.17	2.34	2.40	2.43	2.43	2.43	2.41	2.34	2.51	2.77	2.63	2.64
MEAN VAL		100.81	100.98	101.04	101.06	101.07	101.06	101.05	100.97	101.14	101.39	101.27	101.27
	STD DEV	2.14	2.01	1.95	2.18	1.80	2.16	1.80	2.03	2.08	2.52	1.77	2.13
	% VAR	2.12	1.99	1.93	2.16	1.78	2.14	1.78	2.01	2.06	2.49	1.75	2.10
TEMP/RH% FAIL D FAIL C		77/94	86/66	85/59	87/70	87/60	84/65	85/74	82/86	84/74	80/84	85/66	86/74
		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	2/25	5/25	0/25	0/25
		0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
CCT		02/20/65	03/19/65	04/10/65	06/22/65	07/19/65	08/23/65	09/17/65	10/07/65	10/28/65	11/11/65	12/07/65	12/28/65
	AVE % CH	.16	.06	.03	.86	1.16	1.34	1.45	1.42	1.21	1.01	.56	.36
MEAN VAL		98.15	98.05	98.02	98.83	99.12	99.30	99.41	99.38	99.17	98.98	98.55	98.35
	STD DEV	1.93	1.54	1.56	1.75	1.97	1.79	1.77	1.87	1.89	1.83	1.56	1.46
	% VAR	1.97	1.57	1.59	1.77	1.99	1.80	1.78	1.88	1.91	1.85	1.58	1.48
TEMP/RH% FAIL D FAIL C		74/20	78/23	78/34	73/69	72/63	74/68	72/70	74/58	76/32	83/36	77/22	80/22
		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50
		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	1/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50

TABLE B-6 DATA SUMMARY, MYLAR CAPACITORS (CT) (Cont.)

TYPE JCT	CT	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE											LIMIT DF LIM	6.00
		10/27/65	11/17/65	12/08/65	01/20/66	02/08/66	03/02/66	03/23/66	04/14/66	05/04/66	05/26/66			
AVE % CH		3.09	3.09	3.19	3.16	3.11	3.04	2.90	2.92	3.02	2.40			
MEAN VAL		101.91	101.91	102.02	101.98	101.93	101.87	101.73	101.74	101.85	101.23			
STD DEV		1.81	1.92	1.61	1.87	1.93	1.70	1.68	2.03	1.93	2.02			
% VAR		1.78	1.88	1.58	1.83	1.89	1.67	1.65	2.00	1.89	2.00			
TEMP/RH%		81/68	78/88	82/80	80/84	83/70	86/68	79/90	86/62	82/70	72/46			
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
JECT		10/27/65	11/17/65	12/08/65	01/20/66	02/08/66	03/02/66	03/23/66	04/14/66	05/04/66	05/26/66			
AVE % CH		2.93	2.95	3.13	3.17	3.13	3.03	2.99	3.00	3.03	2.64			
MEAN VAL		101.01	101.03	101.20	101.24	101.20	101.11	101.07	101.08	101.11	100.73			
STD DEV		2.39	2.37	2.57	2.54	2.57	2.27	2.46	2.40	2.33	2.34			
% VAR		2.37	2.35	2.54	2.51	2.54	2.25	2.43	2.37	2.30	2.32			
TEMP/RH%		83/64	78/88	84/74	82/78	86/60	83/78	80/86	88/58	83/68	72/46			
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
SCT		10/28/65	11/18/65	12/09/65	01/21/66	02/11/66	03/07/66	03/28/66	04/20/66	05/09/66	05/27/66			
AVE % CH		2.75	2.79	2.88	2.89	2.89	2.84	2.85	2.85	2.96	2.14			
MEAN VAL		101.02	101.07	101.16	101.17	101.16	101.12	101.12	101.13	101.24	100.43			
STD DEV		2.49	2.22	2.24	2.31	2.59	2.30	2.52	2.22	2.21	2.35			
% VAR		2.46	2.20	2.21	2.28	2.56	2.27	2.49	2.20	2.18	2.34			
TEMP/RH%		82/84	74/92	82/70	81/80	85/62	82/66	81/79	86/75	86/72	66/52			
FAIL D		1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
FAIL C		2/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25			
SECT		10/28/65	11/18/65	12/09/65	01/21/66	02/11/66	03/07/66	03/28/66	04/20/66	05/09/66	05/27/66			
AVE % CH		2.62	2.62	2.65	2.70	2.72	2.68	2.75	2.77	2.81	1.99			
MEAN VAL		101.26	101.25	101.28	101.33	101.35	101.32	101.38	101.40	101.36	100.63			
STD DEV		1.84	1.94	1.96	2.16	2.18	1.82	2.15	1.87	2.05	1.87			
% VAR		1.82	1.92	1.94	2.13	2.15	1.80	2.12	1.84	2.02	1.86			
TEMP/RH%		81/84	76/84	84/68	84/76	82/72	79/73	84/72	88/68	84/84	66/50			
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
CCT		01/18/66	02/04/66	02/24/66	03/28/66	05/18/66								
AVE % CH		.16	.08-	.02	.13	.48								
MEAN VAL		98.15	97.91	98.01	98.12	98.46								
STD DEV		1.77	2.03	1.64	1.84	1.92								
% VAR		1.80	2.07	1.67	1.88	1.95								
TEMP/RH%		72/21	79/47	69/60	72/44	76/66								
FAIL D		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50								
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50								

TABLE B-7. DATA SUMMARY, MICA CAPACITORS (CM)

TYPE JCM	CM	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE														LIMIT	
		06/20/64	06/23/64	06/29/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/19/64	09/10/64	09/29/64	10/20/64	11/09/64	11/30/64	12/23/64	1.50			
AVE % CH		.01-	.13	.09-	.54-	.61-	.81-	.79-	.83-	.82-	.84-	.98-	.69-				
MEAN VAL		2.116	2.119	2.114	2.105	2.103	2.099	2.099	2.098	2.099	2.098	2.095	2.101				
STD DEV		.034	.027	.017	.038	.032	.021	.043	.046	.036	.045	.042	.043				
% VAR		.66	1.27	.80	1.81	1.52	1.00	2.05	2.19	1.72	2.14	2.00	2.05				
TEMP/RH%		75/98	78/94	82/84	80/84	82/76	85/70	86/84	92/67	74/96	85/80	82/83	87/66				
FAIL D		0/25	2/25	2/25	0/25	1/25	5/25	5/25	6/25	5/25	6/25	8/25	6/25				
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	1/25	0/25				
JECM		06/20/64	06/23/64	06/29/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/19/64	09/10/64	09/29/64	10/19/64	11/09/64	11/30/64	12/23/64				
AVE % CH		.13-	.15-	.33-	.61-	.57-	.65-	.88-	.92-	.90-	.99-	1.10-	.35-				
MEAN VAL		2.114	2.110	2.107	2.101	2.102	2.100	2.095	2.094	2.095	2.093	2.090	2.106				
STD DEV		.038	.041	.034	.029	.033	.010	.027	.033	.037	.025	.046	.050				
% VAR		1.80	1.94	1.61	1.38	1.57	.48	1.29	1.58	1.77	1.19	2.20	2.37				
TEMP/RH%		79/79	85/80	86/72	82/84	82/70	91/58	85/80	89/68	75/00	86/78	79/90	89/61				
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	2/25	5/25	6/25	6/25	7/25	7/25	6/25	5/25	7/25				
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	3/25	2/25				
SCM		06/22/64	06/25/64	07/01/64	07/14/64	07/30/64	08/20/64	09/11/64	09/30/64	10/20/64	11/10/64	12/01/64	12/28/64				
AVE % CH		.15-	.15-	.36-	.55-	.31-	.33-	.47-	.51-	.51-	.63-	.56-	.38-				
MEAN VAL		2.109	2.106	2.101	2.097	2.102	2.102	2.099	2.098	2.098	2.095	2.097	2.101				
STD DEV		.034	.039	.026	.031	.033	.019	.014	.018	.019	.046	.011	.018				
% VAR		1.61	1.85	1.24	1.48	1.57	.90	.67	.86	.91	2.20	.52	.86				
TEMP/RH%		78/98	80/00	80/87	83/76	90/60	84/68	90/80	90/68	78/88	86/79	83/80	83/62				
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	2/25	2/25	4/25	1/25				
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25				
SECM		06/22/64	06/25/64	07/01/64	07/14/64	07/30/64	08/20/64	09/11/64	09/30/64	10/20/64	11/10/64	12/01/64	12/28/64				
AVE % CH		.05-	.59-	.51-	.52-	.42-	.48-	.62-	.68-	.67-	.85-	.86-	.60-				
MEAN VAL		2.113	2.100	2.102	2.102	2.104	2.103	2.100	2.098	2.099	2.095	2.095	2.100				
STD DEV		.038	.030	.022	.031	.018	.043	.036	.042	.039	.027	.038	.010				
% VAR		1.80	1.43	1.05	1.47	.86	2.04	1.71	2.00	1.86	1.29	1.81	.48				
TEMP/RH%		84/74	84/82	74/00	80/80	89/62	82/82	94/72	87/76	73/00	83/86	81/84	82/67				
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	2/25	2/25	4/25	6/25	8/25	2/25				
FAIL C		0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25				
CCM		03/03/64	06/11/64	07/14/64	07/29/64	08/21/64	09/10/64	10/03/64	10/29/64	11/27/64	12/16/64	01/07/65	01/25/65				
AVE % CH		.11	.08	.38	.34	.41	.44	.29	.46	.45	.48	.47	.46				
MEAN VAL		2.108	2.110	2.116	2.115	2.117	2.117	2.114	2.118	2.117	2.118	2.118	2.118				
STD DEV		.004	.042	.017	.028	.039	.030	.027	.035	.045	.019	.006	.034				
% VAR		.19	1.99	.80	1.32	1.84	1.42	1.28	1.65	2.13	.90	.28	1.61				
TEMP/RH%		74/15	80/54	77/60	75/68	82/63	78/65	84/57	80/47	79/42	74/26	74/34	74/38				
FAIL D		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50				
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50				



TABLE B-7. DATA SUMMARY, MICA CAPACITORS (CM) (Cont.)

TYPE JCM	CM	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE												LIMIT	
		01/11/65	02/02/65	02/23/65	03/18/65	04/08/65	04/27/65	06/09/65	07/02/65	07/22/65	08/12/65	08/31/65	10/04/65	1.50	
AVE % CH		.72-	.76-	.83-	.79-	.75-	.81-	.59-	.26-	.66-	.67-	.58-	.74-		
MEAN VAL		2.101	2.100	2.098	2.099	2.100	2.099	2.104	2.110	2.102	2.102	2.104	2.100		
STD DEV		.028	.015	.049	.044	.027	.008	.041	.049	.026	.012	.020	.043		
% VAR		1.33	.71	2.34	2.10	1.29	.38	1.95	2.32	1.24	.57	.95	2.05		
TEMP/RH%		85/67	86/59	86/60	85/69	87/66	90/52	80/96	80/96	82/80	76/96	82/82	84/78		
FAIL D		6/25	6/25	6/25	5/25	5/25	6/25	6/25	3/25	5/25	6/25	5/25	7/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25		
JECM		01/11/65	02/02/65	02/23/65	03/18/65	04/08/65	04/27/65	06/09/65	07/01/65	07/22/65	08/12/65	08/31/65	10/04/65		
AVE % CH		.60-	2.98	.85-	.79-	.77-	.81-	.20-	.53-	.77-	.52-	.47-	4.45-		
MEAN VAL		2.101	2.177	2.096	2.097	2.097	2.096	2.109	2.102	2.097	2.103	2.104	2.020		
STD DEV		.023	.301	.030	.012	.046	.049	.046	.048	.043	.006	.029	.411		
% VAR		1.09	13.83	1.43	.57	2.19	2.34	2.18	2.28	2.05	.29	1.38	20.35		
TEMP/RH%		85/64	84/60	85/62	86/66	85/72	89/63	75/96	86/84	88/62	76/96	78/94	84/75		
FAIL D		7/25	7/25	7/25	7/25	7/25	7/25	5/24	5/24	7/25	5/24	6/25	6/25		
FAIL C		3/25	3/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/24	1/24	0/25	3/24	2/25	3/25		
SCM		01/12/65	02/03/65	02/24/65	03/19/65	04/09/65	04/30/65	06/10/65	07/06/65	07/23/65	08/13/65	09/01/65	10/05/65		
AVE % CH		.30-	.39-	.34-	.36-	.36-	.38-	.35-	9.27	.23-	.17-	.05	.43-		
MEAN VAL		2.102	2.101	2.102	2.101	2.101	2.101	2.102	2.304	2.104	2.105	2.110	2.100		
STD DEV		.047	.041	.036	.032	.027	.028	.042	.323	.002	.029	.012	.028		
% VAR		2.24	1.95	1.71	1.52	1.29	1.33	2.00	14.02	.10	1.38	.57	1.33		
TEMP/RH%		79/82	85/69	85/60	86/75	89/55	89/62	82/86	82/87	71/97	82/78	86/62	82/84		
FAIL D		3/25	2/25	2/25	2/25	1/25	1/25	2/25	2/25	8/25	10/25	8/25	2/25		
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	13/25	2/25	2/25	4/25	0/25		
SECM		01/12/65	02/03/65	02/24/65	03/19/65	04/09/65	04/29/65	06/10/65	07/06/65	07/23/65	08/13/65	09/01/65	10/05/65		
AVE % CH		.54-	.01-	.70-	.44-	.49-	.47-	.55-	.48-	.12-	3.34	19.22	.85-		
MEAN VAL		2.101	2.112	2.098	2.103	2.102	2.103	2.101	2.103	2.110	2.183	2.518	2.095		
STD DEV		.039	.072	.008	.043	.042	.032	.017	.040	.035	.228	.709	.035		
% VAR		1.86	3.41	.38	2.04	2.00	1.52	.81	1.90	1.66	10.44	28.16	1.67		
TEMP/RH%		76/00	86/65	84/61	88/73	87/61	82/69	84/82	80/90	82/84	78/92	83/76	86/76		
FAIL D		15/25	8/25	5/25	8/25	4/25	5/25	4/25	7/25	8/25	2/25	7/25	7/25		
FAIL C		0/25	1/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	1/25	3/25	9/25	10/25	23/25	1/25		
CCM		02/20/65	03/19/65	04/10/65	06/22/65	07/16/65	08/16/65	09/17/65	10/07/65	10/28/65	11/11/65	12/08/65	12/27/65		
AVE % CH		.44	.45	.41	.34	.34	.37	.32	.35	.37	.43	.51	.43		
MEAN VAL		2.117	2.117	2.117	2.115	2.115	2.116	2.115	2.115	2.116	2.117	2.119	2.117		
STD DEV		.035	.042	.041	.021	.019	.035	.038	.043	.024	.019	.025	.022		
% VAR		1.65	1.98	1.94	.99	.90	1.65	1.80	2.03	1.13	.90	1.18	1.04		
TEMP/RH%		74/20	79/28	78/34	73/73	73/58	73/58	72/70	74/55	78/30	82/34	78/22	78/22		
FAIL D		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50		
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50		

TABLE B-7. DATA SUMMARY, MICA CAPACITORS (CM) (Cont.)

TYPE	CM	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE										LIMIT
JCM		10/27/65	11/17/65	12/08/65	01/20/66	02/08/66	03/02/66	03/23/66	04/14/66	05/04/66	05/26/66	DF LIM
JECM												
AVE % CH		.79-	.81-	.42-	.59-	.73-	.69-	.68-	.77-	.66-	.74-	
MEAN VAL		2.099	2.099	2.107	2.103	2.101	2.101	2.102	2.100	2.102	2.100	
STD DEV		.047	.019	.032	.050	.029	.048	.023	.027	.025	.048	
% VAR		2.24	.91	1.52	2.38	1.38	2.28	1.09	1.29	1.19	2.29	
TEMP/RH%		80/72	76/94	80/87	79/86	82/71	83/80	78/90	85/66	82/68	72/46	
FAIL D		8/25	8/25	4/25	6/25	3/25	6/25	6/25	6/25	4/25	5/25	
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	1/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	
JECM												
AVE % CH		.83-	4.82-	.46-	5.33-	5.54-	.91-	.40-	1.09-	.81-	.78-	1000.00
MEAN VAL		2.096	2.012	2.104	2.002	1.998	2.096	2.106	2.092	2.097	2.097	
STD DEV		.037	.408	.013	.429	.438	.037	.042	.028	.001	.033	
% VAR		1.77	20.28	.62	21.43	21.92	1.77	1.99	1.34	.05	1.57	
TEMP/RH%		82/68	79/90	84/72	81/80	85/62	82/76	80/84	87/62	82/68	72/76	
FAIL D		5/25	6/25	5/23	5/22	5/25	5/25	6/25	6/25	6/25	7/25	
FAIL C		2/25	4/25	2/23	2/22	6/25	6/25	5/25	5/25	3/25	0/25	
SCM												
AVE % CH		.57-	.49-	.25-	.20-	.28-	.33-	.26-	.16-	.14-	.25-	
MEAN VAL		2.097	2.098	2.103	2.105	2.103	2.102	2.103	2.105	2.106	2.104	
STD DEV		.026	.046	.048	.037	.007	.007	.042	.044	.013	.043	
% VAR		1.24	2.19	2.28	1.76	.33	.33	2.00	2.09	.62	2.04	
TEMP/RH%		81/82	74/92	82/70	80/86	84/64	81/69	82/78	85/78	85/76	66/52	
FAIL D		5/25	3/25	2/25	3/25	2/25	2/25	2/25	2/25	2/25	2/25	
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	0/25	
SECM												
AVE % CH		.94-	1.11-	.94-	.79-	.74-	.77-	.61-	.62-	.60-	.46-	
MEAN VAL		2.093	2.089	2.093	2.096	2.097	2.096	2.100	2.099	2.100	2.103	
STD DEV		.019	.026	.027	.014	.018	.043	.022	.046	.021	.009	
% VAR		.91	1.24	1.29	.67	.86	2.05	1.05	2.19	1.00	.43	
TEMP/RH%		82/80	76/84	84/70	83/77	81/74	78/76	83/74	88/70	82/88	66/50	
FAIL D		8/25	9/25	7/24	7/24	6/25	6/25	4/25	4/25	4/25	2/25	
FAIL C		1/25	1/25	0/24	0/24	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	
CCM												
AVE % CH		.41	.51	.50	.45	.45						
MEAN VAL		2.117	2.119	2.119	2.118	2.117						
STD DEV		.039	.031	.043	.043	.044						
% VAR		1.84	1.46	2.03	2.03	2.08						
TEMP/RH%		72/21	78/47	72/58	70/46	77/64						
FAIL D		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50						
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50						

TABLE B-8. DATA SUMMARY, INDUCTORS (WE) (INDUCTANCE)

TYPE WE	INDUCTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE										LIMIT	
	06/19/64	06/22/64	06/28/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/19/64	09/09/64	09/29/64	10/19/64	11/09/64	11/30/64	12/23/64
JWE												
AVE % CH	1.35	1.35	.74	.76-	.14-	1.81	3.28	1.17	1.17	.41	.65-	1.26
MEAN VAL	40.42	40.96	40.71	40.11	40.36	41.14	41.73	40.89	40.89	40.58	40.15	40.92
STD DEV	.35	.46	.85	.58	.58	.83	2.93	.33	.80	.43	.52	.83
% VAR	.87	1.12	2.09	1.45	1.44	2.02	7.02	.81	1.96	1.06	1.30	2.03
TEMP/RH%	84/88	85/76	84/79	79/95	81/74	84/70	85/88	88/72	83/84	84/82	77/92	86/61
FAIL D	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
FAIL C	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
JWE												
AVE % CH	1.82	1.67	.95	.68-	.44-	2.30	1.77	1.11	1.32	.79	.13	.79
MEAN VAL	40.26	40.94	40.65	39.99	40.08	41.19	40.98	40.71	40.80	40.58	40.32	40.58
STD DEV	.77	.16	.07	.52	.95	1.18	.23	.57	.19	.55	.34	.62
% VAR	1.91	.39	.17	1.30	2.37	2.86	.56	1.40	.47	1.36	.84	1.53
TEMP/RH%	82/76	83/80	84/79	79/98	80/78	85/80	82/80	87/73	84/72	85/79	76/98	89/60
FAIL D	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
FAIL C	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
SWE												
AVE % CH	1.91	.94	1.00-	1.22	1.51	1.57	1.11	1.07	1.52	.32	.20	1.25-
MEAN VAL	40.48	40.85	40.07	40.37	41.09	41.11	40.92	40.91	41.09	40.60	40.55	39.97
STD DEV	.36	.51	.64	.56	.33	.61	.71	.43	2.36	.78	.79	.51
% VAR	.89	1.24	2.40	1.37	.80	1.48	1.74	1.05	5.74	1.92	1.95	1.28
TEMP/RH%	78/84	87/66	83/86	85/72	85/62	84/75	88/77	87/68	80/80	83/88	84/79	86/70
FAIL D	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
FAIL C	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
SEWE												
AVE % CH	1.98	.17	.37-	1.26	1.34	1.10	.97	.78	1.12	.20	.30-	.31-
MEAN VAL	40.34	40.40	40.18	40.84	40.88	40.78	40.72	40.65	40.79	40.42	40.21	40.21
STD DEV	.59	.95	1.12	.84	.52	.67	.94	.75	1.26	.70	.96	2.56
% VAR	1.46	2.35	2.79	2.06	1.27	1.64	2.31	1.85	3.09	1.73	2.39	6.37
TEMP/RH%	79/86	86/72	82/80	85/70	85/58	82/82	89/74	86/76	78/84	83/86	82/80	84/74
FAIL D	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
FAIL C	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25
CWE												
AVE % CH	.17	.70-	1.43-	1.01-	.21-	.14	.01-	.25-	.12-	.15-	1.02-	1.32-
MEAN VAL	39.17	38.89	38.60	38.77	39.08	39.22	39.16	39.07	39.12	39.11	38.77	38.65
STD DEV	.06	.56	.80	.44	.75	.39	.50	.30	.48	.24	.32	.19
% VAR	.15	1.68	2.07	1.13	1.92	.99	1.28	.77	1.23	.61	.83	.49
TEMP/RH%	78/56	78/60	83/56	78/40	80/27	74/24	78/30	78/38	74/21	79/30	78/34	73/62
FAIL D	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50
FAIL C	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50

TABLE B-8. DATA SUMMARY, INDUCTORS (WE) (INDUCTANCE) (Cont.)

TYPE JWE	WE	INDUCTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE										LIMIT	
		01/11/65	02/02/65	02/23/65	03/18/65	04/08/65	04/27/65	06/09/65	07/01/65	07/22/65	08/12/65	08/31/65	10/04/65
AVE % CH		.77	.66	.34	.01-	.31-	.52-	2.09-	.83-	.44	.50	.23-	.03-
MEAN VAL		40.73	40.68	40.55	40.41	40.29	40.20	39.57	40.08	40.59	40.62	40.32	40.40
STD DEV		.27	.67	.78	.66	.44	.54	3.27	.58	.67	.26	.65	.78
% VAR		.66	1.65	1.92	1.63	1.09	1.34	8.26	1.45	1.65	.64	1.61	1.93
TEMP/RH%		84/69	81/76	85/58	84/70	84/76	87/64	81/90	84/85	88/65	82/78	79/96	82/82
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
JWE		01/11/65	02/02/65	02/23/65	03/18/65	04/08/65	04/27/65	06/09/65	07/01/65	07/22/65	08/12/65	08/31/65	10/04/65
AVE % CH		.75	.71	.78	.08	.04	.20	.50-	.29-	.02	.28	.53	.06-
MEAN VAL		40.57	40.55	40.58	40.30	40.28	40.34	40.07	40.15	40.27	40.37	40.48	40.24
STD DEV		.13	.34	.31	.23	.62	.63	.56	.30	.57	.68	.32	.18
% VAR		.32	.84	.76	.57	1.54	1.56	1.40	.75	1.42	1.68	.79	.45
TEMP/RH%		84/60	83/68	84/62	82/78	82/84	86/64	82/78	84/92	87/66	82/78	79/96	83/80
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
SWE		01/12/65	02/03/65	02/24/65	03/19/65	04/09/65	04/29/65	06/10/65	07/06/65	07/23/65	08/13/65	09/01/65	10/05/65
AVE % CH		.37	1.01	2.93	1.23	1.32	.56	.68	.15	.65	.65	.67	.32-
MEAN VAL		40.62	40.88	41.66	40.97	41.01	40.70	40.75	40.53	40.74	40.74	40.75	40.34
STD DEV		.83	1.17	.64	.89	.41	1.10	.51	.79	.42	.42	.21	.79
% VAR		2.04	2.86	1.54	2.17	1.00	2.70	1.25	1.95	1.03	1.03	.52	1.96
TEMP/RH%		76/00	86/66	84/62	84/76	86/64	87/64	88/72	90/74	72/96	85/68	87/60	80/94
FAIL D		0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
SEWE		01/12/65	02/03/65	02/24/65	03/19/65	04/09/65	04/29/65	06/10/65	07/06/65	07/23/65	08/13/65	09/01/65	10/05/65
AVE % CH		.22	.22	.72-	.67-	2.06-	.40	.94-	.66-	.08	.65	.21-	1.53-
MEAN VAL		40.42	40.42	40.05	40.06	39.52	40.49	39.96	40.07	40.36	40.59	40.25	39.71
STD DEV		.93	.99	.62	.99	3.28	.93	.61	.56	.75	.55	.64	.68
% VAR		2.30	2.45	1.55	2.47	8.30	2.30	1.53	1.40	1.86	1.36	1.59	1.71
TEMP/RH%		78/83	82/70	82/66	85/80	84/67	88/60	88/70	88/74	72/96	85/70	88/60	80/94
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
CWE		07/15/65	08/16/65	09/08/65	09/17/65	10/07/65	10/27/65	11/11/65	12/07/65	12/28/65	01/19/66	02/04/66	02/24/66
AVE % CH		.67-	.80-	1.45-	.90-	1.02	.26-	.82-	1.06	.16-	1.31	1.44	2.06
MEAN VAL		38.90	38.85	38.60	38.81	39.57	39.07	38.84	39.58	39.10	39.68	39.73	39.97
STD DEV		.55	.64	.18	.60	.31	.21	.83	.60	.69	.12	.45	.67
% VAR		1.41	1.65	.47	1.55	.78	.54	2.14	1.52	1.76	.30	1.13	1.68
TEMP/RH%		74/57	71/66	72/62	72/71	75/45	72/36	82/34	79/22	80/22	73/22	78/47	72/58
FAIL D		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50
FAIL C		0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50

TABLE B-8. DATA SUMMARY, INDUCTORS (WE) (INDUCTANCE) (Cont.)

TYPE JWE	WE	INDUCTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE										LIMIT DF LIM
		10/27/65	11/17/65	12/08/65	01/20/66	02/08/66	03/02/66	03/23/66	04/14/66	05/04/66	05/26/66	
JENE												
AVE % CH		1.42-	.41-	.92-	1.23-	.77-	.98-	.89-	.62-	.23-	.78-	
MEAN VAL		39.84	40.25	40.04	39.92	40.10	40.02	40.05	40.16	40.32	40.10	
STD DEV		.12	.30	.61	.05	.62	.79	.79	.75	.70	.39	
% VAR		.30	.75	1.52	.13	1.55	1.97	1.97	1.87	1.74	.97	
TEMP/RH%		78/92	80/80	84/72	84/76	88/54	87/56	84/67	90/57	83/67	72/46	
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
JENE												
AVE % CH		.49-	.26-	.34-	.69-	.53-	.43-	.52-	.31-	.17	.37-	
MEAN VAL		40.06	40.16	40.13	39.99	40.05	40.09	40.05	40.14	40.33	40.11	
STD DEV		.57	.56	.21	.26	.51	.62	.73	.27	.47	.65	
% VAR		1.42	1.39	.52	.65	1.27	1.55	1.82	.67	1.17	1.62	
TEMP/RH%		78/96	79/80	84/74	84/78	88/54	86/60	84/66	90/58	83/68	72/46	
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
SWE												
AVE % CH		.24-	4.08-	4.77-	4.78-	4.47-	4.80-	4.45-	4.23-	4.75-	3.94-	
MEAN VAL		40.38	38.82	38.54	38.54	38.66	38.53	38.67	38.76	38.55	38.88	
STD DEV		.21	7.86	7.88	7.86	7.86	7.80	7.86	7.86	7.82	7.88	
% VAR		.52	20.25	20.45	20.39	20.33	20.24	20.33	20.28	20.29	20.27	
TEMP/RH%		80/86	74/92	86/62	85/70	87/64	84/62	87/64	88/70	86/74	66/52	
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
FAIL C		0/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	
SEWE												
AVE % CH		.75-	.87-	.78-	1.37-	1.20-	1.74-	.61-	5.49-	4.96-	.74-	
MEAN VAL		40.03	39.98	40.02	39.78	39.85	39.63	40.09	38.20	38.42	40.03	
STD DEV		.87	.93	.74	.80	.84	.96	.73	7.83	7.79	.88	
% VAR		2.17	2.33	1.85	2.01	2.11	2.42	1.82	20.50	20.28	2.20	
TEMP/RH%		79/94	74/94	84/68	85/70	87/64	84/62	88/62	88/73	88/66	66/52	
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	1/25	0/25	
CWE												
AVE % CH		4.40-	4.38-									
MEAN VAL		37.44	37.45									
STD DEV		.75	.57									
% VAR		2.00	1.52									
TEMP/RH%		72/46	74/68									
FAIL D		0/50	0/50									
FAIL C		0/50	0/50									

TABLE B-9. DATA SUMMARY, INDUCTORS (WE) (Q)

TYPE JWE	WE	INDUCTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE										LIMIT	
		06/19/64	06/22/64	06/28/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/19/64	09/09/64	09/29/64	10/19/64	11/09/64	11/30/64	12/23/64
JWE	AVE % CH	1.67	.47	.73	.47-	.75-	1.26-	.34	.69-	1.33-	1.56-	.91-	
	MEAN VAL	2.23	2.24	2.25	2.23	2.22	2.21	2.20	2.24	2.22	2.20	2.21	
	STD DEV	.09	.10	.11	.09	.08	.15	.12	.04	.12	.10	.08	
	% VAR	4.04	4.46	4.89	4.04	3.60	6.79	5.45	1.79	5.41	4.09	3.62	
JWE	TEMP/RH%	84/88	85/76	84/79	79/95	81/74	84/70	85/88	88/72	83/84	84/82	77/92	86/61
	FAIL D	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
	FAIL C	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
		06/19/64	06/22/64	06/28/64	07/13/64	07/29/64	08/19/64	09/09/64	09/29/64	10/19/64	11/09/64	11/30/64	12/23/64
JWE	AVE % CH	1.34	1.25	1.77	.43-	.82-	.30	4.12-	1.21-	2.36-	.89-	1.49-	4.13-
	MEAN VAL	2.27	2.27	2.28	2.23	2.22	2.25	2.15	2.21	2.19	2.22	2.21	2.15
	STD DEV	.05	.09	.02	.06	.09	.09	.03	.12	.11	.05	.12	.05
	% VAR	2.20	3.96	.88	2.69	4.05	4.00	1.40	5.43	5.02	2.25	5.43	2.33
JWE	TEMP/RH%	82/76	83/80	84/79	79/98	80/78	85/80	82/80	87/73	84/72	85/79	76/98	89/60
	FAIL D	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
	FAIL C	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
		06/20/64	06/23/64	06/29/64	07/14/64	07/30/64	08/20/64	09/11/64	09/30/64	10/20/64	11/10/64	12/01/64	12/24/64
SWE	AVE % CH	1.77	1.03	1.15	.87-	.37-	1.14-	3.36-	.51-	.14	.29-	9.65-	4.89-
	MEAN VAL	2.28	2.26	2.26	2.22	2.23	2.21	2.16	2.23	2.24	2.23	2.02	2.13
	STD DEV	.05	.11	.15	.01	.08	.13	.13	.09	.15	.12	.15	.04
	% VAR	2.19	4.87	6.64	.45	3.59	5.88	6.02	4.04	6.70	5.38	7.43	1.88
SWE	TEMP/RH%	78/84	87/66	83/86	85/72	85/62	84/75	88/77	87/68	80/80	83/88	84/79	86/70
	FAIL D	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
	FAIL C	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
		06/20/64	06/23/64	06/29/64	07/14/64	07/30/64	08/20/64	09/11/64	09/30/64	10/20/64	11/10/64	12/01/64	12/24/64
SEWE	AVE % CH	4.73-	.28-	.79	1.25-	1.34-	4.17-	3.17-	.43-	11.85-	3.73-	4.93-	3.66-
	MEAN VAL	2.14	2.24	2.26	2.22	2.21	2.15	2.17	2.24	1.98	2.16	2.13	2.16
	STD DEV	.05	.04	.13	.11	.16	.10	.15	.13	.03	.11	.14	.17
	% VAR	6.22	1.79	5.75	4.95	7.24	4.65	6.91	5.80	1.52	5.09	6.57	7.87
SEWE	TEMP/RH%	79/86	86/72	82/80	85/70	85/58	82/82	89/74	86/76	78/84	83/86	82/80	84/74
	FAIL D	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
	FAIL C	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
		08/20/64	09/09/64	09/29/64	10/26/64	11/24/64	12/16/64	01/07/65	01/22/65	02/20/65	03/18/65	04/10/65	06/21/65
CWE	AVE % CH	.74-	9.83-	29.06-	38.93-	2.29	3.64	3.35	2.98	3.55	2.03	2.13	2.33
	MEAN VAL	2.19	1.99	1.57	1.35	2.26	2.29	2.28	2.27	2.29	2.25	2.25	2.26
	STD DEV	.09	.01	.12	.08	.08	.09	.10	.13	.13	.11	.15	.05
	% VAR	4.11	.50	7.64	5.93	3.54	3.93	4.39	5.73	5.68	4.89	6.67	2.21
CWE	TEMP/RH%	78/56	78/60	83/56	78/40	80/27	74/24	78/30	78/38	74/21	79/30	78/34	73/62
	FAIL D	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50
	FAIL C	0/50	0/50	18/50	50/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50

TABLE B-9. DATA SUMMARY, INDUCTORS (WE) (Q) (Cont.)

TYPE JWE	WE	INDUCTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE										LIMIT	
		01/11/65	02/02/65	02/23/65	03/18/65	04/08/65	04/27/65	06/09/65	07/01/65	07/22/65	08/12/65	08/31/65	10/04/65
JWE													
AVE % CH													
MEAN VAL													
STD DEV													
% VAR													
TEMP/RH%													
FAIL D													
FAIL C													
JWE													
AVE % CH													
MEAN VAL													
STD DEV													
% VAR													
TEMP/RH%													
FAIL D													
FAIL C													
SWE													
AVE % CH													
MEAN VAL													
STD DEV													
% VAR													
TEMP/RH%													
FAIL D													
FAIL C													
SEWE													
AVE % CH													
MEAN VAL													
STD DEV													
% VAR													
TEMP/RH%													
FAIL D													
FAIL C													
CWE													
AVE % CH													
MEAN VAL													
STD DEV													
% VAR													
TEMP/RH%													
FAIL D													
FAIL C													

TABLE B-9. DATA SUMMARY, INDUCTORS (WE) (Q) (Cont.)

TYPE JWE	WE	INDUCTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE											LIMIT DF LIM	30.00 W5
		10/27/65	11/17/65	12/08/65	01/20/66	02/08/66	03/02/66	03/23/66	04/14/66	05/04/66	05/26/66			
AVE % CH		2.88-	.39	1.29-	1.52-	2.54-	1.36-	1.22-	2.15-	3.86-	.28-			
MEAN VAL		2.17	2.24	2.20	2.20	2.17	2.20	2.20	2.18	2.15	2.22			
STD DEV		.12	.05	.11	.10	.14	.08	.14	.13	.13	.15			
% VAR		5.53	2.23	5.00	4.55	6.45	3.64	6.36	5.96	6.05	6.76			
TEMP/RH%		78/92	80/80	84/72	84/76	88/54	87/56	84/67	90/57	83/67	72/46			
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
JWE		10/27/65	11/17/65	12/08/65	01/20/66	02/08/66	03/02/66	03/23/66	04/14/66	05/04/66	05/26/66			
AVE % CH		2.45-	1.20	.66-	2.95-	1.97-	1.47-	2.93-	3.81-	4.62-	.87			
MEAN VAL		2.18	2.27	2.23	2.17	2.20	2.21	2.17	2.15	2.14	2.26			
STD DEV		.15	.11	.14	.14	.12	.10	.15	.14	.12	.04			
% VAR		6.88	4.85	6.28	6.45	5.45	4.52	6.91	6.51	5.61	1.77			
TEMP/RH%		78/96	79/80	84/74	84/78	88/54	86/60	84/66	90/58	83/68	72/46			
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
SWE		10/28/65	11/18/65	12/09/65	01/21/66	02/11/66	03/07/66	03/28/66	04/20/66	05/09/66	05/27/66			
AVE % CH		5.68-	.76-	6.15-	5.20-	5.16-	4.29-	3.35-	4.66-	5.26-	2.96-			
MEAN VAL		2.11	2.22	2.10	2.12	2.12	2.14	2.17	2.14	2.12	2.17			
STD DEV		.28	.13	.13	.13	.15	.14	.14	.12	.11	.16			
% VAR		13.27	5.86	6.19	6.13	7.08	6.54	6.45	5.61	5.19	7.37			
TEMP/RH%		80/86	74/92	86/62	85/70	87/64	84/62	87/64	88/70	86/74	66/52			
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/24	0/24	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
FAIL C		1/25	1/25	0/24	0/24	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25			
SEWE		10/28/65	11/18/65	12/09/65	01/21/66	02/11/66	03/07/66	03/28/66	04/20/66	05/09/66	05/27/66			
AVE % CH		4.54-	1.63-	7.19-	5.02-	5.14-	6.70-	7.77-	5.51-	6.17-	2.26-			
MEAN VAL		2.14	2.21	2.08	2.13	2.13	2.10	2.07	2.13	2.11	2.20			
STD DEV		.13	.02	.21	.14	.12	.06	.24	.03	.15	.10			
% VAR		6.07	.90	10.10	6.57	5.63	2.86	11.59	1.41	7.11	4.55			
TEMP/RH%		79/94	74/94	84/68	85/70	87/64	84/62	88/62	88/73	88/66	66/52			
FAIL D		0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25			
FAIL C		0/25	0/25	1/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	0/25			
CWE		03/29/66	05/27/66											
AVE % CH		4.24	3.27											
MEAN VAL		2.30	2.28											
STD DEV		.09	.01											
% VAR		3.91	.44											
TEMP/RH%		72/46	74/68											
FAIL D		0/50	0/50											
FAIL C		0/50	0/50											



APPENDIX C

DATA SUMMARIES FOR PHASE I COMPONENTS DRYING CYCLE

## EXPLANATION OF TERMS

% CHANGE is  $\frac{X_i - X_o}{X_o} \times 100$ ,

where  $X_o$  = initial value, resistance, capacitance or Q

$X_i$  = value measured at data taking (internal)

LIMIT is the agreed tolerance limit based upon the component specifications and coefficients.

AVE % CH is the arithmetic average of the % CHANGE value for the sample lot, excluding catastrophic failures.

MEAN VAL is the MEAN or  $\bar{X}$  value of the sample lot, excluding catastrophic failures.

STD DEV is  $\sqrt{\sum \frac{f_i (X_i)^2}{N} - (\bar{X})^2}$

Standard deviation  $\sigma$ , units of measure the same as for the component.

% VAR is the PERCENT VARIANCE  $\frac{\sigma}{\bar{X}} \times 100$

TEMP/RH % is temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{F}$ ) and relative humidity (%) observed at the time the measurements were recorded.

FAIL D corresponds to the number of components in the lot whose value has exceeded the LIMIT but not exceeded twice its LIMIT with respect to the number of valid-data components.

FAIL C corresponds to the number of components in the lot whose value has exceeded TWICE the LIMIT with respect to the number of valid-data components.

TABLE C-1. DATA SUMMARY, DRYING CYCLE, COMPOSITION  
RESISTORS (RC)

TYPE	RC	LIMIT	11.50	RESISTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE			
JRC		05/04/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		5.53	5.07	4.75	4.23	3.15	2.14
MEAN VAL	10.045	10.600	10.554	10.522	10.470	10.362	10.260
STD DEV	.117	.169	.191	.169	.184	.146	.180
% VAR	1.16	1.59	1.81	1.61	1.76	1.41	1.75
TEMP/RH%		78/80	65/62	65/62	65/62	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
JERC		05/04/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		5.42	4.96	4.71	4.21	3.17	2.13
MEAN VAL	10.042	10.586	10.540	10.515	10.465	10.360	10.255
STD DEV	.109	.144	.141	.081	.138	.151	.138
% VAR	1.09	1.36	1.34	.77	1.32	1.46	1.35
TEMP/RH%		78/80	65/62	68/60	67/62	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
SRC		05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		4.81	5.09	4.22	3.81	2.87	1.67
MEAN VAL	10.019	10.501	10.528	10.442	10.401	10.307	10.186
STD DEV	.134	.146	.158	.118	.115	.114	.158
% VAR	1.34	1.39	1.50	1.13	1.11	1.11	1.55
TEMP/RH%		78/80	65/62	68/60	67/62	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
SERC		05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		10.73	4.21	4.98	3.71	2.73	1.92
MEAN VAL	10.000	11.067	10.421	10.497	10.371	10.273	10.192
STD DEV	.047	1.153	.038	.165	.047	.047	.060
% VAR	.47	10.42	.36	1.57	.45	.46	.59
TEMP/RH%		78/80	65/62	68/60	67/62	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		1/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05

TABLE C-2. DATA SUMMARY, DRYING CYCLE, CARBON FILM RESISTORS (RN)

TYPE JRN	RN	RESISTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE					
		2.00 LIMIT 05/04/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		.39	.56	.56	.61	.54	.57
MEAN VAL	99.79	100.18	100.35	100.35	100.40	100.33	100.36
STD DEV	.78	.79	.40	.50	.80	.47	.79
% VAR	.78	.79	.40	.50	.80	.47	.79
TEMP/RH%		78/80	65/62	68/60	67/62	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
JERN		05/04/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		.57	.82	.87	.77	.83	.83
MEAN VAL	99.28	99.85	100.09	100.14	100.05	100.10	100.11
STD DEV	.57	.82	.96	.38	.37	.52	.82
% VAR	.57	.82	.96	.38	.37	.52	.82
TEMP/RH%		78/80	65/62	68/60	67/62	55/70	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
SRN		05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		.28	.58	.60	.64	.61	.69
MEAN VAL	99.73	100.01	100.31	100.33	100.36	100.34	100.41
STD DEV	.65	.79	.85	.60	1.06	.68	1.07
% VAR	.65	.79	.85	.60	1.06	.68	1.07
TEMP/RH%		78/80	65/62	68/60	67/62	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
SERN		05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		.52	.76	.75	.75	.78	.74
MEAN VAL	99.75	100.28	100.52	100.50	100.50	100.53	100.49
STD DEV	.98	.43	.75	.46	.49	.47	.41
% VAR	.98	.43	.75	.46	.49	.47	.41
TEMP/RH%		78/80	65/62	68/60	67/62	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05

TABLE C-3. DATA SUMMARY, DRYING CYCLE, WIREWOUND RESISTOR (RW)

TYPE	RW	RESISTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE					
		5.25					
		05/04/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		.04-	.07-	.09-	.07-	.07-	.06-
MEAN VAL	913.8	913.4	913.2	913.0	913.1	913.2	913.3
STD DEV	9.5	7.3	4.1	12.8	12.8	7.3	4.2
% VAR	1.04	.80	.45	1.40	1.40	.80	.46
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	68/59	67/72	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
JERW		05/04/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		.03-	.05-	.05-	.05-	.05-	.04-
MEAN VAL	903.6	903.3	903.1	903.2	903.1	903.1	903.2
STD DEV	11.4	12.8	12.7	7.4	14.1	14.1	14.1
% VAR	1.26	1.42	1.41	.82	1.56	1.56	1.56
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	68/59	67/72	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
SRW		05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		.05	.05-	.32-	.06-	.04-	.04-
MEAN VAL	908.2	908.6	907.8	905.3	907.7	907.8	907.9
STD DEV	14.0	13.8	11.0	16.7	12.6	14.1	11.2
% VAR	1.54	1.52	1.21	1.84	1.39	1.55	1.23
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	68/59	67/72	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
SERW		05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		.43	.29	.25	.25	.28	.22
MEAN VAL	901.8	905.7	904.5	904.1	904.1	904.3	903.7
STD DEV	11.2	13.8	11.5	12.6	11.0	15.2	16.2
% VAR	1.24	1.52	1.27	1.39	1.22	1.68	1.79
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	68/59	67/72	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05

TABLE C-4. DATA SUMMARY DRYING CYCLE, TANTALUM CAPACITORS ELECTROLYTIC (CS)

TYPE	MC	LIMIT	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE				
			12.00				
JMC			05/04/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66 05/26/66
AVE % CH			6.98-	4.63-	4.69-	4.42-	4.38- 5.24-
MEAN VAL	10.40	9.68	9.92	9.91	9.94	9.95	9.86
STD DEV	.32	.23	.37	.47	.42	.25	.24
% VAR	3.08	2.38	3.73	4.74	4.23	2.51	2.43
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	67/72	67/77	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
JEMC			05/04/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66 05/26/66
AVE % CH			1.48-	.46	.07	.05	.27 .46-
MEAN VAL	10.16	10.01	10.21	10.17	10.16	10.19	10.11
STD DEV	.66	.46	.42	.41	.57	.42	.53
% VAR	6.50	4.60	4.11	4.03	5.61	4.12	5.24
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	72/57	67/77	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	1/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		1/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
SMC			05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66 05/26/66
AVE % CH			6.23-	2.99-	3.21-	2.96-	2.79- 2.28-
MEAN VAL	10.12	9.49	9.81	9.79	9.82	9.83	9.89
STD DEV	.28	.22	.34	.28	.05	.34	.16
% VAR	2.77	2.32	3.47	2.86	.51	3.46	1.62
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	72/57	67/77	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		1/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
SEMC			05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66 05/26/66
AVE % CH			3.38-	.41-	.60-	.51-	.11- 1.05
MEAN VAL	9.88	9.55	9.85	9.83	9.84	9.88	9.99
STD DEV	.48	.55	.48	.52	.49	.49	.63
% VAR	4.86	5.76	4.87	5.29	4.98	4.96	6.31
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	72/57	67/77	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05

TABLE C-5. DATA SUMMARY, DRYING CYCLE, CERAMIC CAPACITOR (CK)

TYPE	CK	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE					
		15.00					
JCK		05/04/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		2.93-	6.21	1.32-	1.58-	.87-	1.62-
MEAN VAL	100.0	97.0	106.1	98.6	98.4	99.1	98.3
STD DEV	7.3	6.5	6.4	5.2	4.5	4.4	4.6
% VAR	7.30	6.70	6.03	5.27	4.57	4.44	4.68
TEMP/RH%		78/80	65/62	68/60	67/62	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
JECK		05/04/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		12.46	15.34	9.29	5.43	4.19	.05
MEAN VAL	99.3	112.5	115.2	109.1	105.0	103.7	99.6
STD DEV	6.2	23.7	21.4	17.9	13.4	11.4	11.3
% VAR	6.24	21.07	18.58	16.41	12.76	10.99	11.35
TEMP/RH%		78/80	65/62	68/60	67/62	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	2/05	1/05	1/05	0/05
FAIL C		2/05	2/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
SCK		05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		1.16-	5.10	1.23	2.73	3.52	1.13
MEAN VAL	97.8	96.6	102.7	98.9	100.4	101.2	98.8
STD DEV	5.1	6.1	4.8	5.1	4.1	4.6	5.0
% VAR	5.21	6.31	4.67	5.16	4.08	4.55	5.06
TEMP/RH%		78/80	65/62	68/70	67/62	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
SECK		05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		1.88-	6.60	1.30	3.81	5.00	2.01
MEAN VAL	102.0	100.1	108.7	103.2	105.9	107.1	104.1
STD DEV	6.3	5.1	5.5	2.4	5.3	5.2	4.6
% VAR	6.18	5.09	5.06	2.33	5.00	4.86	4.42
TEMP/RH%		78/80	65/62	68/60	67/62	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05

TABLE C-6. DATA SUMMARY, DRYING CYCLE, MYLAR CAPACITORS (CT)

TYPE JCT	CT	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE					
		LIMIT 05/04/66	6.00 05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		3.03	2.90	2.89	2.81	2.73	2.45
MEAN VAL	98.25	101.23	101.10	101.09	101.01	100.94	100.66
STD DEV	1.79	1.66	1.66	1.78	1.89	1.55	1.83
% VAR	1.82	1.64	1.64	1.76	1.87	1.54	1.82
TEMP/RH%		78/80	65/62	68/60	67/62	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
JECT		05/04/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		2.99	2.84	2.85	2.79	2.65	2.63
MEAN VAL	96.30	99.18	99.04	99.04	98.99	98.86	98.83
STD DEV	1.98	2.08	2.08	2.25	1.97	1.89	2.02
% VAR	2.06	2.10	2.10	2.27	1.99	1.91	2.04
TEMP/RH%		78/80	65/62	68/60	67/62	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
SCT		05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		2.59	2.43	2.44	2.38	2.31	1.86
MEAN VAL	98.41	100.95	100.79	100.80	100.74	100.67	100.23
STD DEV	2.91	2.86	2.96	3.00	3.08	3.03	3.22
% VAR	2.96	2.83	2.94	2.98	3.06	3.01	3.21
TEMP/RH%		78/80	65/62	68/60	67/62	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
SECT		05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		2.81	2.79	2.66	2.62	2.54	2.14
MEAN VAL	98.18	100.94	100.92	100.79	100.75	100.68	100.28
STD DEV	1.77	1.54	1.50	1.78	1.78	1.54	1.79
% VAR	1.80	1.53	1.49	1.77	1.77	1.53	1.79
TEMP/RH%		78/80	65/62	68/60	67/62	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05



TABLE C-7. SUMMARY, DRYING CYCLE, MICA CAPACITOR (CM)

TYPE	CM	LIMIT	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE				
			1.50				
JCM			05/04/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66 05/26/66
AVE % CH			.93-	.51-	.90-	.89-	.71- .98-
MEAN VAL	2.114	2.094	2.103	2.095	2.095	2.099	2.093
STD DEV	.003	.049	.040	.027	.038	.024	.047
% VAR	.14	2.34	1.90	1.29	1.81	1.14	2.25
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	68/59	67/77	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		1/05	1/05	1/05	1/05	1/05	1/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
JECM			05/04/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66 05/26/66
AVE % CH			.08	.38	.14	.13	.28 .11-
MEAN VAL	2.114	2.115	2.122	2.117	2.116	2.120	2.111
STD DEV	.028	.042	.028	.028	.042	.040	.042
% VAR	1.32	1.99	1.32	1.32	1.98	1.89	1.99
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	68/59	67/77	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
SCM			05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66 05/26/66
AVE % CH			.73	.84	.55	.56	.55 .11-
MEAN VAL	2.109	2.124	2.126	2.120	2.120	2.120	2.106
STD DEV	.041	.034	.048	.047	.055	.043	.042
% VAR	1.94	1.60	2.26	2.22	2.59	2.03	1.99
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	68/59	67/77	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	1/05
FAIL C		1/05	1/05	1/05	1/05	1/05	0/05
SECM			05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66 05/26/66
AVE % CH			.91-	.48-	.72-	.66-	.54- .60-
MEAN VAL	2.115	2.096	2.105	2.100	2.101	2.104	2.103
STD DEV	.041	.034	.033	.033	.044	.014	.027
% VAR	1.94	1.62	1.57	1.57	2.09	.67	1.28
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	68/59	67/77	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		2/05	0/05	2/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05

TABLE C-8. DATA SUMMARY, DRYING CYCLE, FERRITE INDUCTORS (WE), INDUCTANCE

TYPE	WE	INDUCTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE					
		LIMIT	10.00				
		05/04/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		.29-	.52-	.88-	.63	.59	.86-
MEAN VAL	40.05	39.93	39.84	39.70	40.30	40.29	39.70
STD DEV	.38	.51	.29	.42	.33	.28	.66
% VAR	.95	1.28	.73	1.06	.82	.69	1.66
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	68/59	67/72	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
JEWE		05/04/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		.34	.85-	.63-	.51	.39	.02
MEAN VAL	40.20	40.33	39.85	39.94	40.40	40.35	40.20
STD DEV	.20	.67	.47	.58	.35	.43	.50
% VAR	.50	1.66	1.18	1.45	.87	1.07	1.24
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	68/59	67/72	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
SWE		05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		.96-	.48-	.37	.99	1.09	.11-
MEAN VAL	40.52	40.13	40.32	40.67	40.92	40.96	40.48
STD DEV	.39	.61	.57	.13	.55	.66	.38
% VAR	.96	1.52	1.41	.32	1.34	1.61	.94
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	68/59	67/72	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
SEWE		05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		1.02-	18.65-	.55-	.33	.12	1.24-
MEAN VAL	40.65	40.24	33.04	40.43	40.79	40.70	40.15
STD DEV	.85	.42	14.40	.57	.38	.51	.31
% VAR	2.09	1.04	43.58	1.41	.93	1.25	.77
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	68/59	67/72	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	1/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05

TABLE C-9. DATA SUMMARY, DRYING CYCLE, FERRITE INDUCTOR (WE) (Q)

TYPE	WE	LIMIT	30.00	INDUCTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE			
JWE		05/04/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		3.44-	.11-	2.33-	1.46-	2.35	.25-
MEAN VAL	2.21	2.13	2.21	2.16	2.18	2.26	2.20
STD DEV	.08	.10	.12	.12	.11	.05	.10
% VAR	3.62	4.69	5.43	5.56	5.05	2.21	4.55
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	68/59	67/72	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
JWE		05/04/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		3.89-	.17-	.80-	2.83	2.74	.85
MEAN VAL	2.20	2.12	2.20	2.19	2.27	2.26	2.22
STD DEV	.14	.08	.05	.13	.13	.14	.10
% VAR	6.36	3.77	2.27	5.94	5.73	6.19	4.50
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	68/59	67/72	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
SWE		05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		4.99-	7.89-	7.36-	4.82-	1.25	3.13-
MEAN VAL	2.24	2.13	2.06	2.07	2.13	2.27	2.17
STD DEV	.08	.12	.03	.11	.10	.12	.03
% VAR	3.57	5.63	1.46	5.31	4.69	5.29	1.38
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	68/59	67/72	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
SEWE		05/09/66	05/10/66	05/11/66	05/13/66	05/16/66	05/26/66
AVE % CH		7.34-	20.34-	3.41-	1.94	.97	1.69-
MEAN VAL	2.27	2.11	1.81	2.20	2.32	2.30	2.24
STD DEV	.14	.12	.90	.12	.09	.13	.11
% VAR	6.17	5.69	49.72	5.45	3.88	5.65	4.91
TEMP/RH%		78/80	70/55	68/59	67/72	70/55	70/55
FAIL D		0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05
FAIL C		0/05	1/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05

APPENDIX D

DATA SUMMARIES FOR PHASE II COMPONENTS ACCELERATED STRESS TEST

TWENTY CYCLES

MIL-STD-202C, METHOD - 106B

# EXPLANATION OF TERMS

$$\% \text{ CHANGE is } \frac{X_i - X_o}{X_o} \times 100,$$

where  $X_o$  = initial value, resistance, capacitance, or Q.

$X_i$  = value measured at data taking interval

AVE % CH is the arithmetic average of the % CHANGE values for the sample lot, excluding catastrophic failures

MEAN VAL is the MEAN or  $\bar{X}$  value of the sample lot excluding catastrophic failures

$$\text{STD DEV is } \sqrt{\sum \frac{f_i (X_i)^2}{N} - (\bar{X})^2}$$

Standard deviation  $\sigma$ , units of measure the same as for the component.

% VAR is the PERCENT VARIANCE  $\frac{\sigma}{\bar{X}} \times 100$

TEMP/RH % is temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{F}$ ) and relative humidity (%) observed at the time the measurements were recorded.

TABLE D-1. ACCELERATED LIFE TEST DATA SUMMARY, CARBON COMPOSITION  
RESISTORS (RO)

RESISTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE														
TYPE	RO	02/17/66	02/18/66	02/19/66	02/20/66	02/21/66	02/22/66	02/23/66	02/24/66	02/25/66	02/26/66	02/27/66	02/28/66	
ARO														
AVE % CH		.38	.43	.53	.55	.53	.50	.52	.50	.54	.56	.57	.57	
MEAN VAL	4.695	4.713	4.715	4.720	4.721	4.720	4.719	4.720	4.719	4.721	4.722	4.722	4.722	
STD DEV	.135	.119	.135	.127	.127	.127	.111	.111	.112	.102	.112	.135	.119	
% VAR	2.88	2.52	2.86	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.35	2.35	2.37	2.16	2.37	2.86	2.52	
TEMP/RH%		82/92	82/92	83/88	83/88	82/92	82/92	82/96	83/88	82/88	82/88	81/88	81/88	
AERO														
AVE % CH		.52	.52	.54	.57	.55	.59	.62	.66	.70	.70	.61	.61	
MEAN VAL	4.612	4.637	4.636	4.637	4.638	4.638	4.640	4.641	4.643	4.645	4.645	4.641	4.641	
STD DEV	.114	.085	.117	.118	.116	.078	.078	.108	.104	.103	.102	.077	.073	
% VAR	2.47	1.83	2.52	2.54	2.50	1.68	1.68	2.33	2.24	2.22	2.20	1.66	1.57	
TEMP/RH%		80/87	82/91	82/88	82/88	81/92	82/92	80/91	81/90	82/88	81/90	81/88	81/88	
RESISTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE														
ARO														
AVE % CH		.59	.52	.54	.46	.54	.56	.52	.54	.51				
MEAN VAL	4.723	4.720	4.720	4.721	4.717	4.721	4.721	4.720	4.721	4.719				
STD DEV	.120	.120	.120	.128	.128	.102	.133	.112	.103	.127				
% VAR	2.54	2.54	2.54	2.71	2.16	2.16	2.82	2.37	2.18	2.69				
TEMP/RH%		81/90	80/91	82/88	81/95	82/88	81/88	82/96	82/96	82/92				
AERO														
AVE % CH		.62	.59	.54	.48	.60	.61	.61	.59	.54				
MEAN VAL	4.641	4.640	4.640	4.637	4.635	4.640	4.641	4.640	4.640	4.637				
STD DEV	.084	.076	.095	.095	.071	.096	.070	.115	.072	.092				
% VAR	1.81	1.64	2.05	2.05	1.53	2.07	1.51	2.48	1.55	1.98				
TEMP/RH%		81/90	80/91	82/88	81/95	81/90	81/90	80/96	82/96	82/92				

TABLE D-2. ACCELERATED LIFE TEST, DATA SUMMARY TIN OXIDE FILM RESISTORS (RL)

RESISTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE														
TYPE	RL	02/17/66	02/18/66	02/19/66	02/20/66	02/21/66	02/22/66	02/23/66	02/24/66	02/25/66	02/26/66	02/27/66	02/28/66	
ARL														
AVE % CH		.02	.01	.07	.09	.08-	.05-	.02-	.04	.01-	.05	.03	.03	
MEAN VAL	9.960	9.962	9.961	9.967	9.969	9.952	9.955	9.958	9.964	9.959	9.965	9.963	9.963	
STD DEV	.032	.072	.071	.054	.071	.071	.054	.095	.072	.033	.095	.035	.083	
% VAR	.32	.72	.71	.54	.71	.54	.54	.95	.72	.33	.95	.35	.83	
TEMP/RH%		82/92	82/92	83/88	83/88	82/92	82/92	82/96	83/88	82/88	82/88	81/88	81/88	
AERL														
AVE % CH		.05	.04	.11	.13	.09	.07	.07	.14	.08	.13	.15	.14	
MEAN VAL	9.937	9.942	9.941	9.948	9.950	9.946	9.944	9.944	9.951	9.945	9.950	9.952	9.951	
STD DEV	.041	.080	.080	.039	.097	.080	.075	.074	.080	.049	.074	.081	.097	
% VAR	.41	.80	.80	.39	.97	.80	.75	.74	.80	.49	.74	.81	.97	
TEMP/RH%		80/87	82/90	82/88	82/88	81/97	82/92	80/91	81/90	82/88	81/90	81/88	81/88	

RESISTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE														
TYPE	RL	03/01/66	03/02/66	03/03/66	03/04/66	03/05/66	03/06/66	03/07/66	03/08/66	03/09/66				
ARL														
AVE % CH		.08	.02		.01-		.03	.03	.02	.05-				
MEAN VAL	9.968	9.962	9.962	9.960	9.959	9.960	9.963	9.963	9.962	9.955				
STD DEV	.082	.072	.072	.083	.054	.071	.033	.071	.054	.072				
% VAR	.82	.72	.72	.83	.54	.71	.33	.71	.54	.72				
TEMP/RH%		81/90	80/91	82/88	81/95	82/88	81/88	82/96	82/96	82/92				
AERL														
AVE % CH		.14	.09	.04	.07	.13	.15	.11	.12	.13				
MEAN VAL	9.951	9.946	9.941	9.944	9.950	9.950	9.952	9.948	9.949	9.950				
STD DEV	.050	.098	.075	.097	.080	.074	.074	.038	.081	.082				
% VAR	.50	.99	.75	.98	.80	.74	.74	.38	.81	.82				
TEMP/RH%		81/90	80/91	82/88	81/95	81/90	81/90	80/96	82/96	82/92				

TABLE D-3. ACCELERATED LIFE TEST DATA SUMMARY, METAL FILM RESISTORS (MF)

TYPE	MF	RESISTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE													
		02/17/66	02/18/66	02/19/66	02/20/66	02/21/66	02/22/66	02/23/66	02/24/66	02/25/66	02/26/66	02/27/66	02/28/66		
AMF															
AVE % CH		.16-	.39-	.56-	.64-	.65-	.74-	.93-	.82-	.94-	.58-	.60-	.57-		
MEAN VAL	9.994	9.978	9.955	9.938	9.929	9.928	9.920	9.901	9.911	9.899	9.936	9.933	9.936		
STD DEV	.078	.078	.052	.070	.107	.102	.076	.078	.040	.076	.078	.042	.077		
% VAR	.78	.78	.52	.70	1.08	1.03	.77	.79	.40	.77	.79	.42	.77		
TEMP/RH%		82/92	82/92	83/88	83/88	82/92	82/92	82/96	83/88	82/88	82/88	81/88	81/88		
AEMF															
AVE % CH		.44-	.61-	1.02-	.95-	.99-	.95-	.75-	.59-	.04	.23-	.23-	.14-		
MEAN VAL	10.009	9.965	9.948	9.908	9.914	9.910	9.914	9.934	9.950	10.013	9.986	9.986	9.995		
STD DEV	.034	.082	.094	.083	.083	.095	.072	.048	.085	.084	.030	.072	.055		
% VAR	.34	.82	.94	.84	.84	.96	.73	.48	.85	.84	.30	.72	.55		
TEMP/RH%		80/87	82/90	82/88	82/88	81/92	82/92	80/91	81/90	82/88	81/90	81/88	81/88		

TYPE	MF	RESISTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE													
		03/01/66	03/02/66	03/03/66	03/04/66	03/05/66	03/06/66	03/07/66	03/08/66	03/09/66					
AMF															
AVE % CH		.64-	.81-	.59-	.77-	.25-	.39-	.69-	.70-	.62-					
MEAN VAL	9.930	9.912	9.935	9.916	9.969	9.955	9.925	9.923	9.931	9.931					
STD DEV	.045	.075	.076	.074	.048	.047	.076	.046	.045	.045					
% VAR	.45	.76	.76	.75	.48	.47	.77	.46	.45	.45					
TEMP/RH%		81/90	80/90	82/88	81/95	82/88	81/88	82/96	82/96	82/92					
AEMF															
AVE % CH		.22-	.21-	.24-	.33-	.11-	.07-	.23-	.18-	.15-					
MEAN VAL	9.987	9.988	9.985	9.976	9.998	10.002	9.986	9.991	9.994	9.994					
STD DEV	.071	.070	.094	.033	.028	.085	.094	.029	.030	.030					
% VAR	.71	.70	.94	.33	.28	.85	.94	.29	.30	.30					
TEMP/RH%		81/90	80/91	82/88	81/95	81/90	81/90	80/96	82/96	82/92					



TABLE D-4. ACCELERATED LIFE TEST DATA SUMMARY, VARIABLE RESISTORS (RJ)

TYPE	RJ	RESISTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE													
		02/18/66	02/19/66	02/20/66	02/21/66	02/22/66	02/23/66	02/24/66	02/25/66	02/26/66	02/27/66	02/28/66	03/01/66		
AVE % CH	ARJ	.06-	.93-	.13	.28	.13-	.06	.35	.17	.38	.42	.47			
MEAN VAL		526.1	521.4	527.1	527.9	525.7	526.7	526.4	528.3	527.3	528.5	528.7	528.9		
STD DEV		25.1	22.8	25.3	25.2	25.6	25.9	25.1	25.4	26.2	25.2	24.8	26.6		
% VAR		4.77	4.37	4.80	4.77	4.87	4.92	4.77	4.81	4.97	4.77	4.69	5.03		
TEMP/RH%		82/92	82/88	83/88	83/92	82/92	82/96	82/88	83/88	82/88	82/88	81/88	81/90		
AVE % CH	AERJ	.20	.05	.41	14.99-	13.82-	14.85-	10.56-	.38	.06-	6.99-	4.71-	.28		
MEAN VAL		506.4	505.7	507.5	431.2	437.0	431.9	453.2	507.4	505.1	470.9	482.1	506.9		
STD DEV		22.8	21.7	23.8	159.7	146.0	155.9	114.2	23.1	22.8	81.6	60.6	21.8		
% VAR		4.50	4.29	4.69	37.04	33.41	36.10	25.20	4.55	4.51	17.33	12.57	4.30		
TEMP/RH%		80/87	82/90	82/88	82/88	81/92	82/92	80/91	81/90	82/88	81/88	81/88	81/88		

TYPE	RJ	RESISTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE													
		03/02/66	03/03/66	03/04/66	03/05/66	03/06/66	03/07/66	03/08/66	03/09/66	03/09/66					
AVE % CH	ARJ	.40	.35	.24	.22	.47	.47	.22	.27	.11					
MEAN VAL		528.6	528.3	527.7	527.6	528.9	528.9	527.6	527.9	527.0					
STD DEV		24.7	25.4	26.6	26.7	26.1	26.5	25.6	24.9	25.9					
% VAR		4.67	4.81	5.04	5.06	4.93	5.01	4.85	4.72	4.91					
TEMP/RH%		81/91	80/88	82/95	81/88	82/88	81/96	82/96	82/92						
AVE % CH	AERJ	.27	.10	.06	.01	.28	.33	.06	.25	.11					
MEAN VAL		506.8	506.0	505.8	505.5	506.9	507.1	505.7	506.7	506.0					
STD DEV		22.7	22.9	21.6	22.1	22.4	23.2	22.9	22.9	21.9					
% VAR		4.48	4.53	4.27	4.37	4.42	4.58	4.53	4.52	4.33					
TEMP/RH%		81/90	80/91	82/88	81/95	81/90	81/90	80/96	82/96	82/92					

TABLE D-5. ACCELERATED LIFE TEST DATA SUMMARY, SOLID TANTALUM ELECTROLYTIC CAPACITORS (TA)

TYPE	TA	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE														
ATA		02/17/66	02/18/66	02/19/66	02/20/66	02/21/66	02/22/66	02/23/66	02/24/66	02/25/66	02/26/66	02/27/66	02/28/66			
AVE % CH		.22	.33	.55	.78	.98	1.20	1.39	1.59	1.61	1.78	1.85	1.95			
MEAN VAL	10.75	10.77	10.78	10.81	10.83	10.85	10.88	10.90	10.92	10.92	10.94	10.95	10.96			
STD DEV	.14	.34	.40	.18	.35	.42	.21	.22	.30	.36	.29	.20	.19			
% VAR	1.30	3.16	3.71	1.67	3.23	3.87	1.93	2.02	2.75	3.30	2.65	1.83	1.73			
TEMP/RH%		82/92	82/92	82/90	82/90	82/92	82/92	82/92	81/88	82/88	81/88	81/88	81/88			
AETA		02/17/66	02/18/66	02/19/66	02/20/66	02/21/66	02/22/66	02/23/66	02/24/66	02/25/66	02/26/66	02/27/66	02/28/66			
AVE % CH		.89	1.29	1.64	2.04	2.49	2.82	3.02	3.17	3.40	3.40	3.51	3.62			
MEAN VAL	11.00	11.09	11.14	11.18	11.22	11.27	11.31	11.33	11.34	11.37	11.37	11.38	11.39			
STD DEV	.29	.30	.21	.30	.02	.03	.30	.21	.30	.03	.03	.22	.30			
% VAR	2.64	2.71	1.89	2.68	.18	.27	2.65	1.85	2.65	.26	.26	1.93	2.63			
TEMP/RH%		80/87	82/91	82/86	82/88	81/92	82/92	82/97	80/90	82/88	81/90	81/88	81/88			

TYPE	TA	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE														
ATA		03/01/66	03/02/66	03/03/66	03/04/66	03/05/66	03/06/66	03/07/66	03/08/66	03/09/66						
AVE % CH		2.15	2.02	1.97	2.08	2.25	2.25	2.07	2.31	2.35						
MEAN VAL	10.98	10.96	10.96	10.97	10.99	10.99	10.99	10.97	10.99	11.00						
STD DEV	.28	.45	.27	.34	.27	.26	.26	.25	.44	.24						
% VAR	2.55	4.11	2.46	3.10	2.46	2.37	2.37	2.28	4.00	2.18						
TEMP/RH%		81/90	80/91	82/88	81/95	81/90	81/89	81/96	82/96	82/92						
AETA		03/01/66	03/02/66	03/03/66	03/04/66	03/05/66	03/06/66	03/07/66	03/08/66	03/09/66						
AVE % CH		3.70	3.73	3.68	3.77	3.84	3.77	3.89	3.73	3.91						
MEAN VAL	11.40	11.41	11.40	11.41	11.42	11.41	11.41	11.42	11.41	11.43						
STD DEV	.22	.30	.03	.04	.21	.04	.04	.31	.30	.30						
% VAR	1.93	2.63	.26	.35	1.84	.35	.35	2.71	2.63	2.62						
TEMP/RH%		81/90	80/90	82/88	81/95	81/92	81/90	80/96	82/96	82/92						

TABLE D-6. ACCELERATED LIFE TEST DATA SUMMARY, LIQUID TANTALUM ELECTROLYTIC CAPACITORS (CL)

TYPE	CL	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE													
ACL		02/17/66	02/18/66	02/19/66	02/20/66	02/21/66	02/22/66	02/23/66	02/24/66	02/25/66	02/26/66	02/27/66	02/28/66	02/28/66	
AVE % CH		.39	.41	.32	.37	.79	.33	.34	.41	.26	.33	.29	.34		
MEAN VAL		4.695	4.714	4.715	4.711	4.730	4.711	4.712	4.715	4.708	4.711	4.709	4.711		
STD DEV		.934	.938	.934	.935	.928	.936	.934	.936	.934	.935	.935	.937		
% VAR		19.89	19.90	19.83	19.84	19.62	19.87	19.82	19.85	19.84	19.85	19.86	19.89		
TEMP/RH%		82/92	82/92	82/90	82/90	82/92	82/92	82/92	81/88	82/88	81/88	81/88	81/88		
AECL		02/17/66	02/18/66	02/19/66	02/20/66	02/21/66	02/22/66	02/23/66	02/24/66	02/25/66	02/26/66	02/27/66	02/28/66		
AVE % CH		.76	.88	.75	.78	.92	.98	1.00	1.08	1.05	1.01	1.05	1.09		
MEAN VAL		4.811	4.847	4.853	4.846	4.848	4.855	4.857	4.858	4.862	4.859	4.861	4.862		
STD DEV		.581	.591	.588	.592	.591	.588	.593	.593	.591	.587	.586	.589		
% VAR		12.08	12.19	12.12	12.22	12.19	12.11	12.21	12.21	12.16	12.08	12.06	12.11		
TEMP/RH%		80/87	82/91	82/86	82/88	81/92	82/92	82/92	80/90	82/88	81/90	81/88	81/88		

TYPE	CL	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE													
		03/01/66	03/02/66	03/03/66	03/04/66	03/05/66	03/06/66	03/07/66	03/08/66	03/09/66	03/10/66	03/11/66	03/12/66	03/13/66	03/14/66
ACL															
AVE % CH		.34	.20	.63	.17	.44	.31	.16	.24	.29					
MEAN VAL		4.711	4.705	4.723	4.703	4.716	4.710	4.702	4.707	4.709					
STD DEV		.936	.932	.921	.934	.937	.936	.930	.931	.933					
% VAR		19.87	19.81	19.50	19.86	19.87	19.87	19.78	19.78	19.81					
TEMP/RH%		81/90	80/91	82/88	81/95	81/90	81/89	81/96	82/96	82/92					
AECL															
03/01/66															
AVE % CH		1.15	1.05	1.02	1.03	1.26	1.21	1.14	.95	1.14					
MEAN VAL		4.865	4.860	4.859	4.859	4.870	4.867	4.864	4.855	4.864					
STD DEV		.584	.587	.581	.588	.582	.584	.580	.576	.577					
% VAR		12.00	12.08	11.96	12.10	11.95	12.00	11.92	11.86	11.86					
TEMP/RH%		81/90	80/90	82/88	81/95	81/92	81/90	80/96	82/96	82/92					

TABLE D-7. ACCELERATED LIFE TEST DATA SUMMARY, CERAMIC CAPACITORS (KC)

TYPE	KC	CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE														
		02/17/66	02/18/66	02/19/66	02/20/66	02/21/66	02/22/66	02/23/66	02/24/66	02/25/66	02/26/66	02/27/66	02/28/66	02/29/66	02/30/66	03/01/66
AKC																
AVE % CH		1.36	.89	.59	.08-	.73	1.14	.77	.26-	.46	.18	.26-	.37-			
MEAN VAL	9.903	10.037	9.990	9.960	9.894	9.974	10.013	9.977	9.877	9.947	9.920	9.876	9.865			
STD DEV	.429	.422	.401	.384	.378	.395	.358	.389	.384	.387	.405	.369	.381			
% VAR	4.33	4.20	4.01	3.86	3.82	3.96	3.58	3.90	3.89	3.89	4.08	3.74	3.86			
TEMP/RH%		82/92	82/92	82/90	82/90	82/92	82/92	82/92	81/88	82/88	81/88	81/88	81/88			
AEKC																
AVE % CH		1.24	2.10	1.62	1.36	2.41	2.32	2.22	1.53	2.17	1.50	1.41	1.23			
MEAN VAL	10.399	10.528	10.616	10.567	10.540	10.649	10.639	10.629	10.557	10.624	10.554	10.545	10.526			
STD DEV	.320	.354	.307	.331	.341	.315	.341	.338	.332	.323	.334	.322	.330			
% VAR	3.08	3.36	2.89	3.13	3.24	2.96	3.21	3.18	3.14	3.04	3.16	3.05	3.14			
TEMP/RH%		80/87	82/91	82/86	82/88	81/92	82/92	82/97	80/90	82/88	81/90	81/88	81/88			
CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE																
AKC																
AVE % CH		.26	.34	.85	.09	.49-	.16-	.68	.75	.36						
MEAN VAL		9.928	9.935	9.986	9.910	9.853	9.886	9.968	9.975	9.937						
STD DEV		.406	.404	.426	.375	.385	.388	.397	.387	.396						
% VAR		4.09	4.07	4.27	3.78	3.91	3.92	3.98	3.88	3.99						
TEMP/RH%		81/90	80/91	82/88	81/95	81/90	81/89	80/96	82/96	82/92						
AEKC																
AVE % CH		1.52	2.42	2.03	1.58	1.39	1.36	2.23	1.86	1.72						
MEAN VAL		10.556	10.650	10.609	10.563	10.543	10.540	10.630	10.592	10.578						
STD DEV		.346	.310	.313	.345	.336	.347	.313	.320	.330						
% VAR		3.28	2.91	2.95	3.27	3.19	3.29	2.94	3.02	3.12						
TEMP/RH%		81/90	80/90	82/88	81/95	81/97	81/90	80/96	82/96	82/92						

TABLE D-8. ACCELERATED LIFE TEST DATA SUMMARY, FIXED CERAMIC CAPACITORS (VK)

CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE														
TYPE	VK	02/17/66	02/18/66	02/19/66	02/20/66	02/21/66	02/22/66	02/23/66	02/24/66	02/25/66	02/26/66	02/27/66	02/28/66	
AVK														
AVE % CH		2.14	2.07	.38-	.47-	2.11	1.05	2.19	2.64	3.08	1.48-	3.20	3.26	
MEAN VAL	341.9	349.1	348.9	340.6	340.3	349.0	345.4	349.3	350.9	352.4	336.8	352.8	353.0	
STD DEV	.6	6.2	4.4	9.7	8.5	7.1	4.7	8.5	5.6	8.0	8.8	4.9	6.7	
% VAR	.18	1.78	1.26	2.85	2.50	2.03	1.36	2.43	1.60	2.27	2.61	1.39	1.90	
TEMP/RH%		82/92	82/92	82/90	82/90	82/92	82/92	82/92	81/88	82/88	81/88	81/88	81/88	
AEVK														
AVE % CH		3.79	4.34	4.35	3.65	3.50	4.34	5.30	3.93	4.89	4.09	4.83	5.20	
MEAN VAL	330.7	343.2	345.0	345.0	342.7	342.2	345.0	348.2	343.6	346.8	344.2	346.6	347.9	
STD DEV	2.8	2.6	5.9	2.9	5.9	5.2	5.8	2.5	6.5	5.3	3.8	5.3	3.6	
% VAR	.85	.76	1.71	.84	1.72	1.52	1.68	.72	1.89	1.53	1.10	1.53	1.03	
TEMP/RH%		80/87	82/91	82/86	82/88	81/92	82/92	82/97	80/90	82/88	81/90	81/88	81/88	

CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE														
TYPE	VK	03/01/66	03/02/66	03/03/66	03/04/66	03/05/66	03/06/66	03/07/66	03/08/66	03/09/66				
AVK														
AVE % CH		3.57	2.84	3.62	3.01	2.60	3.29	3.13	3.56	3.46				
MEAN VAL	354.1	351.6	354.2	352.2	350.8	353.1	352.6	354.0	353.7	353.7				
STD DEV	6.3	7.6	8.9	5.9	5.8	8.3	4.3	7.0	6.0	6.0				
% VAR	1.78	2.16	2.51	1.68	1.65	2.35	1.22	1.98	1.70	1.70				
TEMP/RH%		81/90	80/91	82/88	81/95	81/90	81/89	81/96	82/96	82/92				
AEVK														
AVE % CH		5.39	5.76	5.74	6.37	5.97	6.18	6.00	6.00	6.81				
MEAN VAL	348.5	349.7	349.6	351.7	350.4	351.1	350.5	350.5	350.5	353.2				
STD DEV	.8	3.5	6.7	5.6	4.6	4.7	6.3	1.2	1.0	1.0				
% VAR	.23	1.00	1.92	1.59	1.31	1.34	1.80	.34	.28	.28				
TEMP/RH%		81/90	80/90	82/88	81/95	81/97	81/90	80/96	82/96	82/92				

TABLE D-9. ACCELERATED LIFE TEST DATA SUMMARY, VARIABLE CAPACITORS (VC)

CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE														
TYPE	VC	02/17/66	02/18/66	02/19/66	02/20/66	02/21/66	02/22/66	02/23/66	02/24/66	02/25/66	02/26/66	02/27/66	02/28/66	
AVC														
AVE % CH		.59	.59	1.84	1.72	1.76	1.46	1.39	.75	1.73	7.15-	1.52	2.05	
MEAN VAL	157.6	158.6	158.6	160.5	160.4	160.4	159.9	159.8	158.8	160.4	146.4	160.0	160.9	
STD DEV	5.1	1.1	5.9	3.6	3.7	3.7	5.3	4.9	6.0	4.1	4.0	5.9	4.4	
% VAR	3.24	.69	3.68	2.24	2.31	2.31	3.31	3.07	3.78	2.56	2.73	3.69	2.73	
TEMP/RH%		82/92	82/92	82/90	82/90	82/92	82/92	82/92	81/88	82/88	81/88	81/88	81/88	
AEVC		02/17/66	02/18/66	02/19/66	02/20/66	02/21/66	02/22/66	02/23/66	02/24/66	02/25/66	02/26/66	02/27/66	02/28/66	
AVE % CH		7.03	9.05	7.62	9.72	7.43	8.47	8.77	8.45	7.35	8.28	8.32	7.93	
MEAN VAL	142.5	152.5	155.4	153.4	156.3	153.1	154.6	155.0	154.5	153.0	154.3	154.4	153.8	
STD DEV	4.4	4.8	2.0	3.6	5.2	3.1	3.6	4.2	5.7	3.0	4.3	2.0	5.1	
% VAR	3.09	3.15	1.29	2.35	3.33	2.02	2.33	2.71	3.69	1.96	2.79	1.30	3.32	
TEMP/RH%		80/87	82/91	82/86	82/88	81/92	82/92	82/97	80/90	82/88	81/90	81/88	81/88	

CAPACITOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE														
TYPE	VC	03/01/66	03/02/66	03/03/66	03/04/66	03/05/66	03/06/66	03/07/66	03/08/66	03/09/66				
AVC														
AVE % CH		2.74	1.31	1.42	1.93	1.00-	.84	1.16	1.18	.04				
MEAN VAL		162.0	159.7	159.9	160.7	156.1	159.0	159.5	159.5	157.7				
STD DEV		3.2	4.5	3.1	3.6	2.4	4.5	1.9	4.2	3.9				
% VAR		1.98	2.82	1.94	2.24	1.54	2.83	1.19	2.63	2.47				
TEMP/RH%		81/90	80/91	82/88	81/95	81/90	81/89	81/96	82/96	82/92				
AEVC		03/01/66	03/02/66	03/03/66	03/04/66	03/05/66	03/06/66	03/07/66	03/08/66	03/09/66				
AVE % CH		8.67	8.55	9.25	10.29	8.88	9.99	7.53	8.01	9.85				
MEAN VAL		154.9	154.7	155.7	157.2	155.1	156.7	153.2	153.9	156.5				
STD DEV		4.4	3.8	4.5	2.8	5.3	5.8	5.9	5.1	6.0				
% VAR		2.84	2.46	2.89	1.78	3.42	3.70	3.85	3.31	3.83				
TEMP/RH%		81/90	80/90	82/88	81/95	81/97	81/90	80/96	82/96	82/92				

TABLE D-10. ACCELERATED LIFE TEST DATA SUMMARY, VARIABLE INDUCTORS  
(VI) (INDUCTANCE)

TYPE	VI	INDUCTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE											
AVI		02/17/66	02/18/66	02/19/66	02/20/66	02/21/66	02/22/66	02/23/66	02/24/66	02/25/66	02/26/66	02/27/66	02/28/66
AVE % CH		.66-	.82-	.26-	.27-	.04-	.56-	.01-	1.11	.11	.20-	.16-	.11-
MEAN VAL		35.98	35.74	35.88	35.87	35.96	35.77	35.97	36.37	36.01	35.90	35.92	35.93
STD DEV		.57	.49	.54	.84	.24	.72	.65	.88	.90	.65	.36	.76
% VAR		1.58	1.37	1.51	2.34	.67	2.01	1.81	2.42	2.50	1.81	1.00	2.12
TEMP/RH%		82/92	82/92	82/90	82/90	82/92	82/92	82/92	82/88	82/88	81/88	81/81	81/88
AEVI		02/17/66	02/18/66	02/19/66	02/20/66	02/21/66	02/22/66	02/23/66	02/24/66	02/25/66	02/26/66	02/27/66	02/28/66
AVE % CH		.01	.93-	.72-	.95-	.73-	1.05-	.77-	.47-	.38-	.81-	.80-	.72-
MEAN VAL		36.71	36.37	36.45	36.36	36.45	36.33	36.43	36.54	36.57	36.41	36.42	36.45
STD DEV		.74	.85	.32	.85	.39	.59	.66	.64	.74	.85	.53	.53
% VAR		2.02	2.32	2.09	2.34	1.07	1.62	1.81	1.75	2.02	2.33	1.46	1.45
TEMP/RH%		80/87	82/90	82/88	82/88	81/92	82/92	80/92	81/90	82/88	81/90	81/88	81/88

TYPE VI	INDUCTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE															
AVI	03/01/66	03/02/66	03/03/66	03/04/66	03/05/66	03/06/66	03/07/66	03/08/66	03/09/66							
AVE % CH	.09-	.22-	.40-	.04	.64-		1.80	.17-	.12-							
MEAN VAL	35.94	35.89	35.83	35.98	35.74	35.97	36.62	35.91	35.93							
STD DEV	.52	.75	.65	.80	.95	.74	.76	.53	.53							
% VAR	1.45	2.09	1.81	2.22	2.66	2.06	2.08	1.48	1.48							
TEMP/RH%	81/90	80/91	82/88	82/88	82/88	81/88	81/96	82/96	82/92							
AEVI	03/01/66	03/02/66	03/03/66	03/04/66	03/05/66	03/06/66	03/07/66	03/08/66	03/09/66							
AVE % CH	.90-	.57-	1.97	1.37-	1.08-	.84-	.71-	1.96	.91-							
MEAN VAL	36.38	36.50	37.44	36.21	36.31	36.40	36.45	37.43	36.38							
STD DEV	.84	.74	.31	.52	.84	.66	.65	.53	.54							
% VAR	2.31	2.03	.83	1.44	2.31	1.81	1.78	1.42	1.48							
TEMP/RH%	81/90	80/90	82/88	81/95	81/92	81/90	80/96	82/96	82/92							

TABLE D-11. ACCELERATED LIFE TEST DATA SUMMARY, VARIABLE INDUCTORS (VI) (Q)

TYPE VI	INDUCTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE															
AVE	02/17/66	02/18/66	02/19/66	02/20/66	02/21/66	02/22/66	02/23/66	02/24/66	02/25/66	02/26/66	02/27/66	02/28/66				
CH	1.35-	1.55-	.96-	.96-	.77-	1.35-	.96-	.96-	.58-	.96-	.96-	.96-				
MEAN VAL	1.03	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.03	1.02	1.02	1.02				
STD DEV	.07	.06	.07	.07	.09	.06	.07	.07	.09	.07	.07	.07				
% VAR	6.80	8.82	6.86	6.86	8.82	5.88	6.86	6.86	8.74	6.86	6.86	6.86				
TEMP/RH%	82/92	82/92	82/90	82/90	82/92	82/92	82/92	82/92	82/88	81/88	81/81	81/88				

AVEI	02/17/66	02/18/66	02/19/66	02/20/66	02/21/66	02/22/66	02/23/66	02/24/66	02/25/66	02/26/66	02/27/66	02/28/66				
CH	.38-	1.35-	1.16-	1.35-	1.16-	1.54-	1.35-	1.16-	.96-	1.35-	1.35-	1.35-				
MEAN VAL	1.04	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.03	1.02	1.02	1.02				
STD DEV	.09	.07	.09	.07	.09	.03	.07	.09	.09	.07	.07	.07				
% VAR	8.65	6.86	8.82	6.86	8.82	2.94	6.86	8.82	8.74	6.86	6.86	6.86				
TEMP/RH%	80/87	82/90	82/88	82/88	81/92	82/92	80/92	81/90	82/88	81/90	81/88	81/88				

TYPE VI	INDUCTOR SUMMARY--% CHANGE															
AVE	03/01/66	03/02/66	03/03/66	03/04/66	03/05/66	03/06/66	03/07/66	03/08/66	03/09/66							
CH	.96-	.96-	1.35-	.57-	1.74-	.96-	.97	.96-	.96-							
MEAN VAL	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.03	1.01	1.02	1.04	1.02	1.02							
STD DEV	.07	.07	.06	.09	.09	.07	.07	.07	.07							
% VAR	6.86	6.86	5.88	8.74	8.91	6.86	6.73	6.86	6.86							
TEMP/RH%	81/90	80/91	82/88	82/88	82/88	81/88	81/96	82/96	82/92							

AVEI	03/01/66	03/02/66	03/03/66	03/04/66	03/05/66	03/06/66	03/07/66	03/08/66	03/09/66							
CH	1.55-	1.16-	1.17	1.93-	1.93-	1.53-	1.35-	1.36	1.35-							
MEAN VAL	1.02	1.02	1.05	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.05	1.02							
STD DEV	.03	.09	.06	.09	.09	.02	.07	.02	.07							
% VAR	2.94	8.82	5.71	8.82	8.82	1.96	6.86	1.90	6.86							
TEMP/RH%	81/90	80/90	82/88	81/95	81/92	81/90	80/96	82/96	82/92							



DISTRIBUTION LIST

UNITED STATES ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND

STANDARD DISTRIBUTION LIST

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CONTRACT REPORTS

OASD (R&E), Room 3E1065 ATTN: Technical Library The Pentagon Washington 25, D.C. (1)	Commanding General U.S. Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSML-IDD (Mrs. Charles H. Laney, Jr.) Huntsville, Alabama 35809 (1)
Chief of Research and Development O C, Department of the Army Washington 25, D.C. (1)	Aeronautical Systems Division ATTN: ASNXRR Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Ohio 45433 (1)
Commanding General U.S. Army Materiel Command ATTN: R&D Directorate Washington 25, D.C. (1)	Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories ATTN: CRZC L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01731 (1)
Commander, Defense Documentation Center ATTN: TISIA Cameron Station, Building 5 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (12)	Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories ATTN: CRXL-R L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01731 (1)
Commanding Officer U.S.A. Combat Developments Command ATTN: CDCMR-E Fort Belvoir, Virginia (1)	Hg. Electronic Systems Division ATTN: ESD (ESTI) L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01731 (1)
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Combat Developments Command Communications-Electronics Agency Fort Huachuca, Arizona (1)	Rome Air Development Center ATTN: RAALD (1) Griffis Air Force Base, New York
Chief, U.S. Army Security Agency Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia (2)	Advisory Group on Electron Devices 346 Broadway, 8th Floor New York, New York 10013 (3)
Deputy President U.S. Army Security Agency Board Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia (1)	AFSC Scientific/Technical Liaison Office U.S. Naval Air Development Center Johnsville, Pennsylvania (1)

# DISTRIBUTION LIST (Continued)

Commanding Officer Harry Diamond Laboratories Connecticut Ave. & Van Ness St., N. W. Washington 25, D.C. (1)	USAEI Liaison Office Rome Air Development Center ATTN: RAOL (1) Griffiss Air Force Base, New York
Director, U.S. Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: Code 2027 Washington 25, D. C. (1)	NASA Representative (SAK/DL) Scientific and Technical Information Facility P.O. Box 5700 Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (2)
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Natick Laboratories ATTN: Dr. William B. Brierly Earth Sciences Division Natick, Mass. 01762 (1)	Commander, U.S. Army Research Office (Durham) Box CM - Duke Station Durham, North Carolina (1)
Commanding Officer and Director U.S. Navy Electronic Laboratory San Diego 52, California (1)	Staff, Meteorological Office ESD (ESTW) Hqrs., Electronic Systems Division L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01731 ATTN: Major C. D. Kern USAF (1)
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Laboratories ATTN: STINFO Branch Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060 (2)	Mrs. Edward J. Kaputa Office of Reliability & Quality Assurance National Aeronautics & Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546 (3)
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Electronics Research and Development Activity ATTN: SELMS-A White Sands, New Mexico 88002 (1)	Accountable Property Officer Activity Supply Officer, USAEI Building 2504, Charles Wood Area Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 (21)
Office of Scientific & Technical Information National Aeronautics & Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546 (1)	Marked: "For Electronic Parts and Materials Division ATTN: (Charles P. Lascaro) Inspect at Destination File Reference 28-043-25- 20635(E)"
Director U.S. Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390 ATTN: Code 6120 (1)	
Headquarters U.S. Army Tropic Test Center Fort Clayton, Canal Zone ATTN: Mr. Francis Brannon (STETC-TE) (1)	General Dynamics Corporation ATTN: Mrs. R. H. Spaling P.O. Box 2507 Pomona, California (1)

DISTRIBUTION LIST (Continued)

Plastics Technical Evaluation  
Center  
Department of Defense  
Picatinny Arsenal  
Dover, New Jersey 07801  
ATTN: SMUPA-VP3 (1)

Office of Scientific Technical  
Information  
National Aeronautics & Space  
Administration  
Washington, D.C. 20546 (1)

Chemistry Research Laboratory-1330  
Frankford Arsenal  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19137  
ATTN: Dr. Leonard Teitell (1)

MIT Lincoln Laboratory  
244 Wood Street  
Lexington, Massachusetts  
ATTN: Miss Lima K. Loughlin (1)  
(Contract No. AF16(628) 5167)

Department of Geography  
University of Denver  
Denver, Colorado 80210  
ATTN: Claude J. Doiron, Jr. (1)  
Research Military Geographer

Commanding General  
U.S. Army Materiel Command  
ATTN: AMCRD-RC-M  
(Dr. P. Kosting)  
Washington, D.C. 20315 (1)

Commanding Officer  
U.S. Army Natick Laboratories  
ATTN: Dr. Arthur M. Kaplan  
(AMXRE-PRBF)  
Fungicides & Germicides  
Laboratory  
Natick, Massachusetts 01762  
(1)

Mr. W. R. Luebben  
Headquarters Air Force Logistics  
Command  
Code: SG MES  
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base,  
Ohio (1)

## DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&amp;D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Melpar, Inc. 7700 Arlington Boulevard Falls Church, Virginia 22046		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2b. GROUP N/A	
3. REPORT TITLE Tropical Service Life of Electronic Parts and Materials			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Final Report 1 June 1965 to 31 May 1966			
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Dennison, Benjamin H. Morrow, Walter B.			
6. REPORT DATE October 1966	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 173	7b. NO. OF REFS 31	
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. DA 28-043 AMC-01346(E)	8a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
b. PROJECT NO. 1P6 22001 A 057			
c.	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)		
d.	ECOM-01346-F		
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Distribution of this Document is Unlimited.			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY U.S. Army Electronics Command Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 ATTN: AMSEL-KL-EE	
13. ABSTRACT Selected electronic parts were subjected to the environments of a jungle and a shore site in the Panama Canal Zone, and to laboratory exposure tests based on modifications of MIL-STD-202C, Method 106B. Nondestructive failure analysis was performed on failed specimens. Phase I parts were exposed for 23 months to the outdoor Panama tropical environment. Phase I parts, when exposed to the laboratory tests, show a degree of correlation with the jungle site data in the degradation of critical values rather than by catastrophic failure. No correlation was found with the specimens exposed at the shore site. The laboratory test does not provide the stress and corrosive environment found at the shore exposure site. Tests showed that most parts recovered with time under drying conditions. Moisture absorption, surface wetting, dust contamination and corrosion are the predominant causes in the failure mechanisms thus far determined. Phase II parts have been exposed in the tropic environments for 9 months but no correlation of the data found with laboratory test information has been attempted, as yet. Modified laboratory tests utilizing salt fog will be tried in the next period.			

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Tropical Service Life Electronic parts testing Testing of electronic parts Tropicalization Environmental testing Temperature humidity test Degradation, environmental Exposure, tropical Deterioration of electronic parts Failure analysis						

## INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.

2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).

10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.

13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.

MATERIEL INSPECTION AND RECEIVING REPORT (DOMESTIC)		MELPAR INCORPORATED 3000 ARLINGTON BOULEVARD, FALLS CHURCH, VIRGINIA		SHEET NO. 1		NO. OF SHEETS 1		FLOW CHART OR PROCEDURE NO.	
FORM DD250 (MODIFIED)		SHIPPED TO - MARK FOR		INSPECTION OFFICE		PRIME CONTRACTOR'S PART NUMBER		CREDIT VOUCHER OR FILE NO.	
SEE ATTACHED DISTRIBUTION LIST		OPPROPRIATION CONTRACT NO. 21X2040		FT. MONMOUTH, N.J.		DA28-043-AMC-01346(E)			
		APPROPRIATION 21X2040 462-7506 P5624-25		USA ELECT. COMMAND FT. MONMOUTH, N.J.		SUPPLEMENTS AND CHANGES TO ORDER			
		S28-043 (5624.11.909.01.00.50.420-4)		NAME OF PRIME CONTRACTOR - CITY - STATE		AMSEL-KL-EE			
		INVOICE ROUTING FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING OFFICE		MELPAR INC., FALLS CHURCH, VIRGINIA		1P6 22001 A 057			
		FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY		MANUFACTURER OR WAREHOUSE SHIPPED FROM - CITY - STATE		ORDER NO. ON SUPPLIER			
		I CERTIFY THAT THE ITEMS LISTED HEREIN HAVE BEEN INSPECTED BY ME OR UNDER MY SUPERVISION, THEY CONFORM TO CONTRACT, AND HAVE BEEN ACCEPTED, EXCEPT AS NOTED.		MELPAR, INC. FALLS CHURCH, VA		PROC. DIR. OR REQUISITION NO.		28-043-R5-20635(E)	
		DATE		SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE		SHIPMENT ORDER NO.		PER CONTRACT	
		(TYPED NAME OF INSPECTOR)		DATE		SHIPMENT NO. ON CONTRACT		PARTIAL 8 XXXXX	
B/L OR REGISTRATION NO.		CAR NO.		ROUTING		DATE SHIPPED		GROSS WEIGHT	
						6301		*	
ACCOUNTABLE OFFICE WHEN DIFFERENT FROM ABOVE								NET WEIGHT	
CONTRACT ITEM NUMBER		BOX NO.		STOCK AND/OR PART NUMBER AND DESCRIPTION OF ARTICLES (INDICATE NO. OF SHIPPING CONTAINERS - TYPE OF CONTAINER)		UNIT OF MEAS.		QUANTITY SHIPPED	
2b				"DOMESTIC" INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE AT DESTINATION 1 COPY 3 LBS., 2 COPIES 6 LBS., 3 COPIES 9 LBS., 12 COPIES 37 LBS., 21 COPIES 64 LBS., (UPS-2 CTNS.)		EA.		78	
				FINAL REPORT - Copy				N/A	
				41 CTNS. 1-41				N/A	
MELPAR REFERENCE SHIPMENT NO. 23PC		LOG NO. 2082		APPROPRIATION 21X2040 462-7506 P5624-25		ARTICLES LISTED HEREIN WERE RECEIVED IN APPARENT GOOD CONDITION, EXCEPT AS NOTED:			
FOB POINT DESTINATION				S28-043 (5624.11.909.01.00.50.420-4)		DATE: INCHES:			
				INVOICE ROUTING FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING OFFICE		CLASS - CODE		Account No. - Stores Account	
				FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY				DEBIT VOUCHER OR I.R. NO.	
I CERTIFY THAT THE ITEMS LISTED HEREIN HAVE BEEN INSPECTED BY ME OR UNDER MY SUPERVISION, THEY CONFORM TO CONTRACT, AND HAVE BEEN ACCEPTED, EXCEPT AS NOTED.									
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE		DATE					
(TYPED NAME OF INSPECTOR)									
MELPAR JOB NO.		5315.00100							

DISTRIBUTION LIST

UNITED STATES ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND

STANDARD DISTRIBUTION LIST

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CONTRACT REPORTS

OASD (R&E), Room 3E1065 ATTN: Technical Library The Pentagon Washington 25, D.C. (1)	Commanding General U.S. Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSM1-IDD (Mrs. Charles H. Laney, Jr.) Huntsville, Alabama 35809 (1)
Chief of Research and Development O C, Department of the Army Washington 25, D.C. (1)	Aeronautical Systems Division ATTN: ASNXRR Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Ohio 45433 (1)
Commanding General U.S. Army Materiel Command ATTN: R&D Directorate Washington 25, D.C. (1)	Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories ATTN: CRZC L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01731 (1)
Commander, Defense Documentation Center ATTN: TISIA Cameron Station, Building 5 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (12)	Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories ATTN: CRXL-R L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01731 (1)
Commanding Officer U.S.A. Combat Developments Command ATTN: CDCMR-E Fort Belvoir, Virginia (1)	Hq. Electronic Systems Division ATTN: ESD (ESTI) L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01731 (1)
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Combat Developments Command Communications-Electronics Agency Fort Huachuca, Arizona (1)	Rome Air Development Center ATTN: RAALD (1) Griffis Air Force Base, New York
Chief, U.S. Army Security Agency Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia (2)	Advisory Group on Electron Devices 346 Broadway, 8th Floor New York, New York 10013 (3)
Deputy President U.S. Army Security Agency Board Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia (1)	AFSC Scientific/Technical Liaison Office U.S. Naval Air Development Center Johnsville, Pennsylvania (1)

# DISTRIBUTION LIST (Continued)

Commanding Officer Harry Diamond Laboratories Connecticut Ave. & Van Ness St., N. W. Washington 25, D.C. (1)	USAEL Liaison Office Rome Air Development Center ATTN: RAOL (1) Griffiss Air Force Base, New York
Director, U.S. Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: Code 2027 Washington 25, D. C. (1)	NASA Representative (SAK/DL) Scientific and Technical Information Facility P.O. Box 5700 Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (2)
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Natick Laboratories ATTN: Dr. William B. Brierly Earth Sciences Division Natick, Mass. 01762 (1)	Commander, U.S. Army Research Office (Durham) Box CM - Duke Station Durham, North Carolina (1)
Commanding Officer and Director U.S. Navy Electronic Laboratory San Diego 52, California (1)	Staff, Meteorological Office ESD (ESTW) Hqrs., Electronic Systems Division L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01731 ATTN: Major C. D. Kern USAF (1)
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Laboratories ATTN: STINFO Branch Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060 (2)	Mrs. Edward J. Kaputa Office of Reliability & Quality Assurance National Aeronautics & Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546 (3)
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Electronics Research and Development Activity ATTN: SELMS-A White Sands, New Mexico 88002 (1)	Accountable Property Officer Activity Supply Officer, USAEL Building 2504, Charles Wood Area Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 (21)
Office of Scientific & Technical Information National Aeronautics & Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546 (1)	Marked: "For Electronic Parts and Materials Division ATTN: (Charles P. Lascaro) Inspect at Destination File Reference 28-043-25- 20635(E)"
Director U.S. Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390 ATTN: Code 6120 (1)	
Headquarters U.S. Army Tropic Test Center Fort Clayton, Canal Zone ATTN: Mr. Francis Brannon (STETC-TE) (1)	General Dynamics Corporation ATTN: Mrs. R. H. Spaling P.O. Box 2507 Pomona, California (1)



DISTRIBUTION LIST (Continued)

Plastics Technical Evaluation  
Center  
Department of Defense  
Picatinny Arsenal  
Dover, New Jersey 07801  
ATTN: SMUPA-VP3

(1)

Office of Scientific Technical  
Information  
National Aeronautics & Space  
Administration  
Washington, D.C. 20546

(1)

Chemistry Research Laboratory-1330  
Frankford Arsenal  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19137  
ATTN: Dr. Leonard Teitell

(1)

MIT Lincoln Laboratory  
244 Wood Street  
Lexington, Massachusetts  
ATTN: Miss Lima K. Loughlin  
(Contract No. AF16(628) 5167)

(1)

Department of Geography  
University of Denver  
Denver, Colorado 80210  
ATTN: Claude J. Doiron, Jr.  
Research Military Geographer

(1)

Commanding General  
U.S. Army Materiel Command  
ATTN: AMCRD-RC-M  
(Dr. P. Kosting)  
Washington, D.C. 20315

(1)

Commanding Officer  
U.S. Army Natick Laboratories  
ATTN: Dr. Arthur M. Kaplan  
(AMXRE-PRBF)  
Fungicides & Germicides  
Laboratory  
Natick, Massachusetts 01762

(1)

Mr. W. R. Luebben  
Headquarters Air Force Logistics  
Command  
Code: SG MES  
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base,  
Ohio

(1)



DISTRIBUTION LIST

UNITED STATES ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND

STANDARD DISTRIBUTION LIST

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CONTRACT REPORTS

OASD (R&E), Room 3E1065 ATTN: Technical Library The Pentagon Washington 25, D.C. (1)	Commanding General U.S. Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSM1-IDD (Mrs. Charles H. Laney, Jr.) Huntsville, Alabama 35809 (1)
Chief of Research and Development O C, Department of the Army Washington 25, D.C. (1)	Aeronautical Systems Division ATTN: ASNXRR Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Ohio 45433 (1)
Commanding General U.S. Army Materiel Command ATTN: R&D Directorate Washington 25, D.C. (1)	Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories ATTN: CRZC L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01731 (1)
Commander, Defense Documentation Center ATTN: TISIA Cameron Station, Building 5 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (12)	Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories ATTN: CRXL-R L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01731 (1)
Commanding Officer U.S.A. Combat Developments Command ATTN: CDCMR-E Fort Belvoir, Virginia (1)	Hg. Electronic Systems Division ATTN: ESD (ESTI) L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01731 (1)
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Combat Developments Command Communications-Electronics Agency Fort Huachuca, Arizona (1)	Rome Air Development Center ATTN: RAALD (1) Griffis Air Force Base, New York
Chief, U.S. Army Security Agency Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia (2)	Advisory Group on Electron Devices 346 Broadway, 8th Floor New York, New York 10013 (3)
Deputy President U.S. Army Security Agency Board Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia (1)	AFSC Scientific/Technical Liaison Office U.S. Naval Air Development Center Johnsville, Pennsylvania (1)

# DISTRIBUTION LIST (Continued)

Commanding Officer Harry Diamond Laboratories Connecticut Ave. & Van Ness St., N. W. Washington 25, D.C. (1)	USAEI Liaison Office Rome Air Development Center ATTN: RAOL (1) Griffiss Air Force Base, New York
Director, U.S. Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: Code 2027 Washington 25, D. C. (1)	NASA Representative (SAK/DL) Scientific and Technical Information Facility P.O. Box 5700 Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (2)
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Natick Laboratories ATTN: Dr. William B. Brierly Earth Sciences Division Natick, Mass. 01762 (1)	Commander, U.S. Army Research Office (Durham) Box CM - Duke Station Durham, North Carolina (1)
Commanding Officer and Director U.S. Navy Electronic Laboratory San Diego 52, California (1)	Staff, Meteorological Office ESD (ESTW) Hqrs., Electronic Systems Division L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01731 ATTN: Major C. D. Kern USAF (1)
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Laboratories ATTN: STINFO Branch Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060 (2)	Mrs. Edward J. Kaputa Office of Reliability & Quality Assurance National Aeronautics & Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546 (3)
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Electronics Research and Development Activity ATTN: SEIMS-A White Sands, New Mexico 88002 (1)	Accountable Property Officer Activity Supply Officer, USAEI Building 2504, Charles Wood Area Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 (21)
Office of Scientific & Technical Information National Aeronautics & Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546 (1)	Marked: "For Electronic Parts and Materials Division ATTN: (Charles P. Lascaro) Inspect at Destination File Reference 28-043-25- 20635(E)"
Director U.S. Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390 ATTN: Code 6120 (1)	
Headquarters U.S. Army Tropic Test Center Fort Clayton, Canal Zone ATTN: Mr. Francis Brannon (STETC-TE) (1)	General Dynamics Corporation ATTN: Mrs. R. H. Spaling P.O. Box 2507 Pomona, California (1)

DISTRIBUTION LIST (Continued)

Plastics Technical Evaluation  
Center  
Department of Defense  
Picatinny Arsenal  
Dover, New Jersey 07801  
ATTN: SMUPA-VP3

(1)

Office of Scientific Technical  
Information  
National Aeronautics & Space  
Administration  
Washington, D.C. 20546

(1)

Chemistry Research Laboratory-1330  
Frankford Arsenal  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19137  
ATTN: Dr. Leonard Teitell

(1)

MIT Lincoln Laboratory  
244 Wood Street  
Lexington, Massachusetts  
ATTN: Miss Lima K. Loughlin  
(Contract No. AF16(628) 5167)

(1)

Department of Geography  
University of Denver  
Denver, Colorado 80210  
ATTN: Claude J. Doiron, Jr.  
Research Military Geographer

(1)

Commanding General  
U.S. Army Materiel Command  
ATTN: AMCRD-RC-M  
(Dr. P. Kosting)  
Washington, D.C. 20315

(1)

Commanding Officer  
U.S. Army Natick Laboratories  
ATTN: Dr. Arthur M. Kaplan  
(AMXRE-PRBF)  
Fungicides & Germicides  
Laboratory  
Natick, Massachusetts 01762

(1)

Mr. W. R. Luebben  
Headquarters Air Force Logistics  
Command  
Code: SG MES  
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base,  
Ohio

(1)